Man Ray’s 1930s photograph of an Aztec birthing figure (Fig. 1) literally and figuratively shines new light on a little-explored chapter of Surrealism’s engagement with the indigenous arts of the Americas. Commonly identified as Tlazolteotl—the goddess of earth, sex, and childbirth in Aztec mythology—the stone carving provided the photographer with a captivating object embodying the Surrealists’
fascination with dualities and the grotesque. The anguish and tension conveyed by her jutting jaw, teeth-baring grimace, and face grossly contorted in the agony of labor belies her empty and expressionless gaze. Evoking conflicting responses of empathy and revulsion as she gives birth to a misshapen creature, the crouching figure presents incongruities appealing to Surrealist sensibilities. Indeed, this enigmatic figure attracted an entourage of admirers among aficionados of pre-Columbian art in the 1930s, André Breton foremost among them. He acquired a ceramic copy of the coveted statuette that in spite of being a rather mediocre facsimile he nonetheless added to his eclectic collection and retained until the end of his life.

Despite the “Tlazolteotl” figure’s status as one of the most exhibited, reproduced, and celebrated objects of Aztec art, Man Ray’s photographic engagement with it has surprisingly escaped attention until now. This oversight might be explained in part by the apparent absence of the image in any publication or exhibition, either at the time it was made or subsequently. The obscurity of this photograph nonetheless seems odd given that this work and a highly unusual photomontage constructed from a series of related prints (Fig. 2) are in the collection of the Museum of Modern Art, where they have languished since they arrived in 1941 as part of a bequest from James Thrall Soby.

While Man Ray’s photographs of African and—to a lesser extent—Oceanic art are attracting increasing critical interest, these images and his other photographs of objects from indigenous peoples of the “New World” are virtually unknown. This article aims to incorporate these overlooked images into Man Ray’s photographic repertoire of other non-Western objects, exploring the framework and manner in which indigenous art of the Americas became a vehicle for his creative expression alongside the arts of Africa and Oceania. In so doing, I hope to recover these works from the dustbin of art history and bring new attention to their art.
historical context and significance.

Although Man Ray’s engagement with the arts of the Western Hemisphere would continue in different forms until the end of his career, my focus here is on the way he used these objects in photographs made during the two decades he spent in Paris between the wars. This body of images provides both a window into the way in which such cultural artifacts became enmeshed in transatlantic artistic practices of the interwar period and insight into the role of Man Ray’s photographic endeavors in that process.

Modernist Primitivism, Photography, and the Life of Objects

The early twentieth-century appropriation and transformation of non-Western objects into reified art forms within Western values and frames of reference is by now a well-rehearsed and critiqued chapter of art history. Narratives of this phenomenon, codified as modernist primitivism, recount the embrace of indigenous objects by vanguard artists in the West as both a repudiation of traditional Western artistic hierarchies and as catalysts for infusing new vitality into their art-making. In scholarship on this historical development, photography as such has historically been relegated to a marginal status, if recognized at all. Due to the mimetic nature of the medium and the rhetoric of photographic truth, photographs of non-Western sculpture have largely been dismissed as nothing more than transparent documentary representations of objects. This attitude persisted in art historical scholarship until recently, despite the fact that photographic reproduction of non-Western objects, as noted by print scholar William Ivins as early as 1953, “has changed Asiatic, African, Polynesian and Amerindian curiosities into works of art.”

Photography’s mediating influence in transforming the way we experience and understand art—not only through the camera angle but also selective focus, lighting, framing and cropping—was famously illuminated in André Malraux’s Museum without Walls (1947), a virtual museum constructed of photographic reinterpretations of objects. Since then, this discussion has extended into the field of anthropology and ethnography, with pioneering scholars such as Elizabeth Edwards arguing that “the actual way objects are photographed is integral to their influence, as images, in affecting the perception not only of the object itself, but also of the cultures in which they originated.” In the field of art history, scholars of Surrealism have been among the first to enter this discourse, compelled to examine these issues due to the privileged place within this movement held by both indigenous objects and the photographic medium.

Man Ray’s photographs featuring objects from Africa, Oceania and the Americas—such as those he composed of the “Tlazolteotl” figure—are inexorably
linked to these various histories. While the formal qualities of indigenous objects attracted the attention of the previous generation of artists, Man Ray related to many newly encountered masks and sculptural figures in a more conceptual manner, befitting his iconoclastic creative vision. For him, they frequently functioned—like other found objects—as raw material to be altered and transformed at whim not only for their formal qualities but also for the ideas they provoke. This approach carried over into his photographic practice, as well. The resulting images introduced new ways of perceiving such objects and played a key role in their transformation from artifact to art in Western eyes.

One of Man Ray’s earliest endeavors reflecting these ideas is *Black and White* (Fig. 3), a composition revealing his pioneering artistic vision that engaged photography’s conceptual possibilities and—in title and content—underscored the nature of the black-and-white medium through which the objects were rendered. In this confrontation of a Baule spirit figure from the Ivory Coast with a neoclassical Venus-like statuette, African art is invoked as a literal and figurative challenge to the hegemony and hierarchy of Western classical tradition. Mirroring its irreverent Dada spirit, this photograph appeared on the July 1924 cover of Francis Picabia’s journal *391* framed by a field of irreverent aphorisms. The strategic pairing of image and text wittily illustrates contemporary political and aesthetic debates in which

![Fig. 3. Man Ray, *Black and White*, 1924; July 1924 cover of *391* © 2008 Man Ray Trust / Artists Rights Society (ARS), New York / ADAGP, Paris](image)
African sculpture was being positioned as equal—or even superior—to its Western counterparts. In the postwar atmosphere where repudiation of perceived excesses of the avant-guerre was widespread and resentment against foreign “perversions” of classical French cultural traditions prevailed, this cover of 391 constituted a radical indictment of the rappel à l’ordre, the appeal by recidivist cultural forces for a return to the classicizing underpinnings of French culture.

Oscillating between document and art and created within the framework of Surrealist interest in the objects themselves, Man Ray’s images of non-Western art occupy an ambiguous space between the ethnographic and the surreal. It is, in fact, the context in which they appear rather than any intrinsic aesthetic quality that frequently defines them. The reproduction of his photographs in publications such as Vogue, Harper’s Bazaar, Cahiers d’art and Surrealist exhibition catalogues illustrate James Clifford’s frequently invoked notion of “ethnographic Surrealism” and its “continuous play of the familiar and the strange.” Man Ray’s photographs of indigenous masks and figural sculptures—however engaged in Surrealist play as they are—are in no way immune to critiques of their role in the cultural colonialism in which they participated. That said, an examination of them and the circumstances in which they were created reveals the complex nature of the Surrealists’ reception of such objects and how Man Ray’s photographs functioned within that context. My integration of his “lost” photographs of arts of the Americas into this narrative is situated within this framework.

The Transatlantic Avant-Garde and the Reception of the Art of the Americas

The Surrealists’ “discovery” and embrace of pre-Columbian and contemporary indigenous arts of the Americas in the 1920s was a homecoming of sorts for Man Ray. Active in the New York art scene more than a decade earlier, he was exposed at that time to the burgeoning interest in Native American cultures. This interest manifested itself in collecting practices and the artistic appropriation of motifs by New York avant-garde artists such as Max Weber and Marsden Hartley as expressions of their modernist visual vocabulary. This community saw the assimilation of American Indian art and ideas as a uniquely American counterpoint to European creative activities, in which objects from African and Oceanic colonies were celebrated as antidotes to seemingly moribund Western artistic traditions.

Man Ray also embraced these ideas, as reflected in his 1914 painting Totem (Fig. 4), a canvas he included among what he later identified as “a series of compositions inspired by forms in primitive sculpture.” Art historians Gail Levin and Francis Naumann, respectively, have interpreted this work as “a pastiche of such American Indian wood carvings of Zuni War Gods and Northwest Coast Indian
totems, such as could be seen at the Brooklyn Museum and the Museum of Natural History” and a resemblance of “the monumental volcanic carvings from Easter Island.” The painting indeed suggests—both in title and totemic imagery—the artist’s search for inspiration in indigenous forms at an early stage of his career.  

After his arrival in Paris in 1921, Man Ray’s engagement with the arts of the Americas corresponded to the interest in non-Western cultures expressed in the ideas and practices of the Dada and Surrealist movements with which he was actively involved. Unlike the previous generation’s appreciation of indigenous objects principally for their aesthetic form, these avant-garde movements engaged with such objects and the cultures from which they came for radical political reasons. For them, a wholesale rejection of Western values was the only acceptable response to the folly of World War I, which in the name of civilization was responsible for the slaughter and degradation of human life on an unprecedented scale. As scholarship of the past several decades has revealed, the avant-garde’s turn to indigenous cultures in quest of alternatives was also guided by a perception of these societies and their arts as more authentic and elemental, allegedly untainted by Western corruption.

Despite the seeming contradiction posed by the Surrealists’ own practice in
collecting, displaying, and decontextualizing indigenous artifacts from the colonies, they were among the first Westerners to protest the horrors and inequities of colonialism. In the face of massive European political and economic expansion into Asia and Africa, the Surrealists considered their tracts, pamphlets, manifestos and demonstrations against colonial exploits as part of their larger commitment to revolutionary social change. Opposing the French government’s colossal 1931 International Colonial Exposition organized to display the country’s commercial prowess as a colonial power, they drafted a signed petition, “Ne visitez pas l’Exposition Coloniale,” decrying colonialism and urging the public to boycott the event. In the midst of this imperial spectacle, which ran from May to November, the Surrealists organized *L’Exposition Anti-impérialiste: La Vérié sur les colonies*, a politically didactic exhibition organized to foster anti-colonialist sentiment and to evoke moral outrage. Notably missing from the names of the signatories to the petition or the individuals associated with this provocative exhibition is Man Ray, who refused to conform to any artistic, social or political doctrine throughout his life. While he was neither explicitly involved in these anti-colonial activities nor overtly political at any time, his photographs are nonetheless inextricably intertwined in this moment in the history of Surrealism.

Man Ray’s relocation to Paris in the wake of World War I came at a pivotal juncture in the growing interest in non-Western cultures and artifacts, reflected in both avant-garde practice and popular culture. As a result of a large influx of masks and figural statues from the French colonies and a burgeoning market for products, African and Oceanic artifacts became widely accessible, avidly collected and intricately assimilated into the visual and performing arts. By contrast, indigenous American objects were difficult to obtain and entered French avant-garde thought and artistic practice at a more measured pace. As a result of the accessibility of African objects and the popularization of the work of a generation of European avant-garde artists profoundly influenced by this art, by the mid-1920s African art forms became assimilated into mainstream culture, even reflected in the fashions and furniture exhibited at the 1925 *Exposition des Arts Décoratifs et Industriels Modernes*.

The growing popularity of African art led a number of Surrealists to the conclusion that such objects had been drained of value for their radical agenda, precipitating a search for new sources of inspiration. They turned instead to the indigenous arts of the South Seas and the Americas, perceiving in these cultures a spiritual, vital essence and magical allure akin to their own artistic aspirations. Their preoccupation with the unconscious and fascination with dreams, myth, ritual, animism and the occult, drew them to these objects, whose power they sought to channel.
It was not only among the Surrealists, however, that the arts of the Americas were gaining attention. Les arts anciens de l'Amérique, an exhibition held in the Louvre's Pavillon de Marsan in 1928 featuring more than nine hundred objects from across the ancient American world, greatly advanced public awareness of these cultures. In the same year, related articles and photographic illustrations began to appear in the recently established journal, Cahiers d'art, dedicated to painting, sculpture, architecture, ancient art, ethnography, and cinema. The heightened interest in the arts of the Americas was further reflected in the increasing frequency with which such objects could be seen in curio shops, galleries, and private collections.

Complicating this newfound interest in arts of the Western hemisphere was France's colonial history in the Americas (which provided the foundation of their public collections of objects from this region) and the retention after the loss of its colonies early in the nineteenth century of the country’s “nostalgic attachment to the first Americans.” This history was further confounded by an indiscriminate intermingling of ancient and contemporary cultures from the Americas and a proclivity in popular culture to subsume non-Western objects under an undifferentiated notion of l'art nègre. This ill-defined comprehension of indigenous arts from the Western hemisphere extended to contemporary institutional taxonomies that deemed pre-Columbian objects ethnographic and classified them as “primitive” rather than as ancient art, which was the terminology applied to material of comparable age from China, India, and Egypt.

The ambiguous place these objects occupied—as the work of either “savage” or “civilized” peoples—presented, as Elizabeth Williams notes, a “profound enigma” for the contemporary art world. She concludes that the “final task of revaluation of the ars americana was accomplished only in the wake of the ‘primitivist revolution’ in European aesthetics, a process set in motion by avant-garde artists who appear to have been little indebted to previous endeavors of ethnographic labors among the ‘primitive arts.’” Although the debt of the avant-garde to ethnographic endeavors was arguably not as unencumbered by the ethnographic past as Williams claims, it was indeed the embrace of arts of the Americas by these artists—the Surrealists in particular—that served as a catalyst for the surge in interest in the “New World” after the first World War.

One of the earliest proponents in Paris of the modernity of pre-Columbian art was George Sakier (1897-1988), an American graphic and industrial designer and painter whose role in this development has been largely absent in scholarship from this period. An avid collector of Mayan art, he joined the wave of literary, performing, and visual artists who were drawn to the city following the war. In a 1923 article for the international magazine Broom, published on the Left Bank by
its American editor Matthew Josephson, Sakier wrote: “Today, when a new order of artists is trying to rescue art from the morass of misused realism and to bring direction to an inchoate aesthetic, Maya art particularly recommends itself.”

His knowledge of Mayan art influenced a small circle of Dada artists, including Paul Eluard and Hans Arp, and his writings on the topic allegedly stimulated the rescue of a collection of Mesoamerican objects from the basement of the Trocadéro.

What effect Sakier’s interest in Mayan art might have had on Man Ray is unknown. There is nonetheless an intriguing relationship between these two Brooklynites whose acquaintance went back to childhood. As my previous scholarship revealed, Sakier—then working as an art director at Paris Vogue—provided Man Ray with the Baule-style mask featured in his now iconic composition Noire et blanche (Fig. 5) published in the May 1926 issue of Paris Vogue. Noire et blanche and the artist’s other photographs featuring non-Western objects reflect the history of reception of the arts of Africa, Oceania, and the Americas during this period. Masks and figures from French colonies in West Africa were the first non-Western objects to appear in his images, featured in a range of photographs that reveal his idiosyncratic approach both to the objects and to the medium that was increasingly commanding his artistic energies.

Although Noire et blanche has been

Fig. 5. Man Ray, Noire et blanche, 1926, Gelatin silver print © 2008 Man Ray Trust / Artists Rights Society (ARS), New York / ADAGP, Paris
treated as an isolated example of Man Ray’s engagement with indigenous arts in most current scholarship, a body of largely overlooked photographs tells a more complex story.  

Among this under-examined body of work is a series of photographs of Oceanic art Man Ray produced in conjunction with the March 1926 inaugural exhibition of the Galerie Surréaliste, *Tableaux de Man Ray et objets des îles*. This exhibition and another one mounted a year later at the same gallery, *Yves Tanguy et objets d’Amérique*, set the tone for other Surrealist exhibitions of non-Western objects that followed. Indeed, they could profitably be examined as trial runs for the more famous 1936 *Exposition surréaliste d’objets*, which is discussed below. In these exhibitions, the work of Man Ray and Tanguy, respectively, was displayed alongside sculptures from the Pacific Islands and the Americas borrowed from the collections of members of the Surrealist circle. Manifest in the incongruous juxtapositions that surfaced in these two exhibitions are Surrealism’s embryonic ideas about the use of non-Western art as a vehicle to rupture, deconstruct, and redefine artistic production and hierarchies, thereby provoking unexpected responses. The exhibitions and the photographs Man Ray made in conjunction with *Tableaux de Man Ray et objets des îles* established the framework for his subsequent approach to the engagement of non-Western objects.

The eclectic and iconoclastic nature of Man Ray’s work was apparently perceived as providing the ideal counterpoint to the Oceanic masks and sculptures assembled in the first Surrealist endeavor of this nature. Not only did *Tableaux de Man Ray et objets des îles* feature twenty-four of his canvases, assemblages and works on paper but he also provided the photographs for the accompanying exhibition catalogue. The photographs on the front and back covers typify the photographic style and evocation of mystery, otherworldliness and the uncanny commonly associated with Surrealist modes of representation. Set in a dreamlike atmosphere that confounds dream and reality, and animated through photographic transfiguration, these compositions of an ancestral figure from the island of Nias (Fig. 6) and a mask from the Sepik River region of New Guinea (Fig. 7) embody the dislocations and defamiliarized forms characteristic of the movement’s engagement with dream theory and the unconscious. In drawing attention to the artifice of the image, these compositions challenge traditional object photography, which veils the photographer’s subjective engagement under the guise of objective neutrality. These images offer neither a documentary nor an ethnographic representation, but rather an evocation of the objects’ spiritual and mystical qualities, promoting a new way of representing non-Western objects within the rhetoric of the photograph’s presumed objectivity. In this paradigm, the unfamiliar is contained inside the established
Fig. 6. Man Ray, *La lune brille sur l’île Nias*, 1926, Gelatin silver print © 2008 Man Ray Trust / Artists Rights Society (ARS), New York / ADAGP, Paris

Fig. 7. Man Ray, *Untitled (Mask, New Guinea)*, 1926, Gelatin silver print © 2008 Man Ray Trust / Artists Rights Society (ARS), New York / ADAGP, Paris
autonomous art frame, thus facilitating possession and appropriation of objects whose original cultural meanings and functions were profoundly different.

While the front cover composition, *L'ile brille sur l'isle Nias*, is frequently reproduced and commonly associated with the exhibition, Man Ray’s other photographs for this catalogue have received little attention. They nonetheless provide the potential for a greater understanding of his photographic engagement with non-Western objects. Unlike the more obvious Surrealist contrivances evident in these cover images, Man Ray’s four interior photographs of Pacific culture objects appear to have more in common with “straight” or documentary photography than with Surrealist photographic practices. Inserted throughout the four-and-one-half-pages of seemingly random passages by Surrealist writers and by poets, prophets, philosophers, novelists and critics from antiquity to the turn of the century (Fig. 8), these photographs are more nuanced and complex than the manipulated images commonly associated with Surrealist photography.

The interplay of word and image throughout the catalogue inflects new (Surrealist) meanings into the photographs and undermines any attempt to read them as documentary representations of reality. The juxtaposition of these prosaic photographs with the assembled texts and elusive captions produces a curious synthesis, illustrating how photography was used to disrupt, exploit and subvert prevailing notions of its veracity to Surrealist ends. It equally illustrates what Clifford calls the “surrealist moment in ethnography [which] is the moment in which the possibility of comparison exists in unmediated tension with sheer incongruity.”

---

This exercise in overcoming the “dualism of perception and representation,” as Breton advocated, is critical to understanding Man Ray’s subsequent Surrealist photographic treatment of objects from the Americas.\

**Across the Commercial and Artistic Divide**

Making sense of many of Man Ray’s photographs of non-Western objects is complicated by the multivalent nature of most of his creative work. Given that he was forced to make a living as a commercial photographer, the division between his work-for-hire and the photographs he made as conscious artistic expressions is often blurred, as is clearly manifest with *Noire et blanche*. Moreover, even his commercial and documentary work is frequently inflected with Dada and Surrealist sensibilities, resulting in a slippage between artistic and scientific taxonomies in defining photographs such as those he took of objects in the Musée d’Ethnographie du Trocadéro.

Man Ray’s visits to the collections of the Trocadéro on several occasions between the late 1920s and mid-1930s were previously a matter of speculation. They can now be substantiated by archival correspondence I’ve uncovered between Man Ray and the museum’s director Georges Henri Rivière and by his photographs of objects in the museum’s collection. At the time of his visits, the Trocadéro was no longer the mythic, dusty repository for exotic objects where Picasso had encountered and fallen under the spell of African and Oceanic masks and sculptures. More than two decades later, the collection had greatly expanded as a result of colonial activities and been significantly reorganized according to emerging scientific ethnographic principles.

In new installations—which now demonstrated concern for cultural context as well as aesthetic display—Man Ray encountered and photographed at least three objects that can be identified as belonging to the museum at this time. These include a large Easter Island head (moai), a wicker sculpture of a god (Kīʻi hulu manu) from Hawaii and a mask of the Katukina peoples of the upper Juruá region in Brazil (Fig. 9). While the Hawaiian and Easter Island sculptures have been thoroughly documented, the Katukina mask and Man Ray’s photograph of it have, until now, received little attention.

Following Man Ray’s experiments photographing other non-Western objects, this image is one of the earliest manifestations of Man Ray’s rekindled interest in the arts of the American continents during his time in Paris. Crudely constructed of found objects such as a calabash and a feather, this mask clearly resonated...
with the artist’s own iconoclastic approach to art making. In fact, until my recent
discovery of this object in the Quai Branly Museum, the identity of the mask in
the photograph remained a mystery; Man Ray’s notation on the verso of the print,  
“Trocadéro,” provided the only clue that he had actually discovered rather than

The obscurity of this mask and dearth of information regarding its meaning
and function are the legacy of colonial history, making even the authenticity of
the object difficult to ascertain. By the mid-1920s when the mask was collected,
Katukina culture was endangered by rapacious colonial activities and was facing the
risk of extinction. Indeed, today information on this culture in general and the mask
in particular is difficult to obtain. The legacy of colonial and collecting history of
the time is evident in the absence of any substantive information accompanying
this mask today at the Musée du quai Branly or in its catalogues. While Man Ray’s
photograph of the mask was previously dated c. 1921, since it was brought back
from Brazil by the missionary Father Constant Tastevein and did not enter the
museum’s collection until 1928, I would suggest a revised date of 1928 or later.  

While it is impossible to discern Man Ray’s intention in making this

photograph, we might find clues in his letter to the museum director Georges Henri Rivière. On July 18, 1931, he writes: “Some time ago I did a series of photographs in the museum, with the idea of making a poster or using one in a catalogue. You have evidently let the matter drop entirely; and if you have no further use for these prints, I should like very much to have them back, to include in a comprehensive exhibition I am preparing for New York.” Although this exhibition did not materialize, this correspondence suggests that Man Ray may have initiated this project and makes clear that he considered his photographs of objects in the museum as inseparable from his larger artistic endeavors. Since only three photographs have surfaced that can be definitely tied to objects in the Trocadéro’s collection at this time, his photograph of the Katukina may well be one of those to which he refers in his letter. That Man Ray had undertaken to photograph these objects of his own volition rather than as work-for-hire is further suggested in his offer to Rivière “to pick one out for yourself, for the service you rendered me in taking them.”

Man Ray again returned to the Trocadéro on a photographic mission in 1936, this time to photograph Dogon objects for an article in *Cahiers d’art*. In their reproduction in *Cahiers d’art*, these photographs accompanied a brief exposé on Dogon culture by the Surrealist writer-cum-ethnographer Michel Leiris, a dense article that oscillates between ethnographic description and impenetrable prose. The strange mix of fact and fantasy and interplay of text and image in the article, which forces the reader to make meaning out of these juxtapositions, epitomizes Surrealist practice.

The photographs Man Ray made for this article provide perhaps some of the most compelling examples of the artists’ multifaceted approach to what was arguably a commissioned project. In his composition featuring a monkey mask (Fig. 10), for example, he imbues the Dogon mask with life and mystery, monumentalized through techniques of framing and ambiguous use of space and animated through the interplay of light and shadow across its surfaces. Employing compositional techniques and visual codes that reinforce a reading of the objects through a Surrealist lens, he confers reverential status upon the mask as an art form. But in highlighting these Dogon objects as works of art, Man Ray—blind to the inherent artistic colonization of this practice and the political contradictions it posed—also contributed to their displacement from their original cultural context and significance.

The theatrical high-key, side lighting throws the mask’s features into deep shadow while the backlighting sets its eyes aglow, heightening the eerie effect of the image. Notably, this effect also mirrors lines from the Dogon verse with which
Leiris opens his article:

The red eye of the mask has come to the village
The eye of the mask is an eye of the sun
The eye of the mask is an eye of fire . . . 52

Intentionally or not, the symbolism in Man Ray’s photographs of Dogon masks evokes the ritual context of the masks’ makers in a similar manner, even as his photographic transfigurations of the disembodied forms epitomize Surrealist modes of representation. Moreover, as a close examination of the print reveals, this image is a total construct of Man Ray’s imagination; the mask and background were photographed separately and combined to produce this enigmatic image. Conveying far more than a documentary representation of the objects in his composition of the Dogon objects, the artist once again created photographs that defy simple categorization.

These photographs, reproduced on six pages surrounding the article,
function to underscore the fragmentation and juxtaposition of cultural values in Leiris's text. Although photographs of objects of ethnographic interest were ubiquitous in Cahiers d’art, what is unusual in this instance is the clear artifice of the images and the manner in which they assert a visual importance on a par with the essay. The uniqueness of these photographs is underscored by their full-page reproduction and the captions that identify Man Ray by name, in contrast to the conventionally anonymous and ancillary nature of such work, as seen elsewhere in the journal. These photographs suggest that the artist was inspired by this undertaking to explore in new ways the creative potential of photographing non-Western objects, creating images that dramatically reveal how photographs have functioned at the intersection of the ethnographic and the surreal to create new meaning.

Galerie Ratton

Only several months before the article on the Dogon was published in Cahiers d’art, the journal published a special issue dedicated to the Exposition surréaliste d’objets at the Galerie Ratton, an event perceived as a benchmark in Surrealist ideas and practices. Sponsored by Charles Ratton, the pre-eminent dealer of indigenous art, and lasting only one week in May 1936, the exhibition featured an array of objects in a seemingly random display. Approximating a curiosity cabinet, but without any system of classification, the installation included Surrealist artworks along with Cubist constructions; ready-mades by Marcel Duchamp; animal and mineral specimens; mathematical objects; curiosities of natural and artificial manufacture; and non-Western objects, principally from Oceania and the Americas. This event was the paradigmatic Surrealist meeting of the “tribal” and the modern, focusing on neither aesthetic qualities nor political didactics but on the disruption of Western hierarchies and traditions through such jarring juxtapositions.

While Man Ray contributed several of his own works to this exhibition—including Boardwalk (1917), Ce qui nous manque à tous [What We All Lack] (1927), Varlop (1935) and Mon Rêve (1934)—and made photographs of the installation, his relationship with Ratton predated this provocative project. His visit to an exhibition of Eskimo and Northwest Coast art Ratton mounted at his gallery in 1935 led to his purchase of an inexpensive Eskimo mask the day after the exhibition closed. This purchase, the only sale of the show, is particularly notable, because the artist was never a collector per se of non-Western objects. The odd piece that found its way into his possession would be seamlessly integrated into the family of found objects and altered ready-mades that populated his studio and provided inspiration for his own artmaking. Man Ray’s relationship with Ratton is further attested to by a
number of his photographs of the dealer’s African sculptures circulating during this period. This not only suggests that he worked behind the scenes at Ratton’s Gallery as an unofficial photographer of this internationally acclaimed collection, but also provides insight into the context in which a number of his photographs of non-Western objects were created.  

It was in fact at the Galerie Ratton where Man Ray encountered and photographed the unusual Aztec birthing figure with which this article opened. Whether this undertaking was commissioned by Ratton or initiated by the artist, both the resulting photographs and the fact that Breton obtained a replica of the object (not on paper but in ceramic) underscore the fascination this figure held for the Surrealists.

Characteristically, Man Ray seized upon the opportunity to explore the creative potential of both the medium and the object. While extant prints show that he chose to enlarge and print only one of the series of seventeen negatives he made (Fig. 1), the number of shots he took and the unusual photomontage he constructed from four selected contact prints (Fig. 2) imply that he approached this enterprise as much more than merely a commercial or documentary exercise. Indeed, this work is a rare example of montage in Man Ray’s oeuvre—even though the prevalence of this technique in avant-garde practice at this time—again suggesting that the artist saw this as an opportunity for creative experimentation.

Capitalizing on the object’s distinctive qualities in the four frames of the photomontage, Man Ray utilized a short focal length, dramatic lighting, varying perspectives, tight framing and theatrical use of space to draw attention to the sculpture’s expressive face, vitality and dynamism. As the figure seems to rotate before the viewer, it appears almost cinematic in its sequence of frames. Well-versed in the Surrealist practice of provocative engagement of non-Western objects, Man Ray liberates latent meaning in his evocation of life, mystery and magic. How his approach to this unusual sculpture broke with conventions for photographing such objects within their traditionally ethnographic frame is illustrated in a comparison of Man Ray’s composition with the sculpture’s photographic debut in the Journal de la Société des Américanistes in 1906 (Fig. 11). E.T. Hamy, the first director of the Ethnographic Museum at the Place du Trocadéro, had the figure photographed to accompany his article on what he concluded was “a piece absolutely unique in the history of the art of Mexico.”

The reproduced photograph, published in a full-page plate, is representative of the type of images gaining popularity in publications of ethnographic collections at the time, such as the British Museum’s Handbook to the Ethnographical Collections (1910). Set off against a dark backdrop, frontally and
evenly lit, photographed close-up at eye level and tightly cropped, the object in this image is showcased under the guise of what is assumed to be a faithful and scientific representation.

In the case of this enigmatic figure, there are several ironic turns in its path to fame and, more recently, notoriety. Dr. Ribemont-Dessaignes—the owner of the object at the turn of the century when it first gained international attention—was an obstetrician and pioneer in promoting “painless childbirth,” a little-known historical fact that lends a peculiar aspect to its reception and provenance. As the “first scientific advocate of painless childbirth to base his whole case not on humanitarian grounds, but on the actual superior safety of removing pain,” the doctor presumably appreciated the grimacing figure in the throes of childbirth for very different reasons than did the Surrealists who were later drawn to her. Moreover, in a quintessentially Surrealist twist of fate, the obstetrician was also the father of Georges Ribemont-Dessaignes, the Dada poet, artist, writer and, coincidentally, author of the first monograph on Man Ray in 1929.

Perhaps the colorful history of this Aztec goddess should prepare us for
the most recent chapter in the endless intrigue that has surrounded her: there now appears to be compelling evidence that she is a fake. As one scholar shrewdly notes, this enigmatic stone figure is like the Anastasia of pre-Columbian art; she may be a fake but her tale never ceases to fascinate. Indeed, her tale continues to fascinate today as the story of Man Ray’s manipulation of her image comes to the fore.

The recovery of Man Ray’s photographs of the “Tlazolteotl” figure from obscurity and the examination of his engagement with the indigenous arts of the Americas provide new insight into the work of this artist and contribute to a comprehensive understanding of his innovative approach to photographing objects of non-Western art. Turning his camera lens to such objects, Man Ray produced images that—through a symbiotic relationship of content and form—imbued the objects with the same modernist aesthetic he pioneered in his photographs. In so doing, he created a new type of photography that defies categorization and functions ambiguously in the gap between the ethnographic and the surreal. While advancing modernist strategies of representation, however, these images also contributed to the process through which indigenous objects were appropriated without regard for their cultural context or for the political implications such practices raised. Further research into the production and use of these images will undoubtedly provide greater insight into the complex relationship between Surrealism, ethnography, and notions of the “primitive” and how Man Ray’s photographs have functioned at their crossroads.

I am indebted to all those who have encouraged me to follow the trail of Man Ray’s photographs of indigenous arts of the Americas and especially to the editor of this issue of the *Journal of Surrealism and the Americas*, Amy Winter. Her interest in my research and diligence as an editor have greatly contributed to this essay. The insightful comments and suggestions of my colleagues Kirsten Hoving and Martha Bari are also greatly appreciated, as are the attentiveness with which John Greathouse and my research assistant Sasha Wasserman read earlier drafts.
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11 On this cover of 391 and Man Ray’s photograph Black and White, see Wendy Grossman, “Man
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