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ABSTRACT

Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS) is one of the fastest growing field in silicon industry. Low cost production is key for any company to improve their market share. MEMS testing is challenging since input to test a MEMS device require physical stimulus like acceleration, pressure etc. Also, MEMS device vary with process and requires calibration to make them reliable. This increases test cost and testing time. This challenge can be overcome by combining electrical stimulus based testing along with statistical analysis on MEMS response for electrical stimulus and also limited physical stimulus response data. This thesis proposes electrical stimulus based built in self test (BIST) which can be used to get MEMS data and later this data can be used for statistical analysis. A capacitive MEMS accelerometer is considered to test this BIST approach. This BIST circuit overhead is less and utilizes most of the standard readout circuit. This thesis discusses accelerometer response for electrical stimulus and BIST architecture. As a part of this BIST circuit, a second order sigma delta modulator has been designed. This modulator has a sampling frequency of 1MHz and bandwidth of 6KHz. SNDR of 60dB is achieved with 1Vpp differential input signal and 3.3V supply.
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Chapter 1  Introduction

1.1  Background

Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS) convert mechanical signal into an electrical signal. MEMS components available in market can be broadly divided into six categories[1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Category</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Sensors</td>
<td>Manifold pressure(MAP), tire pressure, blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia sensor</td>
<td>Accelerometers, gyroscope, crash sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfluidics/bioMEMS</td>
<td>inkjet printer nozzle, micro bio analysis systems, DNA chips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optical MEMS</td>
<td>micro grating array for projection (DLP), adaptive optics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF MEMS</td>
<td>High Q inductors, switches, antenna, filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td>relays, microphones, data storage, toys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Freescale, Analog Devices, ST Microelectronics, Robert Bosch, Texas Instruments etc are some of the key players in MEMS manufacturing.

Since, these MEMS devices are widely used in lot of application, Reliability and production cost have become a key parameter for companies to gain market share in this competitive market.

1.2  Research Goals

There are various tough challenges in achieving low-cost MEMS production.

- MEMS manufactured devices exhibit increasingly high process variations, making calibration and compensation necessary at every manufacturing step.
MEMS devices are generally associated with low yield. However, there are few known techniques to screen the devices for defects at the wafer level [2].

ATE testing and calibration of packaged units require special handlers and application of a multi-axis physical stimuli including shaking, flipping, which increases the testing cost.

Existing approaches for MEMS testing are based on conducting limited electrical testing at wafer level and using precision physical stimulus based testing after packaging. The physical stimuli include light, audio, pressure, magnetic, velocity and acceleration, which increases the device level measurement noise floor, and test cost. As an example, in the case of pressure sensing, the challenge is to relate the motional EMF on surfaces from electrical forces to the electromotive forces of pressure, while including the effects such as packaging to obtain a carefully calibrated device. It has been shown for various types of sensors that electrical stimulation can be used to facilitate lower cost calibration[3].

The ultimate goal of this research is to develop a unified framework for characterization, calibration, and testing of MEMS devices using on-die electrical structures, enhanced electrical readings from the device under test using ATE level and built-in-self-test circuitry, with minimum or no physical stimuli.

In order to facilitate this low-cost testing and characterization process, this research is focused on the following three subjects:

A. using a statistical framework that relates process parameters to electrical stimuli response at the wafer level for process feedback and wafer-level test compaction.
B. Designing information-rich, spectral and time domain test signals so that the MEMS devices can be excited electrically.

C. Designing on-chip and off-chip circuitry to facilitate the data collection and processing during wafer-level and final production testing, enabling low-cost testers for complex spectral characterization.

Reference [3] gives detailed background about this approach.

A capacitive MEMS accelerometer has been chosen to test our BIST idea in this project. Later this idea will be extended to other MEMS devices. From now on, capacitive MEMS accelerometer is referred to as just MEMS for simplicity unless otherwise mentioned.

1.3 Capacitive MEMS accelerometer

The first micro machined accelerometer was designed in 1979 at Stanford University, but it took over 15 years before such devices became accepted mainstream products for large volume applications [4].

Accelerometer converters acceleration to proportional electrical signal. Capacitive MEMS accelerometers are widely used in portable electronics for tilt detection, GPS augmented navigation, Earthquake detection, micro gravity measurement in space, biomedical application and oil exploration [5] [6]. With the development of Micro electro mechanical systems (MEMS) and the improvement of commercial value of accelerometer, capacitive MEMS accelerometers have become an increasingly emphasized point in the region of MEMS and micro sensors for their high sensitivity, low temperature sensitivity, low power consumption, wide dynamic range of operation and simple structure[7].
1.3.1 Capacitive MEMS accelerometer structure

Conceptual structure of capacitive MEMS accelerometer is given in Figure 1.1

![Conceptual structure of Capacitive MEMS accelerometer](image)

Figure 1.1 Conceptual structure of Capacitive MEMS accelerometer (no acceleration)

Typical MEMS accelerometer consists of a movable mass attached to a fixed structure through spring. Capacitance is formed between movable mass and fixed fingers as shown in Figure 1.1. Whenever there is acceleration, movable mass moves from its rest position and changes the capacitances C.

C capacitance is given by

\[ C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d} \]  

Equation 1.1

where

\( \varepsilon_0 = \) permittivity of free space = 8.854x10\(^{-12}\) m\(^{-3}\)kg\(^{-1}\)s\(^4\)A\(^2\)

\( \varepsilon_r = \) relative permittivity = 1 (for free space)

A = overlap area

d = distance between plates
In presence of acceleration, accelerometer structure is shown below

![Conceptual structure of Capacitive MEMS accelerometer (with acceleration)](image)

Figure 1.2 Conceptual structure of Capacitive MEMS accelerometer (with acceleration)

Assume that, movable mass moves by distance $x$ because of force. Then, top and bottom capacitances will change. This can be given by[8]

$$C_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d-x} \quad \text{Equation 1.2}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A}{d+x} \quad \text{Equation 1.3}$$

We can define

$$2. \Delta C = C_1 - C_2 = 2\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A \frac{x}{d^2 - x^2} \quad \text{Equation 1.4}$$

When $x$ is small compared to $d$, then Equation 1.4 can simplified and re-arranged as

$$x \approx \frac{d^2}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A} \Delta C \quad \text{Equation 1.5}$$

For a spring mass system, acceleration ($a$) can be written as

$$a = \frac{k}{m} x \quad \text{Equation 1.6}$$
using Equation 1.5 in Equation 1.6

\[ a = \frac{k d^2}{m \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r A} \Delta C \]  

Equation 1.7

Equation 1.7 shows that acceleration is directly proportional to cap variation \( \Delta C \). If we can measure this cap variation, then acceleration can be measured. To improve the readability of this cap variation, multiple caps in the form of comb are used. This is shown in Figure 1.3.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.3 Typical structure of on chip capacitive accelerometer [9]**

### 1.4 Previous Work

A built-in self test technique for MEMS that is applicable to symmetrical microstructures is described in [9]. A combination of existing layout features and additional circuitry is used to make measurements from symmetrically-located points. In
addition to the normal sense output, self-test outputs are used to detect the presence of layout asymmetry that are caused by local, hard-to-detect defects. Simulation results for an accelerometer reveal that this self test approach is able to distinguish misbehavior resulting from local defects and manufacturing process variations.

In [10], a built-in self-test (BIST) scheme which partitions the fixed (instead of movable) capacitance plates of a capacitive MEMS device is proposed. The BIST technique divides the fixed capacitance plate(s) at each side of the movable microstructure into three portions: one for electrostatic activation and the other two equal portions for capacitance sensing. Due to such partitioning, the BIST technique can be applied to surface-, bulk-micro machined MEMS devices and other technologies.

[11] discusses an approach which allows testing of the device by electrostatic deflection of the mass. Even though the spring constants of the device may vary from unit to unit or over temperature and even though the piezo resistive coefficients vary over temperature, as long as the electrostatic voltage and initial separation gap are held constant, the output will be proportional to a given acceleration. Applications of this technology are in temperature compensation, testability, and uni-directional force-balance applications. On-chip test Signal generation Technique proposed in [12] requires only slight modifications and allows a production test of the imager with a standard test equipment, without the need of special infrared sources and the associated optical equipment. The test function can also be activated off-line in the field for validation and maintenance purposes.
1.5 Scope of this Thesis

To achieve the goal of low cost MEMS testing, we need the BIST circuit which can read the MEMS data, needed for statistical analysis and get the calibration coefficients. This thesis focuses on following things

a. Development of BIST architecture which gives little or no overhead in terms of circuit area.

b. Behavior of MEMS accelerometer for electrical stimulus

c. Circuit modes to get different parameters of MEMS accelerometer device.

d. Performance requirement of circuit blocks

e. System level analysis and planning.

f. Development of sigma delta modulator for readout circuit.

1.6 Summary of this Chapter

1. Reducing the test cost of MEMS is one of the key factors for achieving higher share in this competitive market.

2. Electrical stimulus based BIST is one approach to reduce the test cost.

3. MEMS capacitive accelerometer is used to test our idea.

4. Typical structure of capacitive MEMS accelerometer is discussed

5. This thesis focuses on development of BIST circuit.
Chapter 2  System Level Analysis, Architecture and Modeling

This chapter focuses on following things

- BIST requirements
- Electrical stimulus for accelerometer and its response
- Noise sources in accelerometer
- Accelerometer modeling
- Readout circuit along with BIST and its modeling
- SNR calculations and simulation results from simulink model

2.1 BIST requirements

To do the statistical correlation analysis mentioned in section 1.2, in BIST, we need accelerometer response which depends on its parameters like Spring constant \( k \), offset capacitance \( \Delta C_{	ext{offset}} \), mass \( m \), damping factor \( \zeta \) etc. With this BIST approach, we are not trying to measure the exact values of accelerometer parameters. Accelerometer response is needed for statistical analysis only.

Reference [3] shows that for good statistical correlation data, among different MEMS samples, we need amplitude response, phase response (i.e Bode plot) and offset capacitance of MEMS. Main aim of our BIST is to capture these parameters (frequency response, phase response and offset capacitance of MEMS).

MEMS readout circuit will have circuit to measure the response for physical stimulus also. To reduce BIST circuit overhead, we need to reuse as many blocks as possible from this standard readout. In summary, Readout circuit should handle MEMS response for both physical stimulus and electrical stimulus.
2.2 Measurement Techniques

As mentioned in previous section, we need the following accelerometer data for accurate statistical correlation analysis

- Amplitude response
- Phase response
- Offset capacitance

All of this are done as a part of BIST in other words MEMS response to electrical stimulus.

2.2.1 Amplitude Response

Amplitude response of a system is a plot of output amplitude of a system for different input frequencies. Input signal must be sinusoidal. To measure this response, we need to stimulate accelerometer with sinusoidal electrical signal. Accelerometer is stimulated with on-chip/off chip sinusoid with a given frequency, and amplitude is measured and stored. Next, frequency will be changed and process is repeated.

Algorithm for getting amplitude response is given below.

![Figure 2.1 Algorithm to get amplitude response](image-url)

Figure 2.1 Algorithm to get amplitude response
2.2.2 Phase Response:

Phase response of a system is a plot of phase shift from input to output for different input frequencies. Input signal must be sinusoidal. To measure this response, we need to stimulate MEMS with sinusoidal electrical signal.

In Phase response, we are particularly interested in 90° phase shift point. This frequency is the natural frequency of MEMS. To extract this information, we can multiply input and output.

At 90° phase shift point, multiplier (mixer) output DC value will be zero.

To illustrate this, let

\[ \text{Input} = A \sin(\omega t) \]

Equation 2.1

If MEMS produces phase shift of \( \theta \), output can be written as

\[ \text{output} = B \sin(\omega t + \theta) \]

Equation 2.2

Output amplitude and input amplitude can be different because of MEMS

\[ \text{Product} = \text{input} \times \text{output} = A \sin(\omega t) \times B \sin(\omega t + \theta) \]

\[ = 0.5 AB \left[ \cos(\omega t - \omega t - \theta) - \cos(2\omega t + \theta) \right] \]

\[ \text{Product} = 0.5AB\cos(\theta) - 0.5AB\cos(2\omega t + \theta) \]

Equation 2.3

The above product has a DC component with magnitude 0.5 AB cos(\( \theta \)). When phase shift is 90 deg, i.e, \( \theta = 90^0 \), then DC component 0.5ABcos(\( \theta \)) = 0. For all other phase shifts, there will be DC component associated with product.

For the above method to work, we have to make sure that signals that we are multiplying, have same frequency. Usually, accelerometer output frequency will be twice
the electrical input stimulus frequency. So, double the input frequency must be multiplied with output.

Algorithm for getting phase response is given in Figure 2.2.

2.2.3 Offset Capacitance

In an accelerometer, capacitance is formed between fixed plates and movable mass as shown in Figure 2.3.
Figure 2.3 Accelerometer structure with offset

The capacitors C1 and C2 will be different due to mismatch in gaps or width of plates and this causes voltage offset in the electrical signal. This creates errors when detecting constant acceleration. To measure this offset, we have to read the electrical signal out of this MEMS without any physical or electrical stimulus. Then the output electrical signal will be proportional to rest capacitance difference C1-C2 which is the offset.

2.3 Electrical Stimulus

Voltage difference between fixed plate and movable mass creates force that moves the movable mass.

The Force(F) between 2 plates is given by [11]

\[ F = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A V}{2 (d)^2} \]

Equation 2.4

Where,

A = overlap area between fixed plate and movable mass

V = voltage difference between fixed plate and movable mass

d = distance between fixed plate and movable mass
This force is independent of polarity of voltage and is always attractive force (No repulsion force). For our accelerometer in Figure 2.3,

Let \( V_1 \) = voltage on top plate \( X_1 \)

\( V_2 \) = voltage on bottom plate \( X_2 \)

Let voltage on movable mass be 0.

Then, Net force on movable mass will be difference of forces from each fixed plates

\[
F = F_1 - F_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{V_1}{d_1} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{V_2}{d_2} \right)^2 \right]
\]

Equation 2.5

In other words, if we apply same voltage to plates \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \), then movable mass will not move at all (assuming equal gap).

There are 2 options to give Electrical Stimulus signal to accelerometer.

1. To Self test pin
2. To one of the fixed plate.

Both of these options are discussed next.

2.3.1 Electrical Stimulus to Self Test pin

In the accelerometer comb structure, few fixed fingers are used for self test (also called actuation fingers) to electrically stimulate the accelerometer and move the movable mass as shown in Figure 1.3. Simplified structure is shown in Figure 2.4.
Advantage of using a separate pin for electrical stimulus is that signal can be read differentially. This is good from circuit design point of view. But, overlap area between self test pin (xyz_st) and movable mass is small. This produces small force and capacitance variation will be too small to detect over stimulus signal frequency range for amplitude response.

In our accelerometer, Overlap area between self test pin and movable mass is nearly 1/10th of the overlap area between fixed sense plates and movable mass. So, force, in case of self test, will be 1/10th of force when stimulus is applied to fixed sense plate.

### 2.3.2 Electrical Stimulus to Fixed sense plate

Since overlap area between fixed sense plate and movable mass is large, we use this fixed sense plate for stimulation. This approach gives more cap variation than previous approach. Simplified structure is shown in Figure 2.5.
1MHz Square wave is applied to movable mass. This has 50% duty cycle so that average voltage is 0.5*VDD (VDD=3.3). Accelerometer do not show mechanical motion for this 1MHz since bandwidth accelerometer is in few KHz range (more on this in section 2.6).

This 1MHz square wave couples to bottom plate and this coupling depends on the capacitance between movable mass and bottom fixed plate. Sine wave on top plate moves movable mass. Capacitance between movable mass and top fixed plate change with sine wave. This produces amplitude modulated square wave on bottom plate.

Disadvantage of this approach is single ended sensor output for C2V. Stimulus to fixed plate will be used mainly because this provides more cap variation. Stimulus and Response points of fixed plates can be interchanged to characterize both top and bottom capacitance of accelerometer.

2.4 Response of accelerometer to electrical stimulus

Now, it is clear that stimulus should be applied to fixed plate. From Equation 2.4, force $\propto V^2$
So, if stimulus voltage $V = A\sin(\omega t)$ then

$$
\text{Force} \propto \frac{A}{2}[1 - \cos(2\omega t)] \quad \text{Equation 2.6}
$$

Frequency of the response will be twice the Electrical stimulus frequency. For example, if we want a mechanical movement at 1KHz then electrical stimulus signal should be at 500Hz. We can imagine accelerometer output like a rectifier output as shown below.

![Figure 2.6 Electrical Stimulus and Response voltage of accelerometer](image)

### 2.5 Noise Source in capacitive accelerometer

Brownian noise is thermal-mechanical noise. It creates a random force with Brownian motion of air molecules caused by damping and is directly applied to seismic mass. The power spectral density (PSD) of the Brownian noise force is shown in Equation 2.7

$$
F_B^2(f) = 4K_BTb \quad \text{Equation 2.7}
$$

Where,

$F_B =$ Brownian noise force in N$^2$/Hz

$K_B =$ Boltzmann's constant
T=Temperature

b= Damping co-efficient = \(2\zeta \sqrt{k.m}\)

\(\zeta =\) Damping factor of the movable mass

k = spring constant of the movable mass

m=mass of the movable mass

From Equation 2.7, we can note that higher damping factor lead to more Brownian noise. For low noise, low damping factor is needed. But, low damping factor makes MEMS accelerometer under-damped and movable mass takes more time to settle. This Brownian noise has zero mean and \(F_B^2\) variance.

It is more meaningful to represent noise in terms of acceleration. So, Brownian noise in terms of acceleration can be represented as

\[a_B^2(f) = \frac{\frac{F_B^2}{(9.8m)^2}}{g^2/Hz}\]

Equation 2.8

In our case, \(T=300K\)

\(\zeta = 0.7\)

k= 3.5 N/m

m=3.3n Kg

So, Damping co-efficient b= 150.45u

Equating these values in Equation 2.8 gives

\[a_B^2(f) = 2.379n\ g^2/Hz\]

Equation 2.9

OR

\[a_B(f) = 48.78\ \frac{ug}{\sqrt{Hz}}\]

Equation 2.10
Equation 2.8 is interesting to observe as the smaller device size (i.e smaller mass) reduces the signal to noise ratio [13]. Intuitively this may be understood by noting that ratio of mechanical to thermal energy $E/kT$ goes down as the device mass is reduced. This limits the shrinking of mechanical devices on silicon wafer[13].

2.6 Modeling of Capacitive accelerometer

The accelerometer can be modeled as a basic mass spring damper system as shown in Figure 2.7

![Figure 2.7 Spring mass Damper system](image)

For an external acceleration of $a$ applied to the sensor, the equations of motion can be shown as in Equation 2.11.

$$F = ma = m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + b \frac{dx}{dt} + Kx$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2.11}$$

In this equation $x$ is the displacement of the proof mass according to a reference frame placed on the body of the accelerometer, where the electrodes and the anchor points are fixed. The Laplace transform of the equation gives Equation 2.12

$$mA(s) = ms^2X(s) + bsX(s) + KX(s)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 2.12}$$
Transfer function can be written as

\[
H(s) = \frac{X(s)}{A(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + s \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) + \left( \frac{K}{m} \right)} \quad \text{Equation 2.13}
\]

Comparing transfer function can be written as

\[
H(s) = \frac{X(s)}{A(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + s \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) + \left( \frac{K}{m} \right)} \quad \text{Equation 2.13}
\]

Equation 2.13 to generic second order transfer function we can get resonance frequency \((\omega_0)\) and the quality factor \((Q)\) of the accelerometer as follows

\[
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \quad \text{Equation 2.14}
\]

\[
Q = \frac{\omega_0 m}{b} \quad \text{Equation 2.15}
\]

Table 2 Typical parameters of the accelerometer that was used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass ((m))</td>
<td>3.3n</td>
<td>Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Constant ((k))</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area ((A))</td>
<td>60n</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap ((d))</td>
<td>1.7u</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping factor ((\zeta))</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typical parameter values of accelerometer that we used are shown in Table 2. Using these values, bode diagram is plotted for Equation 2.13 in Figure 2.8.

The magnitude of the accelerometer response is almost constant in the frequency band below the resonance frequency. Hence, the accelerometer is operated in this linear
region for acceleration detection. As the quality factor is increased, the peak at the resonance frequency increases, which is the case generally obtained if the mechanical sensor is operated in vacuum environment.

![Magnitude and Phase response of the accelerometer from the transfer function using typical values](image)

**Figure 2.8** Magnitude and Phase response of the accelerometer from the transfer function using typical values

### 2.7 System Architecture

#### 2.7.1 Typical Readout Circuit of MEMS accelerometer

Typical readout circuit of MEMS accelerometer consists of Charge to voltage (C2V) converter, Gain stage and ADC as shown Figure 2.9.

![Block Diagram of typical MEMS accelerometer readout circuit](image)

**Figure 2.9** Block Diagram of typical MEMS accelerometer readout circuit

MEMS accelerometer is excited physically or electrically. This changes the capacitance of MEMS accelerometer. Capacitance variation changes the charge stored in
those caps. This charge variation is detected by Charge to voltage (C2V) converter and converted to voltage. This C2V can be switched cap or continuous time circuit depending on application. Some of the popular C2V architectures are[14]

1. AC bridge with voltage amplifier
2. Trans-impedance amplifier
3. Switched capacitor circuit
4. Switched capacitor circuit with KT/C noise reduction

Accelerometer capacitance variation will be small compared to rest capacitance. Typically, peak variation of 5-10 fF on top of 0.5 to 1 pF. This makes design of C2V a challenge.

Once C2V gives voltage this can be converted to digital form using ADC. But, this voltage will be small. To improve the dynamic range of ADC, a gain stage placed between C2V and ADC. This gain can be programmable depending upon need. Finally, an ADC is placed this converts the voltage to digital form. This can be used by DSP.

2.7.2 Readout Circuit with Built in Self Test(BIST)

In BIST, we stimulate the accelerometer with electrical stimulus. This electrical stimulus is sine wave. A circuit is needed to generate this sine wave. After accelerometer is stimulated with sine wave, readout method will be same as with a physical stimulus. For Readout circuit, physical stimulus or electrical stimulus do not matter.

One of the way to implement sine wave generator is using DDFS+DAC. This generates stepped sine wave. This can be used for stimulus. With this approach only additional circuit will be DDFS+DAC. Circuit overhead will be less.
Readout circuit with DAC is shown in Figure 2.10

![Diagram of accelerometer readout circuit with DAC for electrical stimulus]

Figure 2.10 Accelerometer readout circuit with DAC for electrical stimulus

Since, aim of this research is to test the concept of statistical correlation with these BIST circuit, most of the digital blocks will be implemented off chip. Main digital blocks are DDFS and Decimation filter of ADC.

Our accelerometer is a 3 axis accelerometer. So, we need mux to select the axis. A more detailed block diagram is given in Figure 2.11

![Detailed block diagram of our BIST and Readout]

Figure 2.11 Detailed block diagram of our BIST and Readout

2.7.3 SNR Calculation

Referring to Figure 2.5 If we apply voltage V to fixed plate w.r.t movable mass, then movable mass experiences force

\[ F = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A}{2} \left(\frac{V}{d}\right)^2 \]

where d is the gap between movable mass and fixed plate in rest position.
Due to force, if movable mass moves by $x$ towards fixed plate then force changes to

$$ F = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A V}{2 (d - x)^2} \quad \text{Equation 2.16} $$

If we express this force in acceleration, then

$$ a = \frac{\varepsilon_0 A V}{19.6m (d - x)^2} \quad \text{Equation 2.17} $$

So, acceleration due to electrical stimulus experienced by movable mass changes with the displacement dynamically. This makes analysis really complex if want to consider this acceleration variation because of displacement change.

To simplify things, we can take $x \ll d$. This is the practical case to make the operation of accelerometer linear. In our case $x \sim 5$nm while $d \sim 1.7$um. This can be approximately calculated from Equation 2.13 and table 2. This gives acceleration to be

$$ a \approx \frac{\varepsilon_0 A V}{19.6m (d)^2} \quad \text{Equation 2.18} $$

From values in Table 2 and using $1.3\sin(\omega t)$ as stimulus. So, this gives $V=1.3V$. Equating these things in Equation 2.18. We get $a = 4.8$ g of acceleration. This is peak acceleration. RMS will be $0.707*a$

$$ RMS \text{ stimulus acceleration} = 3.4g \quad \text{Equation 2.19} $$

From Equation 2.10, we found that noise is $\sim 49\mu g/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$.

If we use 12KHz integration bandwidth, then noise acceleration ($Gn$) will be

$$ RMS \text{ Noise acceleration} = 5.4m \text{g} \quad \text{Equation 2.20} $$

Now we can calculate SNR.

$$ SNR = 20 \log \left( \frac{\text{signal acceleration}}{\text{Noise acceleration}} \right) $$

$$ = 20 \log \left( \frac{3.4}{5.4m} \right) = 56dB $$

Equation 2.21
This is the SNR calculated from Brownian noise of acceleration only. This does not include substrate noise or circuit noise. So, 56dB is the best SNR that we can get. This is the input referred SNR.

### 2.7.4 System Modeling in Simulink

Simulink model was done to emulate the functioning of BIST circuit. Simulink model is shown below.

![Simulink model](image1)

Figure 2.12 BIST system modeling in simulink

This simulink model is the implementation of Figure 2.10. Stimulus for BIST will be stepped sine wave. This voltage is converted to acceleration in the 'voltage to Acceleration' block. This block implements Equation 2.18. Implementation of this block is shown in Figure 2.13.

![Implementation diagram](image2)

Figure 2.13 Implementation of electrical stimulus voltage to acceleration
This block gives acceleration output. This acceleration displaces the movable mass as transfer function given in Equation 2.13. 'Acceleration to Displacement' block implements this transfer function. Displacement in movable mass lead to capacitance variation as shown in Equation 1.4. This capacitance is detected by C2V and gives output voltage. Transfer function of capacitance variation to voltage is given by [14] for switched cap architecture of C2V.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 2.14 Switched Capacitor C2V architecture assumed for System Level analysis[14]

\[ V_o = \frac{\Delta C}{C_{fb}} \cdot V_{peak} \]  

Equation 2.22

If we use 3.3V supply, then Vpeak=3.3. Cfb = 300fF is assumed. This is close to the rest cap of accelerometer. Also, gain can be placed after C2V to improve the dynamic range of ADC. A Sigma delta architecture is used for ADC.

Spectral density at the output of C2V is shown in Figure 2.15. SNR of 42dB is observed at the output of C2V. Ideally, ADC should not degrade this SNR. Spectral density at the output of modulator is shown in Figure 2.16. SNR of 42dB is observed at modulator output. This indicates that modulator is not affecting the input SNR. Noise floor at modulator is same as input signal noise floor. System level analysis includes thermal noise of C2V and ADC.
In this chapter modeling of accelerometer (transfer function, noise) is explained.

The BIST architecture and its requirements are also covered. A simulink model of BIST based on transfer functions is created and an SNR of 42dB is observed.

2.8 Summary of this chapter
Chapter 3  Sigma Delta Modulators

This chapter discusses following things

- ADC architecture choice
- General theory of sigma delta modulator
- Offset and 1/f noise reduction techniques

3.1 ADC Requirement

An ADC is needed to convert the voltage signal generated by C2V to digital signal. Noise in signal chain is dominated by first few stages. In our case, overall noise is dominated by C2V and Gain stage, as these stages come before ADC as shown in Figure 2.10. Our Signal readout circuits are switched capacitor circuits operating at 1MHz. To synchronize the signal transfer between C2V and ADC, same sampling frequency(1MHz) must be used. If we do not use same sampling frequency for C2V and ADC, then AAF and voltage buffers must be used between C2V and ADC. This takes more area and extra effort to make those circuits. So, better choice is to use same sampling frequency for C2V and ADC. And, this fixes ADC sampling rate(Fs) to 1MHz. Section 2.3 to 2.6 shows that accelerometer is physically stimulated up to 6KHz. So, maximum input signal frequency(BW) will be 6KHz. Over Sampling ratio (OSR) can be gives as

\[ OSR = \frac{Fs}{2 \cdot BW} = \frac{1M}{2 \times 6K} = 83.33 \]  
Equation 3.1

As already mentioned, C2V noise dominated the read chain noise and it is enough if we keep ADC noise below the input signal noise floor so that ADC does not degrade the signal SNR. Input signal SNR is close to 42dB as predicted in section 2.7.4. This is
equivalent of 7 bit accuracy. Now we know the ADC requirement to some extent and we have to decide the architecture.

Figure 3.1 Typical performance of different ADC[15]

Figure 3.1 shows typical performance of some of the widely used ADC architectures. In our case sampling rate is 1MHz and ENOB is 7 bit. This puts us in region indicated as white star in Figure 3.1.

This gives following architectural choices

1. Folding ADC
2. Sigma Delta Modulator

To maximize SNR for high OSR as in our case, sigma delta ADC are good choice. Also, component matching requirement in Sigma delta is not stringent compared to Folding ADC. Sigma delta ADC typically do not require any external components [16]

3.2 Sigma Delta modulators

Sigma-delta modulation was developed from delta modulation [17]. Delta modulation is an A/D conversion technique, where the output is quantized according to
how fast the input signal amplitude varies. Hence, if the output is 1-bit, the bit stream at the output indicates only the sign of the variations of the input signal. Figure 3.2 shows the basic block diagram of a delta modulator. Integrator in the feedback loop is trying to predict the input signal and an error signal is generated after taking the difference between the prediction and the input signal. This error signal is then quantized using a comparator. Depending on the sign of the error signal, another prediction is made by increasing or decreasing the value at the output of the integrator. On the demodulation side, the 1-bit output stream should be integrated to obtain the quantized signal. Then, with the use of a low-pass filter, the analog input signal can be regenerated.

Figure 3.2 Block diagram of the delta modulator structure.

The operations performed in this system are linear, so the integrator stage at the demodulator can be carried to the input stage, as shown in Figure 3.3(a). Moreover, in the block diagram in Figure 3.3(b), the two integrators are combined into one integrator. This structure forms the first order sigma-delta modulator. In this structure, the output is directly dependent on the input signal; hence, the demodulator side only needs a low-pass filter. The operation is also performed using a single integrator on the modulator side; hence, it is much simpler than the delta modulator structure. The output of a sigma-delta
modulator is commonly single bit; the resolution in amplitude is carried to the resolution in time, which is achieved by oversampling.

![Block diagram of Sigma–Delta modulator](image)

Figure 3.3 Block diagram of Sigma–Delta modulator (a) with two integrators (b) with the integrator blocks combined into one.

The sigma-delta A/D converters are widely used for high resolution and low bandwidth applications due to the noise shaping and oversampling techniques. Oversampling sigma-delta modulators are extensively used for low frequency analog-to-digital converters especially in audio applications where the over-sampling ratio can be considerably high and the noise rejection is very efficient [18].

### 3.3 Oversampling and Quantization

Oversampling is a crucial concept in sigma–delta modulators in order to increase the resolution of the system by increasing the sampling frequency of the system. Oversampling increases the resolution in the time domain, and decreases the in-band noise. The Nyquist rate A/D converters have a sampling rate twice the bandwidth of the signal frequency; but the oversampling converters use higher sampling rates. The oversampling ratio is defined as in Equation 3.1.
Quantization and the error caused by quantization is a significant point, which should be considered primarily in an A/D system. In Nyquist sampling quantizers, the rms value of the error is given as in Equation 3.2 [19]

\[
e_{n,\text{rms}}^2 = \frac{\Delta^2}{12}
\]

where, \(\Delta\) is the quantization level spacing. Hence the quantization error is bounded between \(\Delta/2\) and \(-\Delta/2\), and have equal probability of taking any value in between. If there is a dither signal, with sufficiently large in amplitude, the quantization error can be assumed to be a white noise [19]. Using this assumption, for an oversampling quantizer, the noise power inside the signal bandwidth is given as in Equation 3.3.

\[
e_{n,\text{rms}}^2 = \frac{\Delta^2}{12 \cdot \text{OSR}}
\]

Conceptually, oversampling provides resolution in time, instead of resolution in amplitude. By decimation process, the high-resolution result can be obtained. However, as can be observed from the quantization noise expression given in Equation 3.3, doubling the sampling frequency results only a 3 dB enhancement in the quantization noise. Therefore, oversampling by itself does not improve the resolution of the system as desired. The sigma-delta modulation, not only does oversampling but also the noise shaping, which decreases the in-band quantization error considerably.

### 3.4 Noise Shaping

Noise shaping concept is the major purpose of usage of sigma-delta modulation. For a first order sigma-delta modulator, the quantization error is added in the last stage, where analog data is converted to digital, as shown in Figure 3.4
The transfer function of the system can be calculated as given in Equation 3.4, which results in a low pass filter characteristic. For calculating the transfer function from input to output, the quantization noise is taken to be zero.

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{X(s)} = \frac{1}{s + 1}
\]  
Equation 3.4

For calculating the noise transfer function, input signal \(X(s)\) is assumed zero. So, the noise of the system becomes as given in Equation 3.5

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{N(s)} = \frac{s}{s + 1}
\]  
Equation 3.5

This result has a high pass filter characteristic. In this way, the quantization noise is shaped and carried to high frequencies. Hence, in-band noise power of the system is decreased by using a sigma-delta structure, compared to an oversampling quantizer. The in-band quantization noise of a sigma-delta modulator is expressed as given in Equation 3.6

\[
V_{q_n, \text{rms}} = e_{n, \text{rms}} \frac{\pi^L}{OSR^{L+0.5} \sqrt{2L + 1}}
\]  
Equation 3.6

where, \(L\) is the order of the modulator, \(OSR\) is the oversampling ratio and \(e_{n, \text{rms}}\) is the rms value of the quantization noise calculated by Equation 3.2. The above equation shows that, as the order of the sigma-delta modulator increases, the more of the
quantization noise is carried to high frequencies and the less in-band quantization noise is observed. The above equation shows that, as the order of the sigma-delta modulator increases, the more of the quantization noise is carried to high frequencies and the less in-band quantization noise is observed.

![Figure 3.5 Noise response of various order sigma – delta modulators](image1)

Figure 3.5 gives the noise transfer function of multi order sigma-delta modulators and makes a comparison between noise shaping of the different order of sigma-delta modulators. As can be observed from this figure, increasing the order of the modulator decreases the in-band noise contribution. Figure 3.6 illustrates the dependence of the in-band quantization of the modulator to the oversampling ratio and the modulator order.

![Figure 3.6 In band quantization noise of sigma – delta modulators, depending on the oversampling ratio and modulator order](image2)

Figure 3.6 In band quantization noise of sigma – delta modulators, depending on the oversampling ratio and modulator order[19]
3.5 Filtering and Decimation

The instantaneous output of a sigma-delta modulator is generally not meaningful by itself, because of the high quantization noise included at high frequencies, especially in the case of using high sampling rate and low resolution in amplitude quantization. The signals at different stages of a sigma-delta modulator are illustrated in Figure 3.7. The output stream includes the input signal at the low frequency band with the quantization noise. As explained in the previous section, the quantization noise is shaped and mostly carried to the high frequency band. Hence, to extract the signal, from the output data stream, a low pass filtration is needed. The low pass filtration is preferred to be a digital stage, where high quality and low cost filters can be implemented using digital signal processing.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 3.7 (a) Input signal, (b) output of the modulator with the quantization noise, (c) low pass filtration, (d) low pass filtered & decimated output.
The low pass filtered data has a low bandwidth; however, the sampling rate does not change with the filtration process. A sampling rate at the Nyquist frequency is sufficient at the output of the low pass filter. Hence, following the digital filtration stage, a decimation process is generally needed, for removing the unnecessary data, and ease of data processing. In sigma-delta modulator systems, generally the decimation and filtering are carried out in the same stage, which decreases the computation time during digital filtering.

### 3.6 Offset and 1/f noise and reduction Techniques

Accelerometer sensors produce low frequency signals. In this low frequency band, offset and 1/f noise of circuit may degrade the signal.

PSD of 1/f noise or flicker noise is inversely proportional to frequency. Flicker noise originates in the amplifier and is a significant noise source for low-frequency applications. The most significant contributors of this type of noise are the input transistors because the noise generated by them is directly added to the signal and amplified by the following stages. Main reason for 1/f noise is random trapping and release of carrier in oxide and semiconductor junctions.

![Figure 3.8 PSD of 1/f noise of CMOS](image)

Simple approach to reduce this 1/f noise is to increase area of input transistors.
Other DC imperfection is DC offset. This mainly comes from device mismatch and layout mismatches etc. This will reduce the dynamic range of the circuit.

Some of the popular techniques which reduces 1/f noise and offset are [20]

1. Correlated Double sampling (sampling method)
2. Chopper Stabilization (modulation method)

3.6.1 Correlated Double Sampling (CDS)

In CDS methods, there are two sampling times, a sampling time for noise only and a second sampling time for noise and signal with opposite signs. In CDS the output is a sampled and hold signal whereas for auto-zero, the output is a continuous time output. Figure below shows the CDS technique realization.

![Figure 3.9 Correlated double sampling techniques][20]

The CDS operation is performed in two phases: 1) clamp, sampling of a reference value and noise at T1, and 2) sampling of disturbed signal with the clamped value subtracted at T2. If the noise of the clamp and sampling time is correlated, this signal-processing scheme results in an effective noise reduction.

Advantages of CDS:

1. Suited for switched capacitor circuits.
2. No need for low pass filter after CDS unlike chopper stabilization.
3. CDS not only reduces offset and 1/f noise but also reduces effect of op-amp finite gain on circuit performance. CDS makes amplifier with open loop DC gain $A_{V/V}$ look like $A^2_{V/V}$. Open loop gain is squared.

4. CDS sampling frequency will be same as SC circuit. No need to generate different frequency clock.

Disadvantage of CDS:

1. Separate capacitance is needed to sample and store the offset and 1/f noise. Many times, this capacitance will be bigger than the signal sampling capacitor to minimize residual offset.

2. CDS is inherently sampled system. So, under sampled thermal noise folds to baseband.

3. Not suitable for continuous time circuits.

3.6.2 Chopper Stabilization

The chopper technique is used to reduce the effects of flicker noise and DC offset in amplification systems. This method does not decrease either types of noise, it simply isolates the noise from the signal in the frequency domain so that the noise can be easily removed without affecting the signal.
In this technique, as seen in Figure 3.10, the input signal is pushed to higher frequencies, specifically the odd harmonics of the chopper frequency where the flicker noise has an insignificant value. The converted signal is amplified and afterwards a second chopper modulator brings the signal back to its original band. The result is that the amplified signal does not contain a significant flicker noise component.

If the amplifier has an infinite bandwidth, the amplified signal can be recovered in its full strength since demodulation, which in this case is exactly the same as modulation, will collect the signal from all of the harmonics which modulated in previous stage. However, all amplifiers have a limited bandwidth so complete recovery is not possible[21]. As an example, if a $V_{in}$ signal is used with an amplifier which has bandwidth of $2 \times f_{chop}$, where $f_{chop}$ is the chopper frequency, and a gain of $A$, the recovered signal’s amplitude would be $0.8 \times A \times V_{in}$ [20]. The chopper frequency, amplifier bandwidth or signal bandwidth can be chosen as seen in Equation 3.7, provided that they can be changed by the designer. This equation assumes that the signal bandwidth is $f_{signal}$, amplifier bandwidth is $f_{amp}$ and the chopper modulator is a square wave signal with a frequency $f_{chop}$.
This equation implies that the smallest value of \( f_{\text{chop}} \) should at least be able to separate the flicker noise from the signal, and the highest value should not push the signals main harmonic out of the amplifier’s passband.

The amplitude of the modulation signal decreases with \( 1/n \) where \( n \) is the harmonic number. Offset and \( 1/f \) noise are modulated at odd harmonics leaving the baseband free of \( 1/f \) noise. In the ideal chopping case the bandwidth of the amplifier should be infinity. If this is true, multiplying the signal twice with \( m(t) \) will reconstruct the input signal. If the bandwidth of the amplifier is limited the result is a high frequency residue centered around the even harmonics, and the signal in the baseband is attenuated. To recover the signal the output should be low-pass filtered, as shown below.

![Figure 3.11 Baseband Spectrum](image)

Given the corner frequency of the \( 1/f \) noise \( f_{\text{corner}} \) and the cutoff frequency of the low-pass filter at the output and \( BW_{\text{signal}} \), the necessary condition to have complete reduction of the flicker noise in the baseband is found from using the following equation

\[
f_{\text{chop}} \geq BW_{\text{signal}} + f_{\text{corner}} \quad \text{Equation 3.8}
\]
Advantages of Chopper Stabilization:

1. Suitable for both continuous and discrete time application.
2. Residual offset and 1/f noise is lower than CDS technique. There is no out of band thermal noise folding.
3. No need for extra sampling capacitors.

Disadvantages of Chopper Stabilization:

1. Need separate clocks for chopping.
2. Reduces the effective open loop gain of amplifier by factor 0.8. Worsen the effect of finite gain of opamp on circuit performance. So, chopper cannot be used where compensation for finite gain of opamp is needed.

3.7 Summary of this Chapter

- Sigma Delta ADC is better choice in our case as OSR is high (83.3).
- General theory of Sigma Delta modulator, offset and 1/f noise reduction is discussed.
Chapter 4  Implementation of Sigma Delta Modulator

This chapter covers following things

- Specification and choice of sigma delta modulator
- Simulink modeling of sigma delta modulator with its non idealities
- Circuit level specification and implementation
- Simulation Results
- Performance of Sigma delta modulator in overall system

4.1 Specifications for Sigma Delta Modulator:

Section 3.1 explains the choice for sigma delta modulator. Sigma Delta modulator must meet the following requirement

1. Modulator Noise floor should be less than the input signal noise floor over ~6KHz.

2. Modulator should not degrade the input signal SNR. In other words, Noise figure should be as low as possible (< 1 dB).

3. Sampling Frequency is 1MHz and signal Bandwidth=6KHz. OSR=83.3

First we need to chose the order of modulator. For this, Cascade of Integrators Feedback (CIFB)[19] architecture with 1 bit Quantizer is chosen for ease of implementation. First and second order modulators are considered for feasibility.

Magnitude of Noise transfer function(NTF) of First order modulator is given by Equation 4.1.

$$|NTF_{01}| = [1 - p. \cos \left(\frac{2\pi f}{f_s}\right)]^2$$

$$+ [p. \sin \left(\frac{2\pi f}{f_s}\right)]^2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{Hz}}$$

Equation 4.1
For Second order, magnitude of NTF can be given by Equation 4.2

$$|NTF_{o2}| = \left[ 1 - p \cos \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right]^2 - \left[ p \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right]^2$$

$$+ \left[ 2 \left( 1 - p \cos \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right) \left( p \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right) \right]^2 \frac{V}{\sqrt{Hz}}$$

Equation 4.2

Where

p = Z domain pole frequency of integrator due finite DC gain of integrator amplifier = \( \frac{A}{1+A} \)

A = DC gain of integrator amplifier (say A=50dB = 316 V/V)

f = input frequency

fs = sampling frequency = 1MHz

These noises have to be less than input signal noise. These must be compared with input signal noise.

Figure 4.1 shows the noise floor of various components at the ADC input.

Figure 4.1 Comparison of Noise from different components at ADC input

Figure 4.1 shows comparison of Quantization noise of First and second order modulator along with input signal noise. Sampling frequency of 1MHz is used for both modulators.
Quantization noise of first order modulator is less than the input signal noise only till 450Hz. First order modulator has high quantization noise. Not suited our application. Second order quantization noise floor is lower than signal noise floor till required bandwidth (6KHz). Second order modulator is good enough for our application.

Also, if the input signal is DC then first order modulator produces idle tones and requires dithering to suppress these tones. Idle tones will be lesser in second order modulator and do not need dithering in most applications.

Full scale range of modulator is chosen at 1Vpp differential. This was chosen to reduce the voltage swing requirement and maintain the linearity of amplifier.

Power and area specifications are not stringent for this project.

Table 3 gives summary of Sigma delta Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Frequency(Fs)</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Bandwidth(BW)</td>
<td>6KHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supply</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise floor</td>
<td>&lt; 5.4 uV/sqrt(Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise figure</td>
<td>&lt; 1 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulator architecture</td>
<td>CIFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of Modulator</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Bits in Quantizer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Full Scale range (FSR)</td>
<td>1 V peak-peak differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrator swings</td>
<td>+/- 1 V from VCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power and Area</td>
<td>Not stringent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Simulink Modeling of Sigma Delta Modulator

A generic block diagram of Second order sigma delta modulator with CIFB architecture is given below. This taken from Richard Shrier Sigma Delta MATLAB toolbox.[22]

Using Matlab Sigma delta toolbox and specifications mentioned in table 3, we can get the following co-efficient values

\[ a = [0.2112, 0.1334], g=0, b=[0.2112,0,0], c=[0.1763,5.81] \]

These coefficients makes it tough to implement capacitance ratio. So, these are adjusted as follows,

\[ a=[1,1], g=0, b= [1,0,0], c= [0.4, 0.5] \]

Modulator after fixing the coefficients is given below.

Figure 4.3 Modulator block diagram with Coefficients
Model mentioned in Figure 4.3, is ideal. We need to add following non-idealities like thermal noise, finite opamp gain, finite integrator swing, jitter etc [23]. With all these non-idealities, modulator in simulink is given below

![Simulink Model of Second order Sigma Delta modulator with non-idealities](image)

Figure 4.4 Simulink Model of Second order Sigma Delta modulator with non-idealities

Power Spectral Density at the output of modulator is given in Figure 4.5.

![PSD at simulink modulator output](image)

Figure 4.5 PSD at simulink modulator output (SNDR=59.4dB over 12KHz)

An SNDR=59.4dB was observed over 12KHz noise integration bandwidth.

4.2.1 **Modeling Sampling clock Jitter**

Jitter in sampling clock changes instance at which samples are taken. This is, as if, sampling rate is changing by an amount equal to jitter. This increases noise in the
digital output. Effect of sampling jitter also depends on how fast signal is changing (slew rate). If signal is DC, then jitter has no impact at all in sampled systems. If input signal is sinusoid (x(t) = Asin(2πf_{in}t)) and sampling jitter is T_{jitter}, then error in sampled voltage can be expressed as

\[ x(t) - x(t + T_{jitter}) \approx T_{jitter} \cdot \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A \cdot 2\pi f_{in} T_{jitter} \cos(2\pi f_{in} t) \]  

Equation 4.3

We can model this equation in simulink as in Figure 4.6

Figure 4.6 Simulink Model: Effect of Sampling clock jitter on signal

Effect of jitter on continuous time sigma delta modulators will more than discrete time modulators.

4.2.2 Modeling Thermal Noise or KT/C

Noise generated by switches will be sampled on to capacitances. This random white noise has variance KT/C. This noise is sampled every time clock period. This can be modeled as in Figure 4.7.
4.2.3 Modeling finite gain of amplifier in Integrators

If the integrator amplifier has infinite gain then, NTF of modulator will have zero at origin. In case of finite gain, zero of NTF will be shifted to higher frequency. This increases inband quantization noise.

If $A_{dc}$ is the DC gain of integrator amplifier, then integrator transfer function (TF) can be written as

$$TF = \frac{pZ^{-1}}{1 - pZ^{-1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.4}

where $p = 1 - (1/A_{dc})$ discrete Pole frequency of integrator

Noise transfer function (NTF) can be written as

$$NTF = 1 - pZ^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.5}

Effect of finite gain on NTF is shown in Figure 4.8
Figure 4.8 Effect of finite gain of integrator amplifier on NTF (noise shaping)

Non ideal integrator can be modeled as shown in Figure 4.9.

![Figure 4.9 Modeling of Non ideal integrator](image)

This model also includes finite voltage swing range of amplifier.

4.3 Circuit Implementation

Figure 4.10 shows the implementation of second order sigma delta modulator.
4.3.1 Sampling Capacitors and switches

Capacitor sizes are mainly decided by KT/C noise. Noise is sampled on to capacitor twice in each clock cycle and there are 2 sampling capacitors. In this case, thermal noise contributions from circuitry after the first integrator (when referred back to the modulator input) can be ignored due to the very high in-band gain of the first integrator.

Both switch resistance and amplifier contribute to input referred noise. But for a case where \( \text{gm} \gg \left( \frac{1}{\text{Ron}} \right) \), where \( \text{gm} \) is transconductance of opamp and \( \text{Ron} \) is switch resistance, noise contribution of opamp can be neglected [24].

\[
\text{input referred noise density} = V_n = \frac{4KT}{\sqrt{f_s \cdot C_i \left( 1 + \frac{C_f b}{C_i} \right)}} \cdot \frac{v}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}}
\]

Equation 4.6

\( C_i/C_f b = 0.4 \), \( v_n < 5.4uV/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} \), \( T=340K \), \( K=\) Boltzman's constant, \( f_s=1MHz \)

Equating these values in Equation 4.6 gives,

\[
C_i > 2.25 \, fF
\]

Equation 4.7

To have good margin over this and also for good matching, \( C_i = 400fF \) is chosen.

This gives \( C_f b = 1pF \). Equating these \( C_i \) and \( C_f b \) values in Equation 4.6 gives
Input referred noise density $V_n = 405 \, nV/\sqrt{Hz}$ \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.8}

4.3.2 Integrator Amplifier: Requirements

4.3.2.1 Gain Requirement

Equation 4.2 gives noise transfer function of second order modulator and table 3 gives input noise requirement of our modulator. Low gain of the integrator, increases inband quantization noise. So, we have to make sure that at 6KHz, quantization noise is lower than input signal noise (5.4 uV/sqrt(Hz))

$$|NTF_{o2}| = \left[ 1 - p \cdot \cos \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right] ^2 - \left[ p \cdot \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right] ^2$$

$$+ \left[ 2 \left( 1 - p \cdot \cos \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right) \left( p \cdot \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_s} \right) \right) \right]$$

\hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.9}

$$< 5.4 \, uV/\sqrt{Hz}$$

Mathematical solution to get value of gain which satisfies this equation is complex. So, NTF for different values of gain is plotted along with input signal noise in Figure 4.11.

From the Figure 4.11, it is clear that a gain of 100V/V (or 40dB) is good enough to keep quantization noise below input signal.
Figure 4.11 NTF for different amplifier gain compared with input signal noise

4.3.2.2 Unity Gain Bandwidth and gm of Amplifier

Bandwidth of the amplifier decides how fast amplifier settles. Gain bandwidth (GBW) requirement is given by

\[ GBW = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{x}{100} \right)}{2\pi \beta t} \text{ Hz} \]

Equation 4.10

where \( x \) = settling accuracy in % LSB. For 10 bit accuracy, \( x = 0.1\% \) say with margin 0.05%

\( \beta = \) feedback factor = \( C_{fb}/(C_i+C_{fb}) = 0.72 \)

\( t = \) settling time = \( T/2 = 500\text{ns} \) with margin \( t = 250\text{ns} \)

This gives \( GBW > 6.8\text{MHz} \).

From this we can calculate gm requirement of amplifier.

\( GBW = \frac{gm}{2\pi C_L} \)
Since integrator is a switched capacitor circuit, loading condition changes with clock phase. This loading condition is shown below

![Diagram](image)

Figure 4.12 Loading condition of integrator amplifier in 2 clock phases

During phase 1, effective loading of amplifier is

\[
C_{\text{Eff},1} = C_i + \frac{C_{fb} \cdot C_p}{C_{fb} + C_p} = 685\text{fF} \tag{Equation 4.11}
\]

During phase 2, effective loading of amplifier is

\[
C_{\text{Eff},2} = \frac{C_{fb} \cdot (C_p + C_i)}{C_{fb} + C_p + C_i} = 375\text{fF} \tag{Equation 4.12}
\]

Let us assume that we want GBW = 10MHz when loading is 685fF then we need

\[
gm > 2\pi C_l \ GBW = 43\mu S \tag{Equation 4.13}
\]

But in order to reduce noise contribution of opamp at input compared to switch noise[24],

\[gm >> 1/\ Ron = 1/2.5K.\] Therefore,

\[
gm > 400\mu S \tag{Equation 4.14}
\]

So in this case, gm value is mainly decided by noise not by bandwidth.
4.3.2.3 Slew Rate

Our amplifier should be able to charge the load capacitance within $T/2$ (with margin $T/4$). Maximum swing the integrator handles is +/-1 V.

$$slew\ rate > \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{1}{250n} = 4\ V/\mu s$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.15}

4.3.2.4 Offset and 1/f noise reduction

Since bandwidth of interest is 6KHz, this frequency band is dominated by 1/f noise. Chopper Stabilized integrator is used to reduce offset and 1/f noise reduction. Decimation(CIC filters) filters provide low pass filter necessary for chopper circuits. So, there is no need for separate low pass filter. Only Offset and 1/f noise of first integrator amplifier is important. Offset and 1/f noise of second integrator will be negligible when referred back to input. So, chopper is provided for first integrator only.

Summary of amplifier requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>&gt; 40dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity Gain Bandwidth</td>
<td>&gt;10MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_m$</td>
<td>&gt; 400uS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slew rate</td>
<td>&gt; 4 V/μs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3 Integrator Amplifier: Circuit and simulation Results

An OTA can used as the amplifier always drives capacitive load and this capacitive load itself can be used for compensation. A folded cascode shown in Figure 4.13 is used in this project. This amplifier achieves gain >45dB, Bandwidth > 15MHz and phase margin > 65°.
Same architecture is used for second integrator and also reference voltage buffers.

Since first integrator needs chopper stabilization, chopper modulator and demodulators are added to same amplifier as shown Figure 4.15. Addition of chopper switches do not impact significantly the ac performances like DC gain, bandwidth or stability since these switches are completely turned ON or OFF and they just offer small resistance. Our sampling frequency is 1MHz. so, chopping frequency of 500KHz is chosen. Chopping clock also needs to be non-overlapping.
'Chop 1' shown in Figure 4.15 modulates the input to 500KHz. At this point, offset and 1/f noise are added at low frequency but signal sits around 500KHz. So, offset and 1/f do not mix with signal. 'Chop 2' demodulates this signal containing offset and 1/f noise at low frequency and signal at higher frequency. After 'chop 2', signal at 500KHz is demodulated back to low frequency and Offset and 1/f noise is pushed to 500KHz. After low pass filtering signal can be recovered. 'Chop 3' is added just to reduce current mirror M10 and M9 mismatch. This 'chop 3' does not come in signal path. Chopper switches are placed at low impedance nodes (source of M6 and M5) to reduce voltage glitches during non-overlapping time of chopping clock. Voltage glitches increases residual offset after chopping. Figure 4.16 shows structure of chopper modulator and demodulator. T-gates are used. Small transistor sizes must be used to reduce clock feed through and charge injection.
4.3.4 **Common mode feedback circuit (CMFB)**

A switched capacitor CMFB is used. Main advantage of switched cap CMFB are low power consumption and high common mode correction range. Continuous time CMFB sometimes fail to correct the common mode when common mode rails out. Switched cap CMFB is shown in Figure 4.17. T-gates are used for switches. During correction phase, charge in Cb is shared with Ca. So, if \( C_b \geq C_a \), then CMFB over corrects for changes in common mode causing common mode ripple. To avoid this, \( C_a \) must be greater than \( C_b \).
4.3.5 Quantizer

1 Bit quantizer is used for this sigma delta modulator as this simpler quantizer serves our purpose and 1 bit quantizer is always linear. Higher order quantizers are more complex and resulting DACs suffer from mismatches and non-linearity. A comparator is can used as 1 bit quantizer. Since sampling period(T) is 1us, Comparator has < 500ns(T/2) to make a decision.

![Figure 4.18 One Bit Quantizer](image)

Figure 4.18 shows the quantizer used. Most of the comparators have cross coupled structure in one way or the other. In this case, M5-M6-M8-M9 form cross coupling. M1 and M2 should be strong enough to overwrite the previous bit. Increasing M1 and M2, increases voltage kick back to input voltage. So, care should be taken to not to oversize or undersize the input transistors M1 and M2. Sizes of M5-M6-M8-M9 determines speed of regeneration.

4.3.6 Non Overlapping clock generator

The clock generator for producing non-overlapping clock signals can be realized with a simple circuit constructed of logic gates. Such a circuit is shown in Figure 4.19.
This clock generator produces 8 clock phases. Non overlapping time and delay between delayed clock phases can controlled by adjusting number of inverters. 10X inverters are used to generate complementary signals. This ensures that crossing of signal and its complement happens near VDD/2. Capacitors can be placed on intermediate nets to control the delay further. Figure 4.20 shows non overlapping clock phases generated. A non overlapping time of \(~23\)ns is used.

![Non overlapping clock generator](image)

**Figure 4.19 Non overlapping clock generator**

![Non overlapping clock phases](image)

**Figure 4.20 Non overlapping clock phases**
4.4 Modulator simulation Results

Main performance metric for our sigma delta modulator are input referred noise and SNDR.

Figure 4.21 shows comparison of input referred noise of modulator with and without chopping. 'No chopping' curve clearly shows dominance of 1/f noise in our frequency band of interest. After using chopping noise reduces significantly. Integrated noise over 13KHz bandwidth is 198uV for chopped case and 2.44mV for no chop case.

Figure 4.22 shows comparison of different noise components. Modulator thermal noise is nearly 10dB below input signal noise. Modulator thermal noise is not an issue. Total noise is difficult to see in the plot since it almost overlaps with input signal noise at low frequency. After 6KHz, total noise is dominated by Quantization noise. But this is out of band and does not cause problem. In summary, thermal noise and quantization noise of modulator is well below the input signal noise within 6KHz as per requirement.

Now we have to measure the SNDR of our modulator with 1Vpp differential input. Figure 4.23 shows plot of PSD of modulator output generated using post layout
R+C+CC netlist. SNDR of 60dB and offset of ~1mV is achieved. An input signal frequency of 6KHz is used for this simulation.

Figure 4.22 Comparison of ADC thermal noise, quantization noise, input signal noise and total noise

Figure 4.23 PSD at modulator output for 1Vpp differential input (Post layout R+C+CC netlist)

Simulations were done by varying the input signal power. This is shown in Figure 4.24.
0dB means 1Vpp differential input and -6dB means 0.5Vpp differential input. SNDR peaks to ~63dB at +2dB and drops by -3dB from peak SNDR at +3.1dB. This gives Over load capacity of modulator at +3.1dB. In other words, this modulator can handle +3.1dB over FSR before SNDR reduces badly. This sets the maximum signal that the modulator can handle. Minimum signal that modulator can detect will be its thermal noise. From Figure 4.21, input referred thermal noise is 198uVrms (or -65dB w.r.t FSR).

From this dynamic range (DR) can calculated as shown in Equation 4.16.

\[
DR \text{ in dB} = \text{Maximum Signal(in dB)} - \text{Minimum signal (in dB)} = +3.1-(-65) \approx 68\text{dB}
\]

**Equation 4.16**

### 4.5 Modulator Layout

Modulator contains analog and digital blocks. Digital blocks like non overlapping clock generator produces lot of supply, ground and substrate noise. This may impact the performance of analog blocks. So, better to isolate digital power domain from analog
power domain. Layout snapshot is given in Figure 4.25. Modulator occupies an area 685u X 305u.

![Sigma Delta modulator layout snapshot](image)

**Figure 4.25 Sigma Delta modulator layout snapshot**

### 4.6 Performance of Sigma Delta modulator in overall system

Now performance of sigma delta modulator when it connected to front end circuit must be tested. Input signal SNR should not be degraded. Figure 4.26 shows the signal chain.

![Signal readout chain](image)

**Figure 4.26 Signal readout chain**

To test the performance in BIST mode, stimulus is given to X1 (top sense plate of X axis) and signal is read from X2 (bottom sense plate of x axis). Stimulus frequency of sinusoidal 1KHz is given. So, accelerometer movable mass moves at 2KHz (because of
square relation between stimulus and response). PSD at ADC input (Front end output) is shown in Figure 4.27.

![PSD at Modulator input (Front end output)](image)

Figure 4.27 PSD at Modulator input (Front end output) with entire signal chain

SNDR=17.92dB and SNR=40.84dB is observed. Figure 4.27 PSD plot is interesting to observe. Ideally, only 2KHz component is expected but we are getting 1KHz and all the odd and even harmonics. 1KHz tone mainly comes from direct coupling of stimulus signal to readout path. This happens because of capacitance between X1 and X2 which is inherent in capacitive accelerometer. But there is also 2KHz tone (required one) on readout path because of mechanical movement. These 1KHz and 2KHz tones are mixed and this produces all other tones including DC shift. These tones cannot be avoided. These tones do not cause problem. In BIST mode, we know the exact required tone (in this case 2KHz). So, we can look at exactly required tone. In physical stimulus detection, unwanted tones will not be present since there is no electrical stimulus.

Now, this input SNR must be preserved at modulator output also.
Figure 4.28 PSD at modulator output with entire signal chain

PSD at modulator output is shown in Figure 4.28. PSD pattern is similar to input PSD of Figure 4.27. SNDR=17.91dB and SNR=40.1dB is observed at modulator output which is almost same as input SNR and SNDR. From these values we can calculate noise figure(NF) of this modulator.

Noise figure (dB)

\[
\text{Noise figure (dB)} = \text{input SNR(dB)} - \text{Output SNR(dB)} \quad \text{Equation 4.17}
\]

\[
= 40.84 - 40.1 = 0.74\text{dB}
\]
4.7 Summary of this chapter

This chapter explained the implementation of sigma delta modulator starting from specification. Then simulink and circuit implementations are explained in detail along with simulation results. Sigma delta modulator can be summarized as shown in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modulator Architecture</td>
<td>Switched cap 2nd order, 1 bit, CIFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Frequency (Fs)</td>
<td>1MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal bandwidth (BW)</td>
<td>6KHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full scale range</td>
<td>1Vpp differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input referred noise</td>
<td>198uV rms over 13KHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNDR</td>
<td>60dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise figure</td>
<td>0.74dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic range</td>
<td>68dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current consumption (analog +</td>
<td>1.3mA rms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>digital)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>685u X 305u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 5  Conclusion and future work

Electrical stimulus based testing reduces test time and cost. Capacitive MEMS accelerometer is used to test this idea. MEMS response data obtained from electrical stimulus and physical stimulus can be used to generate statistical framework. This uses only few hundred MEMS samples. After this, only electrical stimulus data can be used along with statistical framework to get calibration coefficient. For electrical stimulus and response, an on chip BIST circuit is used. This BIST is designed to extract MEMS data like magnitude response, $90^\circ$ phase shift point and offset capacitance. This BIST do not cause much circuit overhead which is necessary condition for any BIST circuit. Same BIST readout circuit can be used with physical stimulus also. Giving electrical stimulus to sense plates instead of self test plate has advantages. The proposed BIST architecture is modeled in simulink and also circuits were built in Cadence. An SNR of $\sim$40dB is achieved for 1KHz electrical stimulus. As a part of this readout circuit, a switched capacitor second order sigma delta modulator was designed. This modulator has a sampling frequency of 1MHz and bandwidth of 6KHz. SNDR of 60dB is achieved with 1Vpp differential input signal and 3.3V supply.

Future work may involve utilizing this developed BIST circuit to get MEMS data like frequency response, 90 degree phase point and offset capacitance. Circuits must be tested for their efficacy both in BIST and regular readout. This data along with physical stimulus response data can be used to generate statistical framework. Using this statistical framework and only electrical stimulus data, calibration coefficients must be obtained. Ultimate aim is to test whether these generated calibration coefficients are accurate
enough and can compensate for process variation of MEMS accelerometer. If these ideas produce intended results, then this idea can be extended to other MEMS devices like gyroscope and pressure sensors.
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