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The work of the Brazilian sculptor Maria Martins (1894-1973) was for several decades completely marginalized in accounts of Surrealism, despite her prominent role in the movement during the 1940s, when her sculpture was included in a number of surrealist exhibitions and publications. As the wife of the Brazilian Ambassador to the United States, Maria Martins (Fig. 1), who exhibited her work under her first name, entered into a passionate love affair with Marcel Duchamp that lasted from 1943 to 1950. During their time together, Duchamp created drawings, photo-collages, and plaster casts of Martins’ body, which were the point of departure for Étant donnés: 1° la chute d’eau, 2° le gaz d’éclairage . . . (Given: 1. The Waterfall, 2. The Illuminating Gas . . . ), his environmental tableau-construction of a recumbent female nude in a bucolic landscape setting.1 Much of the literature on Martins has until recently focused almost exclusively on her affair with Duchamp, as well as her role as model and inspiration for the French-born artist’s peepshow assemblage.2 Over the past decade, however, there has been a significant revival of critical interest in her own work, including the recent biographies, exhibition catalogues, and essays by Dawn Ades, Ana Arruda Callada, Katia Canton, Terri Geis, Francis Naumann, and Graça Ramos, although it is safe to say that her sculpture still remains understudied and under-appreciated.3

Martins’ sculpture was rooted in the debates and themes of Brazilian modernism before World War II; as I will argue in this essay, the emphasis in her work on Afro-Brazilian culture, as well as on the myths and folklore of the Amazon Rainforest, needs to be placed within the context of a larger movement in Brazilian modernism, in which artists, writers, and musicians explored the theory of cultural cannibalism put forth by Oswald de Andrade in his “Manifesto Antropófago” (Cannibalist Manifesto).4 This landmark publication, first published in the May 1928 issue of Revista de Antropofagia, challenged the dualities of civilization versus barbarism, modern versus primitive, and original versus derivative that had informed the construction of Brazilian culture since the colonial era. In doing so, as Leslie Bary has pointed out, Oswald de Andrade subversively appropriated the colonizer’s...
inscription of Brazil “as a savage territory which, once civilized, would be a necessarily muddy copy of Europe. The use of the cannibal metaphor permits the Brazilian subject to forge his specular colonial identity into an original (as opposed to dependent, derivative) national culture. Oswald’s anthropophagist – himself a cannibalization, not of Rousseau’s idealized savage but of Montaigne’s avowed and active cannibal – neither apes nor rejects European culture, but “devours” it, adapting its strengths and incorporating them into the native self.” Proclaiming Brazil to be a nation of cultural cannibals, Oswald de Andrade offered a solution for his country’s perceived cultural inferiority by polemically recasting cannibalism as a positive cultural practice that would lead to the creation of an authentically national expression.

Martins’ interest in Brazilian anthropophagy informed much of her mature work, which celebrated the cultural and ethnic diversity of her homeland. Martins’ 1942 sculpture Yara (Fig. 2), for example, was inspired by her unique understanding
Fig. 2. Maria Martins, *Yara*, 1942, bronze, Philadelphia Museum of Art, purchased with funds contributed by an anonymous donor, 1942-72-1. Image from the personal photograph album of Maria Martins, courtesy of Portia Jones and the Maria Martins Estate.
of the Tupi or Guarani Indian myth of a man-eating river goddess who spent her days sitting on a large crimson-hued lotus plant, known as *Vitoria regia*, while combing her hair or basking in the sun. Whenever she sensed a man approaching, Yara would sing “her song of seduction” to entice the ill-fated lover to visit her jungle domain, where she would “offer him a flower and the kiss of death,” before devouring her victim like an insect in a Venus flytrap. It is easy to see how this *femme fatale* theme would appeal to the European Surrealists, many of whom were living in exile in the United States during World War II. Martins’ 1943 exhibition at the Valentine Gallery in New York caught the attention of André Breton, for instance, who connected her interest in the mythology of the Amazon River with his own desire to create new myths on which a future society could be based. These included “Les Grands Transparents” (The Great Transparents), which captured the imagination of several surrealist artists after Breton described these invisible beings, whose presence was undetectable by the five senses, in the June 1942 issue of the Surrealist magazine *VVV*.6

In his preface to Martins’ 1947 exhibition at the Julien Levy Gallery, which remains one of the most insightful interpretative accounts of her work, the surrealist leader expressed his despair with a European civilization that had failed to prevent the rise of fascism in the previous decade and, by contrast, his heartfelt belief that it was non-western cultures, previously thought of as primitive or exotic, that now offered the hope of a meaningful future for mankind. Breton then turned to Martins’ sculpture and recalled the enormous impact of seeing the Valentine Gallery exhibition four years earlier:

Maria’s sculpture began to carry a whole legend on its shoulders, a legend that was nothing less than the Amazon itself. Sculpture garlanded, like the Amazon’s own waters, with tropical creepers. This legend sang in those works of hers which I had the chance to see in New York in 1943 and admired so greatly. Just as it sang with all its immemorial voices man’s passion from birth to death, re-created in symbols of unparalleled denseness by the Indian tribes which have succeeded each other along those treacherous banks. In her bronzes…Maria has succeeded marvellously in capturing at their primitive source not only anguish, temptation and fever, but also the sunrise, happiness and calm, and even occasionally pure delight; she is the emanation of all these things, all these wings and flowers. Maria owes nothing to the sculpture of the past or the present—she is far too sure, for that, of the original rhythm which is increasingly lacking in modern sculpture; she is prodigal with what the Amazon has given her—the overwhelming abundance of life.7
What Breton did not know was that Martins’ interest in World culture myths pre-dated her involvement with the Surrealists by more than a decade, as seen in the works she made in the mid-to-late 1930s under the influence of Catherine Barjanski, with whom she studied in Paris, and Oscar Jespers, with whom she studied in Belgium. Unfortunately, the vast majority of the sculptures that she made before moving to the United States in 1939 are now lost and presumed destroyed, although a visual record of these works is contained in an unpublished photograph album that Martins assembled in the early 1940s to document her early sculptural production. Works like *Macumba* (Fig. 3) reveal that Martins had adopted the expressive distortions and direct carving techniques of German Expressionist sculpture, especially those of Ernst Barlach, whose elongated figurative sculptures
had a powerful impact on the early work of her teacher, Oscar Jespers. However, it is important to note that Martins deliberately carved her figures in wood from the subtropical jacaranda tree, which is native to Brazil and other parts of South America. Jacaranda has a straight grain and is relatively soft and knot-free, thus making it ideal for sculpting; Martins’ choice of this specific wood was also clearly intended to resonate with the Brazilian themes of her work, which in the case of the 1939 work *Macumba* references the underground Afro-Brazilian religion whose rituals and imagery are frequently invoked in the artist’s subsequent work. A later version (San Francisco Museum of Modern Art), which was exhibited at the Valentine Gallery in 1944 and reproduced in the fourth issue of *VVV*, was lauded by Breton as “a hymn to the god of spasm himself.”

*Macumba* is a term used to denominate Afro-Brazilian religious cults, practices, and ritual objects, but most specifically those thought to involve sorcery or black magic. As Martins was no doubt aware, through her earlier interest in the “anthropophagic” modernist writers of the 1920s, *Macumba* also speaks to the mixed racial heritage of modern Brazil. Integral to macumba were rituals of blood sacrifice, drumming, and other vestiges of a “primitive” or “uncivilized” African past—a past from which the Brazilian government, which her husband officially represented in the United States, was eager to distance itself. Maria’s husband, Carlos Martins Pereira e Sousa, was a close friend and political ally of Getúlio Vargas, who in 1930 led a successful *coup d’état* in Brazil and would hold on to power in one form or another until his suicide in 1954. Between 1939 and 1945, when Martins made her *Macumba* sculptures and related prints, Vargas was a ruthless military dictator seeking to modernize and unite a country fractured by regional, racial, and class divisions through an aggressive program of economic and cultural nationalism. As Kelly E. Hayes has noted, “the climate of political repression that characterized Vargas’s long reign ensured that practitioners of Afro-Brazilian religions continued to be subject to varying levels of official harassment and were periodically accused of harboring communists and other “subversive” elements, or of offending public morality.” At a time when the constant threat of police raids, confiscation of their ritual objects, or arrest of their leaders drove most Afro-Brazilian religions underground, it seems remarkable that Maria Martins, the wife of the Brazilian Ambassador to the United States from 1939 to 1948, would celebrate macumba in her sculpture. In open defiance of the government policy of economic modernization promoted by her husband, Maria rejected the colonizing traditions of Europe in favor of the instinctual, irrational side of Brazil’s unique multiracial heritage and rich vernacular culture. In doing so, she aligned herself with the aims and ideals of the international surrealist movement in the early 1940s, which actively embraced indigenous forms of cultural production in Latin and South America.

Martins’ work underwent a profound sea change after she moved to the United States. Working in an attic studio on the fourth floor of the Brazilian
Embassy, which she dubbed “My own Montparnasse,” she began to explore the indigenous folklore of the Amazon region in sculptures of mythological deities, whose naked bodies were often intertwined in writhing, Laocoön-like entanglements, suggestive of vines and other forms of dense vegetation. These intricate compositions necessitated a shift from wood carving to modeling in plaster and casting in bronze. In the winter of 1941, Martins rented a duplex apartment with a high-ceilinged studio at 471 Park Avenue, New York, and began taking lessons from Jacques Lipchitz, a master of the lost wax (cire perdue) method of bronze casting, with whom she had a brief love affair. The baroque exuberance of Lipchitz's allegorical figurative sculptures would have a decisive impact on Martins' future work, although her interest in Brazilian myth and folklore would have an equally profound influence on Lipchitz's own work between 1941 and 1942, when he completed a series of twelve transparent sculptures that were shown in New York to great critical acclaim at the Buchholz Gallery in 1943. Two of these orgiastic sculptures, in which naked female bodies rise up from lily pads or are encased in spiky forms resembling tropical fruit, were entitled Yara, thus confirming Lipchitz's own interest in the Amazon River myths that preoccupied Martinss, although his debt to her work has never been acknowledged in the literature on the artist.

Having mastered the technique of bronze casting during her two-year apprenticeship with Lipchitz, Martins began to create works inspired by her rich native culture, especially snake goddesses and river deities, including Aiokâ (Fig. 4), Boiuna (Private collection), and Yara, the man-eating siren of the Amazon. Martins submitted a plaster version of Yara to an international exhibition of contemporary sculpture that was organized by the Fairmount Park Art Association and held at the Philadelphia Museum of Art from May 18 to October 1, 1940. Painted to resemble bronze, the nearly eight-foot–high sculpture graced the Museum’s East Terrace, overlooking Philadelphia’s City Hall and Center City, and was one of the most talked-about works on display. This sculpture depicts the naked river goddess on a huge water-lily pad surrounded by fish and dolphins, seemingly poised to catch and digest a poor mortal who cannot resist her song of temptation. Two years later, Martins cast this tall standing nude in bronze at a foundry in Bedford, New York, for her solo exhibition at the Valentine Gallery, which opened on May 11, 1942. That same year the sculpture was acquired by the Philadelphia Museum of Art for the relatively large sum of $10,000, and on July 6 the work was installed on a limestone base in an outdoor sculpture garden on the Museum’s East Terrace, where it overlooked a spouting fountain. The site was appropriate, given Martins’ interest in the water myths of the Amazonian Rainforest, as seen in the fishlike forms that decorate the base of the sculpture and the droplets of water that run down the figure’s back, arms and legs (Fig. 5).

The placement of Yara would take on added significance in 1954, when Duchamp installed a gallery featuring his magnum opus, The Bride Stripped Bare by
Her Bachelors, Even, otherwise known as The Large Glass, in the modern wing of the Museum. Following Duchamp’s specific instructions, behind The Large Glass a small doorway was installed that led onto a balcony, allowing visitors to walk outside the gallery designed for his work and take in a commanding view of Martins’ sculpture on the East Terrace below. Sadly, the experience of seeing this extraordinary juxtaposition of Duchamp’s Large Glass and Martins’ standing nude sculpture Yara is no longer possible, since the latter was vandalized in 1992, exactly fifty years after it
was first installed on the Museum’s East Terrace. The sculpture was pushed off its limestone base by an unknown assailant and the force of impact as it crashed to the ground caused extensive damage to "Yara’s visage and legs, opening the seams where the different sections of the cast were held together. Although the work was expertly restored and cleaned by Melissa S. Meighan, Conservator of Decorative Arts and Sculpture at the Philadelphia Museum of Art, in time for inclusion in the 2009 Marcel Duchamp: Étant donnés exhibition, it was determined at that time that "Yara" will never

Fig. 5. Maria Martins, Yara, 1942, bronze, Philadelphia Museum of Art, purchased with funds contributed by an anonymous donor, 1942-72-1. Color image from the personal photograph album of Maria Martins, courtesy of Portia Jones and the Maria Martins Estate
be able to be installed outdoors again due to the fragile nature of its surface and the open seams, which would inevitably lead to rust and further damage to the piece.17

The bronze sculptures that Martins produced after her training with Lipchitz ended in 1942 reveal her continued fascination with the mythology of the Amazon River. Yet the human, plant, and animal forms she continued to use were no longer presented in the static, timeworn fashion of the academic standing nude we see in Yara. Instead, her works became animated with a writhing, baroque exuberance that accentuated her themes of fertility, desire, and sexual cruelty. In the 1942 bronze sculpture Cobra grande (Private collection), the undulating, phallic form of a snake deity is repeated in a dense archway of entangled cords and vines evocative of the impenetrable Amazon jungle over which she presides. In works such as Cobra grande and Aiôkà, which are also informed by the rich cultural legacy of Brazilian indigenous culture and folklore, Martins created what Katia Canton describes as “a remarkable amalgam, where men and women, animals, forests and swamps appear to echo sensuality. She manipulates images, imbuing them with desire, violence and lyricism and in doing so, ascribing to them a mythical condition. In particular, bronze is treated in such a way that through autonomous nuances, it replicates the organic nature, the textures and porosity of the human skin and of natural sap.”18

Cobra grande was included in the aforementioned 1943 exhibition at the Valentine Gallery, for which Martins published Amazonia, a series of texts based on the myths and legends of the Amazon River that accompanied illustrations of each sculpture in the show. Once again, this publication must have entailed some form of personal risk for Martins, since Amazonia under Vargas was increasingly seen as a vast stock of natural resources to be exploited, with devastating results for the indigenous populations. The cover of this limited-edition book featured a map in which the earth is rendered in a pale green color and the Amazon a crimson red, so that when seen together the mighty river and its various streams and tributaries read like blood vessels or veins. It is important to remember in this regard that Martins grew up in Southeastern Brazil, near the São Francisco River, in a region filled with waterfalls, lakes, and rivers that undoubtedly influenced her mature sculpture, with its emphasis on water deities and the creative and destructive forces of nature.

By 1947, when two of Martins’ sculptures were included in the international Surrealist exhibition in Paris, her work had changed again. The impenetrable vegetation and spiky excrescences found in works such as Cobra Grande were replaced in works such as The Woman Has Lost Her Shadow, characterized by smooth surfaces and increasingly simplified forms. Inspired by Alberto Giacometti’s Hands Holding the Void, of 1934, a cast of which her close friend Matta had purchased in 1943, Martins’ standing figure similarly holds out her oversized hands as if offering something, yet no object is visible to the naked eye. This empty gesture was exacerbated when Martins mounted this totemic figure and another human surrogate on a long rectangular beam to create The Road; The Shadow; Too Long, Too Narrow (Fig.
This monumental processional sculpture takes as its theme the artist’s complex relationship with the indigenous cultures of her native Brazil. As a modern artist whose work had explored Afro-Brazilian rituals and the myths and folklore of the Amazon River, Martins had frequently explored the liminal boundary areas between western and non-western cultures, whether regional or historical, but developed this theme in _The Road, The Shadow, Too Long, Too Narrow_ with a concentrated power that she perhaps never surpassed, and which still seems to be underappreciated in the growing literature on her work.

The sculpture expresses Martins’ fears, as an artist working in New York, about her increased estrangement from the culture from which she drew her source material and inspiration, as well as a deep nostalgia for the homeland she had not
visited in nearly fifteen years. A slightly earlier sculpture bears the title *Saudade* (Private collection), a virtually untranslatable Portuguese word that the artist used to describe her deep emotional state of nostalgic yearning for Brazil. When seen together, the woman who had lost her shadow is now accompanied by her alter-ego, a seated Amazon River deity who emits from her head two giant snakes whose looping, multi-limbed forms trail after the plaintive *Yara*-like figure. Such imagery is strongly reminiscent of the paintings of the Brazilian anthropagist painter Tarsila do Amaral, who was briefly married to Oswald de Andrade in the late 1920s, and whose work Martins greatly admired. Likewise, Martins’ sculpture often enters into fascinating dialogues with other surrealist artists at this time, as seen in Victor Brauner’s *Meeting at 2 bis rue Perrel*, (Musée d’Art Moderne de la Ville de Paris, Paris), in which Henri Rousseau’s invented jungles are updated through Martins’ imaginative interpretations of the Amazonian Rainforest in works like *The Road, The Shadow, Too Long, Too Narrow*.

Given the importance of this sculpture in her oeuvre, as well as its profound personal meaning, it is easy to see why Martins chose to include *The Road, The Shadow, Too Long, Too Narrow* in the “International Surrealist Exhibition,” which opened at the Galerie Maeght, Paris, on July 7, 1947. Co-organized by Marcel Duchamp and André Breton, and presented in a dynamic environment designed by the visionary architect Frederick Kiesler, the 1947 “International Surrealist Exhibition” and its accompanying catalogue were dedicated to the theme of modern myths. This was a familiar subject for the Surrealists both during and after World War II, particularly as they sought to reinvent and reinvigorate their activities in the changing cultural landscape of the late 1940s, when they were increasingly isolated and often associated exclusively with the pre-war avant-garde. The 1947 exhibition was an attempt to cement the collective efforts of the surrealist artists and writers and to discover new myths rather than perpetuate the outmoded myths and visual culture of ancient Greece and Rome that had so easily been appropriated by fascist dictators like Hitler and Mussolini. Martins was one of only a handful of South American artists who were invited to participate in the exhibition, which presented her work on an international stage for the first time.

Martins was represented by two sculptures she had completed in the previous year: *The Road; The Shadow; Too Long, Too Narrow* and *Impossible* (Fig. 7). The two sculptures were prominently displayed by Kiesler, according to Duchamp’s specific instructions, in the exhibition’s notorious “Rain Room,” alongside works by Victor Brauner, Arshile Gorky, Wifredo Lam, Joan Miró, Isamu Noguchi, and Isabelle Waldberg. The “Rain Room” had its origins in Kiesler’s preliminary designs for the *Bloodflames* exhibition, which was held at Alexander Iolas’s Hugo Gallery, New York, in February 1947. The highlight of this exhibition was the net curtain that surrounded Wifredo Lam’s painting *The Eternal Presence*, (Museum of Art, Rhode Island School of Design), which was mounted on the ceiling. Visitors had to enter...
the intimate, cocoon-like space behind the diaphanous curtain and sit in one of Kiesler’s rocker chairs in order to view the monumental painting, whose placement on the ceiling challenged traditional, wall-bound methods of displaying art by forcing viewers to contemplate it while sitting and gazing upward. Unfortunately, Kiesler’s original plan to include running water within this space—with the transparent drapes functioning as a shower curtain—was not carried out, since he feared that the other art works on display would suffer water damage. However, the idea would be resuscitated later that year when Kiesler, at Duchamp’s urging, created the “Rain Room” at the surrealist exhibition in Paris, which required a drainage system and a series of wooden duckboards, like those found in public showers or saunas, to be installed in the gallery. It is my belief that the “Rain Room” was specifically designed by Duchamp to showcase Martins’ sculptures and add an acoustical element to her work, which he had earlier extolled, in an November 1945 interview with James Johnson Sweeney, as one of the most important aspects of her work.19 Duchamp probably had in mind earlier sculptures like Yara, which was designed to be a working fountain, with water gushing out of the mouths of the fish and dolphins that form its base. The glistening bronze surfaces of these works were enhanced by their placement under a curtain of rain that also underscored their origin in the myths of
the Amazon River and the cultural cannibalism of Brazilian modernism.

Martins’ works in the exhibition appear to have been chosen carefully, since their imagery and meaning fitted perfectly with Breton’s notion that new or non-western myths were needed to replace the classical myths, such as the legends of Prometheus, Pygmalion, and the Minotaur and the Labyrinth that had obsessed surrealist artists such as Ernst and Masson, as well as fellow travelers such as Picasso, throughout the 1930s. As we have seen, the autobiographical The Road; The Shadow; Too Long, Too Narrow instead referenced the Amazonian myths that had fueled Martins’ creative endeavors since the early 1940s. Impossible, which was displayed on a billiard table in the “Rain Room,” similarly drew upon the sculptor’s earlier interest in sexual imagery related to Venus flytraps and other types of predatory animal and plant forms (Fig. 7). The work depicts a male and a female figure doomed to exist together in a perpetual combat, as symbolized by their interlocking tentacles. That Martins possibly intended these terrifying ovoid-headed figures to represent the underlying problems of her relationship with Duchamp can be discerned through her comments on the work to Time magazine in 1946: “The world is complicated and sad—it is nearly impossible to make people understand each other.”

Martins’ fiery temperament was diametrically opposed to Duchamp’s cool detachment, and their different personalities appear to have presented insurmountable problems for their relationship, perhaps leading the Brazilian sculptor to use their personal incompatibility and failure to communicate as the subject of this work. In her 1946 prose-poem Explication, which, as Francis M. Naumann has persuasively suggested, was composed as an open love-letter to Duchamp,21 Martins lamented the fact that her Amazonian River Goddesses were always seen as “sensuous and barbaric” and that even her closest friends often forgot that she came “from the tropics.” Even so, this complaint dates back to at least 1942, when she entitled one of her earliest bronze sculptures Don’t Forget I Come From the Tropics (Private collection).22 Duchamp’s sympathetic placement of his lover’s work under a continuous shower of rain in the 1947 “Surrealist Exhibition” can thus be seen as his answer to Martins’ suggestion that he had failed to understand her work and its origins. When seen in conjunction with Breton’s call for new and non-western myths, the choice of The Road, The Shadow; Too Long, Too Narrow and Impossible no longer seems random, but rather appears to be a deliberate expression of Martins’ own personal mythology. As Duchamp clearly understood, this mythology based in turn upon the prose narratives of the indigenous populations of the Amazon Rainforest, whose myths, legends, and folktales provided the permanent ground on which Maria’s sculptures rested, and the soil in which their roots were invisibly nourished.
1 Duchamp’s secret love affair with Maria Martins and its implications for his subsequent work were revealed by Francis M. Naumann in a review of the 1993 Duchamp retrospective exhibition at the Palazzo Grassi in Venice, see Naumann, “The Bachelor’s Quest,” Art in America, Vol.81, no.9 (September 1993): 73-81, 67, 69. In a subsequent article, Naumann supplied further biographical information regarding Duchamp’s relationship with Maria, including details of previously unpublished works of art that the artist had given to her, all of which allowed Naumann to elaborate on the theme of sexual frustration that he saw as underpinning the *Etant donnés* project, see Naumann, “Marcel and Maria,” Art in America, Vol.89, no.4 (April 2001), 99-110, 157.

2 See, for example, Raúl Antelo, *Maria con Marcel: Duchamp en los trópicos*, (Buenos Aires: Siglo XXI Editores Argentina, 2006).


8 I am extremely grateful to Portia Jones, the granddaughter of Maria Martins, for sharing the artist’s personal album of photographs with me, as well as other documents relating to the artist’s life and work.


11 Ibid., 295.

12 For more on the official cultural policies of the Vargas regime, which supported a *brasilidade* – a term used to denote a specific sense of “Brazilianness” or national culture – that was rooted in the country’s colonial past, see Daryle Williams, *Culture Wars in Brazil: The First Vargas Regime, 1930-1945* (Durham, North Carolina: Duke University Press, 2001).

15 Ibid.
17 Frédérique Joseph-Lowery, in her review of the Marcel Duchamp: Étant donnés exhibition in this journal, argued that moving the sculpture indoors was “bothersome,” as this “new scenography discards Duchamp’s conception”; see Joseph-Lowery, “Maria Martins: the Open Secret of Étant donnés. Review of “Marcel Duchamp: Étant donnés,” Philadelphia Museum of Art, August 15-November 29, 2009,” Journal of Surrealism and the Americas, Vol.4, No.1 (2010): 83. Although I strongly reject the implication that the new placement of Yara was motivated by anything other than concern for the long term welfare of the sculpture, I agree with Joseph-Lowery that “Duchamp’s intended “retour miroirique” no longer operates.” It is my sincere hope, therefore, that the Philadelphia Museum of Art will one day consider commissioning a second cast of Yara that can be placed outside on the Museum’s East Terrace, so that the fascinating dialogue between Maria’s nude sculpture and Duchamp’s Large Glass and Étant donnés can once again be restored.
19 James Johnson Sweeney, Interview with Marcel Duchamp, November 24, 1945, Philadelphia Museum of Art, Alexina and Marcel Duchamp Papers, reprinted with the permission of the Estate of James Johnson Sweeney.
22 Maria Martins, “Explication,” (New York: Valentine Gallery, 1946), unpaginated. This three-part prose poem was published in a lavish, limited-edition portfolio that also included four engravings that Maria pulled herself on a small press that she set up in a room adjacent to her New York studio, see Ibid., 25.