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ABSTRACT

Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) is a photoprotective regulatory mechanism essential to the robustness of the photosynthetic apparatus of green plants. Energy flow within the low-light adapted reaction centers is dynamically optimized to match the continuously fluctuating light conditions found in nature. Activated by compartmentalized decreases in pH resulting from photosynthetic activity during periods of elevated photon flux, NPQ induces rapid thermal dissipation of excess excitation energy that would otherwise overwhelm the apparatus’s ability to consume it. Consequently, the frequency of charge separation decreases and the formation of potentially deleterious, high-energy intermediates slows, thereby reducing the threat of photodamage by disallowing their accumulation. Herein is described the synthesis and photophysical analysis of a molecular triad that mimics the effects of NPQ on charge separation within the photosynthetic reaction centers. Steady-state absorption and emission, time-resolved fluorescence, and transient absorption spectroscopies were used to demonstrate reversible quenching of the first singlet excited state affecting the quantum yield of charge separation by approximately one order of magnitude. As in the natural system, the populations of unquenched and quenched states and, therefore, the overall yields of charge separation were found to be dependent upon acid concentration.
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Chapter 1
Non-Photochemical Quenching and Artificial Mimics Thereof

Charge separation is the primary light-driven reaction in photosynthesis. Solar energy is converted to electrochemical potential in the form of charge separated states within photosynthetic reaction centers. This provides driving force for water oxidation; the critical step toward phototrophic production of chemical fuels. The ability for the photosynthetic apparatus, a membrane-bound nanomolecular factory, to regulate the efficiency of energy conversion in response to solar energy flux is paramount to the survival of photosynthetic organisms. In parallel, developing responsive, self-regulating systems is important to address in the design of practical and robust nanomolecular devices.¹ In this regard, solar energy technologies based on organic molecular components are expected to require regulatory and photoprotective mechanisms in order to maximize their operating efficiencies and lifespans.²,³ Biomimicry is recognized as an important approach to the design of complex nanomolecular systems.⁴,⁵ Nature’s answer to photoprotection and the regulation of photosynthetic activity at the molecular level is a mechanism known as non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), which has been the subject of rigorous investigations for over 40 years. While many of the quantifiable operating parameters of NPQ have been well characterized, our mechanistic understanding declines with- and is limited by- our ability to resolve and deconvolute complex spectroscopic information to a molecular level. This is a major obstacle in studying any natural system, as many mechanistic details change or simply vanish when moving from highly complex holistic samples to relatively simplified in vitro preparations.⁶ Approaching this problem from the bottom up, the development of small-molecule functional analogs and, in a stepwise fashion building up a biomimetic environment around them, may help to advance our understanding of how individual inter- and intramolecular interactions influence the overall functioning of complex natural systems. Toward these ends, the research described in this dissertation involves the synthesis and photophysical characterization of a molecular triad that exhibits the responsive, self-regulation of charge separation that is a hallmark
of NPQ. A brief overview of photosynthesis and the necessity for photoprotection, the state of knowledge regarding NPQ, and the most relevant examples of its mimicry in model systems follows.

Photosynthesis is the biochemical process by which phototrophic organisms use solar energy to pay the thermodynamic cost of sustained life. A series of photon capture, energy transfer, charge separation, and energy conversion reactions ultimately produce the chemical equivalents needed to maintain non-equilibrium, reduced (living) states in an oxidizing environment. Chlorophyll, a porphyrin, serves as a primary photosynthetic pigment that fills dual roles as light absorbers and as electron donors. Photo-excitation of the P680 special chlorophyll pair within Photosystem II (PSII) generates its first excited singlet state, 1P680, which then readily undergoes one of the most significant charge separation reactions in photosynthesis; donation of an electron, via a series of electron transfer reactions, to a quinone (Q) thus generating P680**, a powerful oxidizer. The oxidizing potential of P680** is transferred to the metal center of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) where it is used to split water by the following chemical equation:

$$2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4 \nu \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4 \text{H}^+ + 4 e^-$$

Protons liberated by this reaction are selectively released into the lumen side of the photosynthetic membrane, thereby generating an electrochemical potential gradient. Reduced quinones, Q**, shuttle electrons unidirectionally to Photosystem I (PSI) in a series of electron transfer steps across multiple intermediaries. The exchange of electrons between each species also drives protons across the photosynthetic membrane and into the lumen, further building the potential gradient. The electrons that PSI receives from this transport chain are reenergized by a light-based reaction so that they can be used in NADPH production. Simultaneously, ATP is produced by channeling protons back across the photosynthetic membrane thereby consuming
the potential stored in the gradient. The energy stored in both NADPH and ATP is utilized in the reduction of CO₂ to form carbohydrates, the final step in photosynthetic energy conversion.

Light harvesting antennae, namely light harvesting complex II (LHCII), are employed in the photosynthetic apparatus of plants to increase photon capture and funnel excitation energy into PSII. This has the net effect of increasing the frequency of early charge separation reactions and water oxidation in PSII. In this way, photosynthesis has evolved to be adaptive to intermittent periods of low light intensity. Conversely, periods of high-intensity irradiation lead to higher frequencies of photon capture and charge separation to the point of overwhelming the maximum turnover frequencies of slower downstream electron transfer reactions and generation of NADPH and ATP. Consequently, the high-energy intermediates involved in these reactions accumulate and, if not efficiently consumed, can cause irreversible damage to and permanent deactivation of PSII. Photo-damage to PSII is primarily associated with P680. If the rate at which P680 is oxidized far exceeds that at which electrons are donated back to P680⁺⁺⁺⁺ from the OEC, the resulting increase in the lifetime of P680⁺⁺⁺⁺ allows it to oxidize pigment molecules and amino acid residues within its immediate vicinity. Alternatively, if the reduced quinone pool is too large, signifying the inability for downstream reactions to keep pace with the light based reactions within PSII, the P680⁺⁺⁺⁺-Q⁻ charge separated state can recombine leading to formation of the triplet, ³P680. This triplet can interact with oxygen to form singlet oxygen, ¹O₂, which will react with many components of the photosynthetic apparatus leading to pigment bleaching and, eventually, PSII deactivation.

Given the nature of interactions between organic pigments, light, and oxygen, the evolutionary emergence of oxygenic phototrophs stipulated a compulsory requirement for layering of multi-level regulatory and protective mechanisms to prevent the primary source of energy, the sun, from engendering their destruction. Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) is a photoprotective regulatory mechanism that modulates the efficiency of energy transfer from LHCII to PSII in response to fluctuating light intensity. During periods of low photon flux, it is necessary for solar energy conversion to be performed with maximal efficiency in order to provide the
organism with enough energy and reducing capacity to maintain homeostasis. Therefore, the photosynthetic apparatus has adapted to fulfill this demand by dividing electron transport into a series of slower but highly energy efficient steps. Conversely, during periods of high photon flux, the initial photon capture and energy transfer steps of photosynthesis make available an excess of excitation energy to the reaction centers that downstream electron transport and chemical fuel producing reactions have no innate way of processing. As the release of protons into the thylakoid lumen continues to outpace the ability for ATP synthesis to utilize the potential stored in the proton gradient, the resulting decrease in lumen pH signals an over abundance of solar energy and proportional activation of NPQ. Time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy has shown that NPQ attenuates the excited state lifetime of LHCII chlorophylls, thereby reducing the quantum efficiency of energy transfer to PSII. Consequently the frequency of charge separation in the reaction center also decreases (as does the charge separation efficiency relative to total photons captured by the apparatus), thereby bringing light-to-potential energy conversion into equilibrium with potential-to-chemical energy conversion. Exposure to low light conditions allows for consumption of the proton gradient, which is reciprocated by proportional deactivation of NPQ and return to elevated efficiencies of charge separation. In this way NPQ is dynamically responsive to fluctuations in light intensity.

NPQ activates one or more decay pathway(s) by which excess excitation energy collected by the antennae can be harmlessly dissipated as heat rather than being used to generate charge separated states. While decreases in lumen pH have long been known to play a causative role in the activation of NPQ, the molecular nature of this responsiveness remains uncertain. As such, the complex and multi-layered feedback mechanisms that allow for highly adaptive and robust control over NPQ are not fully understood. NPQ activation is thought to induce reorganization and aggregation of protein complexes along the photosynthetic membrane, for which several models have been proposed. In relation to these spatial and superstructural changes, factors including the pH-responsive cycling of xanthophyll carotenoids and protonation
of an auxiliary protein, PsbS, have been implicated as modulators of the rates of activation and relaxation of quenching as well as the pH threshold at which NPQ activation is initiated.\textsuperscript{20-22}

The identities of the photophysical mechanism and molecular quencher responsible for the rapid thermal dissipation observed in NPQ have been subjects of debate for over 20 years.\textsuperscript{6} Discernment of potential quenching mechanisms is restricted by the kinetic parameters set by the experimentally observed fluorescence lifetimes of LHCII chlorophyll; around 2 ns in the unquenched state and roughly 0.5 ns in the quenched state.\textsuperscript{12} In the absence of interchromophore interactions, the first singlet excited state of chlorophyll is known to decay by fluorescence, internal conversion, and intersystem crossing; processes more rigorously defined in Chapter 3. The decay lifetimes associated with intersystem crossing are generally much longer than the observed quenched lifetime making involvement of this type of process unlikely. On the other hand changes in the local protein environment of a particular chlorophyll could conceivably transform it into a quenching species by increasing its rate of decay by internal conversion, thereby fulfilling this kinetic requirement.\textsuperscript{6} Structural changes to the local environment of a chlorophyll could also bring another highly quenched pigment into sufficiently close proximity to allow for energy transfer and dissipation.\textsuperscript{6} Ultrafast spectroscopic studies focusing on carotenoid and chlorophyll pigments in vivo and in vitro have yielded several prominent but disputed theories regarding the molecular identity of the quencher. Earlier time-resolved fluorescence work suggested formation of a chlorophyll-chlorophyll dimer within LHCII complexes as the primary NPQ quencher based on the similarly red-shifted emission characteristics of LHCII and those of known chlorophyll aggregates with short excited state lifetimes.\textsuperscript{12, 19, 23} More recent transient absorption and computational studies assign the role of quencher to a xanthophyll carotenoid. Some implicate coherently coupled chromophores and quenchers over which an excited state wavefunction is distributed.\textsuperscript{24, 25} Such coherent excited states are proposed to decay by charge transfer in which rapid charge separation and subsequent recombination yield the ground state with the release of heat.\textsuperscript{26, 27} Others have suggested an incoherent energy transfer mechanism where the excited state discretely "hops" from one pigment to another until reaching a quencher
with an intrinsically short excited state lifetime.  One of the most recent reports revisited chlorophyll-chlorophyll charge transfer complexes (rather than chlorophyll-carotenoid) under a coherently coupled regime to explain the chromophore coupling and charge transfer characteristics that had been previously reported. While each of these theories is supported by experimental and in some cases computational results, they are all also opposed by contradictory interpretations and lack the support of undisputable substantiating evidence in their favor. In short, the physical environment that surrounds the quenching process in NPQ is intricately complex, which makes detailed and biologically relevant studies difficult to perform. Alternatively, research has turned toward developing simplified model systems with the goal of adapting them to increasingly complex biomimetic local environments in order to identify specific operating parameters and interaction-based photophysical phenomenon that activate different quenching processes in a highly controllable manner.

In the last decade, the laboratories of Professors Devens Gust, Ana L. Moore, and Thomas A. Moore have reported several small molecules that model various aspects of NPQ. The earliest work in this area involved quenching of chromophore excited states through interactions with synthetic carotenoids. Prior to the work described in this dissertation, there were only two published examples of small molecules that demonstrate self-regulating quenching behavior. The first was an antenna-reaction center model that utilized a photonic switch to provide non-linear control over its charge separation efficiency in an inverse relationship with incident light intensity, a good analog to the overall behavior observed in NPQ. In an effort to more closely mimic the response mechanisms of NPQ, an antenna model was designed around an acid-sensitive quenching switch that could shorten the excited state lifetime of the antenna chromophores upon its protonation at elevated acid concentrations. What follows are reviews of these reports to provide context for the subject of this dissertation. The spectroscopic analyses of these systems rely heavily on theoretical approaches to charge separation in molecular donor-acceptor manifolds put forth by Rudolph A. Marcus, and through-space singlet-singlet energy transfer put forth by Theodor Förster. Conceptual summaries of these theories as well as
theoretical descriptions of the optical spectroscopic techniques used in this research are provided in Chapter 3.

The first model system to demonstrate adaptive regulation over charge separation, shown in Figure 1.1, utilized a photochromic dihyroindolizine (DHI)/betaine (BT) switch, originally developed for molecular logic applications, to modulate the quantum yield of charge separated states formed relative to incident light intensity.\textsuperscript{32, 37} Designed around established operating parameters for the photochrome, the reaction center was comprised of two bis(phenylethynyl)anthracene (BPEA) antenna moieties capable of singlet-singlet energy transfer to a porphyrin electron donor in essentially unity yield, thereby expanding the spectral cross section of light that could lead to formation of a charge separated state via electron transfer to the fullerene acceptor. The more stable spirocyclic DHI form of the switch does not interact with either the BPEA or porphyrin moieties, and is therefore photophysically passive in this capacity. DHI does, however, absorb blue light, which induces photoisomerization to its BT form, a good energy acceptor for the first singlet excited state of the porphyrin. Rapid energy transfer to BT thus effectively down-regulates the capacity for formation of the porphyrin-cation-fullerene-anion charge separated state, $\text{P}^{+} - \text{C}_{60}^{-}$. As the closed form is favored thermodynamically, it can be restored by thermal isomerization at ambient temperatures in the dark with a 37 s time constant. Isomerization could also be effected by irradiation with red light, albeit inefficiently. In this way, the photochromic switch is able to non-linearly transduce incident white light intensity as charge separation efficiency.

In the closed form, the reaction center exhibits charge separation by electron transfer to the fullerene with a 2 ns time constant. This gives a ‘low light’ charge separation efficiency of 82% with respect to the porphyrin excited state. Energy transfer to the open, BT form of the photochrome was found to have a time constant of 33 ps thereby reducing the charge separation efficiency to 1%, thus demonstrating its efficacy as a quenching switch. Simulations of its behavior under real operating conditions were generated first by monitoring absorption from the charge separated state over 15 cycles of light and dark periods to demonstrate reversibility and
Figure 1.1 A photochromically controlled antenna-reaction center model. With the closed (DHI) form of the switch, excitation of the BPEA or porphyrin moieties readily leads to formation of the $P^\cdot\cdot^-\cdotC_{60}^-$ charge separated state. Photoisomerization to the open (BT) form leads to quenching of the porphyrin first singlet excited state via rapid energy transfer to BT.
robustness, and second by determination of charge separation efficiencies at varying intensities of white light to demonstrate its dynamic responsiveness. In the latter experiment the lowest charge separation efficiency of the total population of closed and open isomers was 37%, less than half of the maximum efficiency observed under 'low light' conditions. While this model system achieves its intended functionality, it is only responsive to the blue wavelengths of light absorbed by DHI rather than total light absorbed by the antenna-reaction center complex. The mechanism by which quenching is activated is not biomimetic in the sense that it does not rely on the relay of information regarding system performance via proton activity. This limitation of responsiveness to light absorbed specifically by the photochrome eliminates the capacity for self-regulation in response to a hypothetical downstream product of reaction center activity.

A multi-porphyrin antenna linked to a rhodamine dye, shown in Figure 1.2, is the first reported model system to exhibit acid-responsive regulation of its excited state lifetime in an analogous fashion to NPQ. Development of this antenna stemmed from the discovery of a novel rhodamine dye that possesses photophysical properties in its protonated, open form that make it an excellent energy acceptor for the singlet excited states of zinc tetra-aryl porphyrins. This acid-responsive colorimetric behavior is a hallmark of rhodamine dyes making them useful as pH indicators. Titration of a solution of the model antenna with acetic acid was monitored by steady-state absorption and emission spectroscopies to observe the shift in equilibrium from the closed (colorless) form to the open (blue) form of the dye. The amplitude of a new absorption band at 656 nm, diagnostic for the open dye, was found to be directly influenced by the concentration of acid. The impact of this equilibrium shift was observed in the corresponding emission spectra, in which the porphyrin fluorescence intensity was reduced in proportion to the population of antennae that had been converted to the open dye form. Thus, it was demonstrated that this dye effectively models the pH-responsive excitation energy quenching that characterizes NPQ.

Photophysical analysis of the antenna provides a quantitative description of the efficiency of the dye as an excitation energy quencher. In its closed form, the dye imparts no effect on the
Figure 1.2 An acid-responsive multi-porphyrin antenna model. In the closed form, the dye imparts no effect on photophysical behavior of the antenna. The open, protonated dye acts as an energy sink for the first singlet excited states of the zinc porphyrins, decreasing their fluorescence lifetimes via rapid singlet-singlet energy transfer.
excited state lifetime of zinc porphyrins. Thus, in a neutral solution the antenna was found to possess a fluorescence decay lifetime of 2.1 ns, characteristic of a typical zinc porphyrin. Treatment with an excess of acetic acid resulted in new decay components with time constants of 10 ps and 39 ps. The Förster model for singlet-singlet energy transfer was used to calculate theoretical rates of energy transfer from the zinc porphyrins to the dye allowing for assignment of the 10 ps decay to energy transfer from the ortho-porphyrin and the 39 ps decay to energy transfer from the para-porphyrin. Exponential decay fitting could not separate a component for the meta-porphyrin, and so its decay is assumed to be mixed with those of the ortho- and para-moieties. Aside from ascribing the mechanism of excited state quenching to Förster-type energy transfer, the mechanism of energy dissipation by the dye was not investigated in detail. In contrast to more common rhodamine dyes (such as rhodamine 6G) that are used as fluorescence standards, no emission could be detected from this novel dye by either steady-state or ultrafast fluorescence measurements. Transient absorption spectroscopy of the isolated dye gave a 5 ps excited state decay lifetime for its open form, which physically rationalizes the lack of detectable emission. In summary, this work showed the efficacy of the novel rhodamine dye as an energy sink for the excited states of zinc porphyrins. The kinetics analysis shows attenuation of the antenna’s excited state lifetime by roughly two orders of magnitude, which suggests that the dye could also effectively inhibit electron transfer to an electron acceptor given that the necessary kinetic parameters are met.

Herein is described the synthesis and photophysical characterization of triad 1, shown in Figure 1.3, a reaction center model comprised of a zinc porphyrin donor, a fullerene acceptor, and the aforementioned rhodamine dye quenching switch. In a neutral solution, excitation of the porphyrin leads to formation of a long-lived charge separated state via transfer of an electron to the fullerene. As in the reported antenna compound the protonated, open form of the dye rapidly quenches the porphyrin singlet excited state via singlet-singlet energy transfer. Consequently, the quantum yield of charge separation decreases dramatically. Steady-state absorption and emission, time-resolved fluorescence, and transient absorption spectroscopies were used to
evaluate the efficacy of energy transfer to the dye as a regulator of charge separation in addition to providing a more complete description of the energy quenching mechanism. Model compounds 2 and 3, shown in Figure 1.4, were also prepared and spectroscopically characterized in parallel with 1 in order to isolate the decay kinetics of photophysical processes associated with the porphyrin, rhodamine, and fullerene in exclusion of one or both of the others.
Figure 1.3 An acid-responsive reaction center model, triad 1, that can be reversibly interconverted between its closed (1c) to its open (1o) forms by protonation/deprotonation of the rhodamine dye (D\(_{c}\), D\(_{o}\)). Excitation of the porphyrin moiety of 1c leads to transfer of an electron (e\(^-\)) to the fullerene to form a long-lived charge separated state, D\(_{c}\)-P\(_{Zn}\)-Z-C\(_{60}\). Conversion to 1o allows for rapid singlet-singlet energy (En) transfer from \(^{1}\)P\(_{Zn}\) to D\(_{o}\) thereby reducing the quantum yield of charge separation.
Figure 1.4 Model compounds dyad 2 and dye 3 were prepared to aid in the photophysical analysis of triad 1. In acidic solutions, they also exist in open forms analogous to that of 1o.
Chapter 2
Synthesis of an Acid-Responsive Reaction Center Model

Synthesis of triad 1 constituted the majority of time and funding put toward this body of work. This chapter details the most important efforts toward developing a reproducible synthetic pathway that yielded a sufficient quantity of 1 in at acceptably high purity for use in photophysical investigations. The total synthesis of 1 involved twenty-four non-linear synthetic steps that were all carried out independently by the author. However, twelve of these reactions had been previously reported and are simply referenced in this dissertation. While most of the steps along unsuccessful synthetic pathways discussed herein were novel, several previously reported reactions are also described as they are of strategic significance or were the sources of major synthetic challenges that warrant extended discussion. Experimental methods for all reasonably successful, novel reactions and any characteristic chemical identification data (\(^{1} \text{H} \) NMR, MALDI-TOF-MS, UV-Vis) that were obtained are provided in Appendix A.

Retrosynthetic analysis of 1 (Scheme 2.1) indicates the necessity to form an intermediate porphyrin-hexaphenylbenzene compound (iii) bearing both secondary amine and carboxylic acid functionalities for coupling the rhodamine and fullerene moieties, respectively. It was expected that these coupling reactions needed to be performed in this order to ensure that the reaction conditions would be compatible with the reactivities of all substituents present. The reaction used to attach the rhodamine dye, developed by Yuichi Terazono, involves the use of a palladium catalyst that could, potentially, react with the fullerene moiety and therefore needed to be carried out before the fullerene was introduced.\(^{33}\) Solubility is a concern with carboxylic acid bearing porphyrins in non-polar organic solvents such as toluene, as is required for this reaction. Thus, it was assumed that an ester would need to be present (iii, R = alkyl) to overcome possible solubility issues that could have prevented the coupling reaction leading to iv from occurring. While benzoic acid-bearing porphyrins are usually condensed as their corresponding methyl esters (ii), the hydrolytic conditions required to obtain the acid involve either a large excess of
Scheme 2.1 Retrosynthetic analysis of triad 1.
potassium hydroxide or heating in a mixture of hydrochloric and trifluoroacetic acids. Rhodamine dyes are known to decompose hydrolytically in the presence of hydroxide bases and so the former conditions would not be a viable option for the removal of this protecting group.\textsuperscript{38} There was also concern that the indoline groups may be oxidized to more stable indoles with prolonged exposure to elevated temperatures in the presence of a strong acid, thereby eliminating the option of using the latter conditions. Therefore, an electron-rich 2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl ester (Scheme 2.2) was selected because it could be removed in the presence of a relatively mild acid, trifluoroacetic acid, at ambient temperature (Terazono, et al. unpublished). The most attractive and elegant method to obtain the porphyrin-hexphenylbenzene intermediate ii involves formation of the hexaphenylbenzene moiety via a thermally driven [2+4] Diels-Alder cycloaddition between a diphenylacetylene-bearing porphyrin and tetraphenylcyclopentadienone (i) followed by elimination of carbon monoxide. This methodology was the most obvious starting place given its reported efficacy toward obtaining intermediate ii and several structural analogs bearing unique substituents at the 2’ phenyl ring relative to that connected to the porphyrin.\textsuperscript{32,33,39}

With these constraints in mind, the synthetic pathway shown in Scheme 2.2 was proposed. Porphyrin 5, bearing a diphenylacetylene moiety at the 20-meso position, was prepared by borontrifluoride diethyletherate catalyzed [2+2] condensation from mesityldipyrromethane and the corresponding aldehydes.\textsuperscript{39} The hexaphenylbenzene moiety was then formed by way of the Diels-Alder cycloaddition mentioned above to obtain 6.\textsuperscript{39} Treatment with zinc acetate afforded 7, which was subsequently coupled with p-anisidine via a Buchwald-Hartwig palladium catalyzed aryl-amination reaction yielding the secondary amine 8. Hydrolysis with potassium hydroxide gave the carboxylic acid, 9, which was then treated with a carbodiimide coupling reagent, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI), in the presence of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) and 2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl alcohol to afford the desired ester, 10. Following established protocols for the rhodamine dye, 11, the protected dyad 12 was obtained.\textsuperscript{33} Deprotection of the ester with trifluoroacetic acid was carried out, however an inseparable mixture of products was formed.
Scheme 2.2 A proposed synthetic pathway to 1: i) BF₃OEt₂/EtOH, CHCl₃, RT b) DDQ, RT; ii) Ph₂O, reflux; iii) Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O/MeOH, CHCl₃, RT; iv) Pd(OAc)₂, Cs₂CO₃, P(Bu)₃, toluene, reflux; v) KOH (aq), MeOH/THF, RT; vi) EDCI, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, RT; vii) Pd(OAc)₂, Cs₂CO₃, P(Bu)₃, toluene, reflux; viii) TFA/CH₂Cl₂ ix) EDCI, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂; x) Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O/MeOH, CHCl₃.
The stabilized benzylic carbocation intermediate produced in this reaction has a long lifetime that allowed it to react with the desired product, 13, to form single and double adducts of the 2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl group (133 amu). These adducts were detected by MALDI TOF-MS which showed that, in addition to the expected molecular ion peak at \( m/z = 1853 \), peaks at \( m/z = 1985 \) and 2118 were present in relatively high intensities. These compounds ran as a single spot by thin layer chromatography in all solvent systems that were screened. Resolution of the mixture was attempted numerous times by flash chromatography and preparative thin layer chromatography to no avail. A major issue with chromatographing compounds containing this rhodamine dye is that, in the presence of the silica gel stationary phase, the dye is in equilibrium between its closed (colorless) and open (blue) forms. This has the plainly visible effect of severe band broadening during column chromatography. Other stationary phases including basic and neutral alumina were found to be similarly ineffective. The newly formed carboxylic acid of 13 was also suspected of hindering chromatographic resolution, and so treatment with EDCI and DMAP in the presence of anilinofullerene 14 was carried out in hopes of facilitating separation of the desired product from this mixture. Unfortunately, the desired product still could not be separated and the batch of material was abandoned. Further literature research on this type of deprotection reaction revealed that cation scavengers such as triethylsilane and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene can be added in excess to prevent this type of side reaction from occurring.\(^{32}\)

Repetition of the porphyrin condensation yielded the expected statistical mixture of trans-A\(_2\)BC (5), trans-A\(_2\)B\(_2\), and trans-A\(_2\)C\(_2\) porphyrins shown in Figure 2.1. Following chromatographic separation, the mass spectrum of the band containing 5 showed an additional peak at \( m/z = 799 \) which was attributed to the A\(_3\)B porphyrin shown in Figure 2.1. Repeated chromatographic purification yielded a fraction free of this impurity. However, the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum still indicated the presence of a porphyrin impurity based on observation of minor signals that apparently arose from a distinct mesityl group. At this point the mass spectrum only contained the expected molecular ion peak at \( m/z = 936 \), so it is assumed that the cis-A\(_2\)BC geometric isomer of 5 (Figure 2.1) may have been formed. This and the A\(_3\)B porphyrin are
Figure 2.1 Proposed products of the porphyrin condensation shown in Scheme 2.2 (reaction i) including the expected trans-$A_2B$ (5), trans-$A_2B_2$, and trans-$A_2C_2$ porphyrins as well as the $A_3B$ and cis-$A_2BC$ porphyrins that could result from scrambling.
potential products of scrambling side-reactions, which are discussed in greater detail below. As no chromatographic or recrystallization technique was found that could resolve this mixture, effort was put into achieving resolution of the material via synthetic modifications. Conversion from the free base to the zinc-coordinating tetrapyrrole is often used to aid in resolution of otherwise inseparable porphyrin mixtures. In this case, there was no change noted. The mixture was also carried through several subsequent steps of the synthetic pathway outlined in Scheme 2.2 without success.

The group of Jonathan S. Lindsey has published extensively on porphyrin synthesis methodologies with several reports dedicated to the mechanism of scrambling and methods of reducing its occurrence.40, 41 Essentially, dipyrromethanes and porphyrin condensation intermediates can undergo acid-catalyzed fragmentation to yield pyrrolic and azafulvene compounds. These species are then able to recombine to form products of unwanted compositions and geometries. Scrambling occurs in varying degrees depending upon the acid catalyst, its concentration, and the structures and concentrations of the reactants. Reducing the concentration of the acid catalyst has been shown to reduce scrambling.40 Additionally, catalysis with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) was reported as a ‘no scrambling’ method for porphyrin condensations.40 Reaction conditions were screened using varying concentrations of different acid catalysts in combination with varying concentrations of reactants, also to no end. Subsequently, the porphyrin condensation reactions shown in Scheme 2.3 were carried out. The amine-functionalized aldehyde 17 was formed by way of a Sonogashira coupling reaction of acetylene 16 with 4-iodobenzaldehyde. A portion of this material was converted to the trifluoroacetamide 18 by treatment with trifluoroacetic anhydride. Again, all variations of the porphyrin condensation reaction conditions outlined above resulted in inseparable scrambled mixtures.

These failed attempts to produce isomerically pure batches of porphyrins 5, 19, and 20 necessitated the need for a new strategic approach to the synthesis of a workable porphyrin-hexaphenylbenzene compound. Several structurally analogous antenna and reaction
Scheme 2.3 Functionalization of aldehydes and alternative porphyrin condensations:
i) PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$, Cul, THF/Et$_3$N, RT; ii) TFAA, pyridine, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 0 °C to RT;
iii) a) BF$_3$OEt$_2$/EtOH, CHCl$_3$, RT b) DDQ, RT or a)TFA/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT b) DDQ, RT.
center model compounds had previously been prepared following different synthetic methodologies. In one report, a cobalt-templated [2+2+2] cycloaddition of three diphenylacetylene compounds was used to produce a porphyrin-substituted hexaphenylbenzene. However, this method would not be selective for non-symmetrical substitution at the desired 2’ position relative to that of the porphyrin as in the structure of triad 1. The yield of the desired compound would therefore be drastically reduced due to the statistical mixture of potentially inseparable geometric isomers that would form. Another report used an aldehyde-substituted hexaphenylbenzene as a reactant in a porphyrin condensation reaction. While this method would solve the issue of geometric selectivity, the yield was unacceptably low for the purpose of this project. In contrast, Suzuki coupling reactions between meso-bromoporphyrins and aromatic boronate esters have been reported in excellent yields. This strategy was pursued to form the requisite hexaphenylbenzene-porphyrin intermediate via a novel hexaphenylbenzene boronate ester.

The synthetic efforts toward obtaining the desired hexaphenylbenzene boronate ester are outlined in Scheme 2.5. Initial attempts to synthesize this non-symmetrical disubstituted hexaphenylbenzene involved substitution of dibromo-hexaphenylbenzene 21 with p-anisidine using a Buchwald-Hartwig reaction. A complex mixture of products was obtained, and while it did appear to contain the desired compound 22, the yield was unacceptably low. The presence of two bromine atoms allowed for catalysis to continue beyond the first substitution to yield the di-substituted and various cross-coupled products. Elimination of this issue required that the hexaphenylbenzene be formed by a [2+4] cycloaddition involving a non-symmetrically substituted diphenylacetylene. To this end, diphenylacetylene 23 was readily formed via a Sonogashira palladium coupling of acetylene and 4-bromo-iodobenzene. Due to the high temperature of the forthcoming Diels-Alder cycloaddition reaction, 260 °C, and the propensity for diphenylamines to undergo oxidative degradation, formation of a thermally and oxidatively resilient amide was desired. The use of a trifluoroacetamide was an attractive option as it could be hydrolyzed using a hydroxide base at ambient temperature, conditions that would simultaneously hydrolyze a methylbenzoate porphyrin substituent (as was successfully used for conversion of 8 to 9).
Scheme 2.4 Synthetic approaches to the non-symmetrical hexaphenylbenzene:
i) Pd(OAc)$_2$, Cs$_2$CO$_3$, P(Bu)$_3$, toluene, reflux; ii) PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$, CuI, THF/Et$_3$N, RT; iii) TFAA, pyridine, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 0 °C to RT; iv) Ph$_2$O, reflux; v) acetyl chloride, pyridine, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 0 °C to RT; vi) Ph$_2$O, reflux; vii) Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$·CH$_2$Cl$_2$, KOOAc, 1,4-dioxane, 100 °C.
However, trifluoroacetamides are also known to only possess moderate stability toward thermal decomposition above 150 °C. Treatment of 23 with trifluoroacetic anhydride afforded the protected acetylene 24. Formation of 25 by cycloaddition with tetraphenylcyclopentadieneone was accompanied by heavy, unresolvable decomposition as noted in the 1H NMR spectrum of the product-containing fraction isolated by column chromatography. Clearly, a more thermally stable protecting group was required. Thus, acetamide 26 was prepared by treatment of 23 with acetyl chloride. The non-symmetrical hexaphenylbenzene 27 was then formed without decomposition by the cycloaddition reaction. Final purification of this intermediate by recrystallization from refluxing toluene yielded a 1:1 complex of 27 with toluene, as noted by 1H NMR after forceful attempts to remove solvent residues under strong vacuum at elevated temperatures. Synthesis of the desired boronate ester 28 was completed by way of a palladium catalyzed coupling reaction of 27 with bis(pinacolato)diboron. During chromatographic purification, significant decomposition of the boronate ester to the boronic acid was noted if the rate of elution was too low.

With boronate ester 28 and bromoporphyrin 30 (prepared by zincation of the free base 29) in hand, the sequential assembly of triad 1 (Scheme 2.5) was begun. First, optimal conditions for the critical Suzuki coupling reaction to yield the desired porphyrin-hexaphenylbenzene 31 were investigated. Suzuki reactions are often carried out in coordinating organic solvents and, in particular, tetrahydrofuran (THF) has been used very successfully with porphyrin reactants. Initial trials using THF demonstrated that 31 could, in fact, be produced by this method. However, replacement of the meso-bromine atom with hydrogen was by far the favored reaction under these conditions suggesting that the intermediate palladium-porphyrin complex underwent protic elimination before it could react with the hexaphenylbenzene boronate ester. Given the likelihood that steric hinderance may have affected the reactivity of one or both compounds, it was hypothesized that a higher reaction temperature could improve the kinetic favorability of the desired coupling reaction versus elimination. Indeed, the same reaction, when allowed to proceed in refluxing toluene for three days, gave 31 in yields of 65-74%. Global deprotection of the ester and acetamide groups was
Scheme 2.5 The final synthetic pathway followed to obtain 1: i) $\text{Zn(OAc)}_2$·2H$_2$O/MeOH, CHCl$_3$, RT; ii) Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$, K$_3$PO$_4$, toluene, reflux; iii) KOH/MeOH, THF, 75 °C; iv) EDCI, DMAP, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; v) Pd(OAc)$_2$, Cs$_2$CO$_3$, P(Bu)$_3$, toluene, reflux; vi) TFA/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; vii) EDCI, DMAP, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; viii) $\text{Zn(OAc)}_2$·2H$_2$O/MeOH, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT.
attempted under both basic and acidic conditions. Alkaline hydrolysis was carried out by addition of saturated methanolic potassium hydroxide to a THF solution of 31 followed by reflux for 12 days. The mass spectrum showed complete conversion to the carboxylic and secondary amine as indicated by a single peak with m/z = 1384 corresponding to the mass of 9. Daily analysis of the reaction progress had shown complete hydrolysis of the methyl ester and minimal hydrolysis of the acetamide within the first day, indicating that the acetamide is overwhelmingly stable to this type of hydrolytic cleavage. In an attempt to achieve the desired reaction in less time, a sample of 31 was dissolved in a 2:1 mixture of concentrated hydrochloric and trifluoroacetic acids and held at 80 °C in a sealed vessel. After several days, analysis of the reaction mixture indicated that virtually no hydrolysis of the acetamide had occurred. Reiteration of the alkaline hydrolysis procedures in sealed pressure vessels and heating at 75 °C for 11-14 days gave 9 in yields of 91-99%.

Porphyrin 9 marks the intersection of the synthetic shown in Scheme 2.5 and the originally proposed pathway in Scheme 2.2. In an effort to completely circumvent the previous catastrophic deprotection reaction, a palladium catalyzed coupling of carboxylic acid-bearing 9 and rhodamine 11 was carried out in toluene. Following overnight reflux, TLC analysis of the reaction mixture did not show obvious formation of the desired product, 13. However, the mass spectrum of this mixture revealed trace levels of a species with m/z = 1853 matching the molecular weight of 13. Notwithstanding this positive result, the mixture was predominantly composed of unreacted porphyrin 9 and dehalogenated rhodamine 11. Shortly after reaching reflux temperature, it was noted that a suspension of fine particulate appeared to have formed. Given the results of the reaction, this fine particulate was suspected to be an insoluble cesium carboxylate salt of 9. In efforts to improve the solubility the reaction was attempted in coordinating organic solvents, THF and 1,4-dioxane. Surprisingly, no traces of 13 were detected following these reactions. These results suggested the necessity of a protecting group to eliminate formation of the carboxylate salt. Synthesis of the photonically controlled antenna-reaction center complex reviewed in Chapter 1 involved esterification of a porphyrin intermediate with 4-methoxy-α-methylbenzyl
alcohol, which was later removed by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid in the presence of a huge excess of a cation scavenger. Coupling of carbodiimide-activated 9 with this alcohol proceeded smoothly to give 32. As was observed during chromatographic purification of boronate ester 28, benzyl ester 32 was converted back to the carboxylic acid, 9, if the material was not eluted quickly enough. Porphyrin 32 was coupled with rhodamine 11 using established Buchwald-Hartwig aryl amination conditions to give dyad 33. Treatment of 33 with trifluoroacetic acid in a 50 mg/mL solution of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene in dichloromethane cleanly afforded the free base dyad 13 bearing a carboxylic acid moiety. From dyad 13, triad 15 was obtained via a well-known amide forming reaction with anilinofullerene 14. Trace quantities of methanol from chromatographic purification of 13 were found to intercept the carbodiimide-activated acid leading to formation of the corresponding methyl ester as a minor byproduct. Unfortunately, this impurity was found to be impossible to separate from triad 15 by column chromatography and so preventing its formation by removal of trace methanol from 13 was pursued. The most effective method was found to be repeated dissolution in chloroform followed by distillation of the chloroform-methanol azeotrope. In spite of these efforts, the methyl ester was still formed in approximately 4% yield. Separation of this impurity could only be achieved by repeated preparative thin-layer chromatographic purification. Finally, the pure free base triad 15 was treated with zinc acetate to afford the target compound, triad 1, in essentially quantitative yield.

Diverging from the synthetic pathway to 1, the model compound dyad 2 was synthesized from the carboxylic acid-bearing hexaphenylbenzene-porphyrin 9 as shown in Scheme 2.6. The tert-butylanilide 34 was readily obtained by way of carbodiimide-activatation of 9 in the presence of 4-tert-butylaniline. This was followed by a Buchwald-Hartwig aryl-amination with rhodamine 11 to give the desired model dyad 2 in modest yield. Model dye 3 was generously provided by Yuichi Terazono.
Scheme 2.6 The synthetic pathway followed to obtain 2: i) EDCI, DMAP, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; ii) Pd(OAc)$_2$, Cs$_2$CO$_3$, P(Bu)$_3$, toluene, reflux.
Chapter 3
Photophysical and Kinetics Analyses

Photophysical characterization of reaction center and antenna models focuses on the fate of photo-generated excited states of individual chromophores as they return to the ground state through various decay pathways. In the absence of interchromophore interactions, the mechanisms of excited state decay can generally be summarized as internal conversion, intersystem crossing, and fluorescence. Internal conversion (IC) refers to non-radiative vibrational decay to the ground state accompanied by the release of heat. Intersystem crossing (ISC) is a spin-forbidden transition signaling conversion between singlet and triplet states. Fluorescence involves emission of a photon whose wavelength is representative of the energy of the excited state to ground state transition from which it arises. In covalently linked multi-chromophore systems, additional singlet excited state decay pathways are activated and generally include electron and energy transfer processes.

As has been observed and characterized in many porphyrin donor – fullerene acceptor systems, the subject of this research, triad 1, was designed to undergo photoinduced electron transfer to generate a long-lived (nanoseconds) porphyrin radical cation - fullerene radical anion charge separated state, $P_{Zn}^{+} \cdot C_{60}^{−}$, under neutral conditions. The long lifetime arises from the ability of fullerenes to stabilize a single negative charge by distribution of the electron density over the entire carbon framework, thus making them attractive electron acceptors for reaction center models.50, 51 The charge separated state lifetimes are sufficiently long (nano- to microseconds) to hypothetically allow for electron transfer to a secondary acceptor in analogy to the photosynthetic electron transport chain. However, in the absence of a secondary acceptor, the charge separated state recombines to form the neutral ground state, $P_{Zn} C_{60}$ accompanied by release of heat.

Marcus theory summarizes the significant contributions to the field of electron transfer kinetics and thermodynamics put forth by Rudolph A. Marcus.34 The Marcus interpretation of excited state electron transfer for $P_{Zn} C_{60}$, shown in Figure 3.1, treats the ground, excited, and
Figure 3.1 A schematic Marcus theory description of photoinduced electron transfer.
charge separated states as parabolic potential energy wells. Each well is representative of the combined $P_{Zn}$ and $C_{60}$ free energies along with contributions from solvation. First, absorption of a photon promotes an electron from the ground state to an excited state; in this case the lowest energy singlet excited state is shown. This vertical transition implies that no nuclear motion takes place. Transfer of an electron from $^1P_{Zn}$ to $C_{60}$ to form $P_{Zn}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot+}$-$C_{60}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot-}$ is then thermodynamically allowed from this energized state. Electron transfer occurs along the horizontal reaction coordinate and takes the lowest energy path along which the potential energy surfaces of the initial and final states intersect. The rate of electron transfer (charge separation) can thus be defined using the following equations:

$$k_{CS} = v \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{CS}^\dagger}{RT}\right)$$

(3.1)

$$\Delta G_{CS}^\dagger = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta G^\circ}{\lambda}\right)^2$$

(3.2)

The term $v$ includes the electronic coupling between the donor and acceptor, $\lambda$ refers to the total reorganization energy associated with donor-acceptor and solvent nuclear rearrangements, $\Delta G_{CS}^\dagger$ is the activation energy, and $\Delta G^\circ$ represents the thermodynamic driving force for the reaction. Through these equations, changes in electron transfer rates in relation to solvent polarity can be rationalized. Polar solvents are able to stabilize $P_{Zn}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot+}$-$C_{60}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot-}$ state more so than non-polar solvents, represented by a negative vertical shift its potential well. Therefore, the driving force increases and the activation energy decreases resulting in rate enhancement. For the case shown, it is also worth noting that the activation energy of charge recombination, $\Delta G_{CR}^\dagger$, is relatively large giving rise to the long lifetime of $P_{Zn}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot+}$-$C_{60}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot-}$.

As was found in previous studies involving the rhodamine dye moiety of compounds 1, 2 and 3, the ability of its open form to quench the excited states of covalently linked zinc porphyrins is attributed to rapid energy transfer and subsequent decay to the ground state. A theoretical model for the decay of singlet excited states by through-space singlet-singlet energy transfer was
developed by Theodor Förster and has since become hugely influential in fields ranging from chemical physics to biophysical dynamics. The Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) model describes transfer of excited state energy via donor-acceptor dipole-dipole interactions. Essentially, the strength of this interaction depends on resonant coupling between the donor and acceptor transition dipoles. The transition of the donor excited state decay must energetically overlap with the transition of the acceptor excited state formation to some degree; the better the overlap, the stronger the interaction. Förster's theory treats this as a Coulombic interaction between the oscillating transition dipoles of a single donor electron and a single acceptor electron. Oscillations of the donor electron induce oscillations in the acceptor electron by through-space electrostatic interactions allowing for the passage of energy from one electron to the other. Thus, the relative orientations of the dipoles and the distance between them also influence the strength of the interaction. This model allows for prediction of energy transfer rates based on experimentally measurable properties using the following equation:

\[ k_{FRET} = \frac{9000 \ln \theta \kappa^2 k_r}{128 \pi^4 N_A n^4 R_{DA}} J \]

(3.3)

In this equation, \( \kappa^2 \) is the dipole-orientation factor, \( k_r \) is the radiative rate constant of the donor (calculated from the fluorescence decay lifetime and the fluorescence quantum yield), \( N_A \) is Avogadro's number, \( n \) is the refractive index of the solvent, \( R_{DA} \) is the donor-acceptor center-to-center separation distance, and \( J \) is the overlap integral related to the strength of donor-acceptor interactions.

Steady-state spectroscopies provide useful information regarding photophysical processes that can be detected at the equilibrium state of a system. Absorption measurements allow for observation of transitions from the ground state (\( S_0 \)) to excited states (\( S_1, S_2, \text{etc.} \)) induced by photo-excitation via incremental exposure to a portion of the UV-visible-and near IR light spectrum. Each absorption band that is recorded, indicating that photons of particular
wavelengths were absorbed by the sample rather than passing through to the detector, refers to a particular transition of a particular species within the sample, barring the complete energetic overlap of transitions from different species. Emission measurements give information regarding transitions beginning from the first singlet excited state (S₁) or triplet (T₁) state leading to the ground state (S₀). A sample is continuously excited at a wavelength corresponding to a particular electronic transition and the photons emitted from the formed excited state are captured by a photon measuring device. Transitions detected by steady-state methods are often useful as diagnostic indicators of sample composition and can be used to calculate the relative energy levels of emissive excited state species.

Time-resolved fluorescence and transient absorption spectroscopies are used to obtain kinetic information regarding the excited state lifetimes of unique chemical species and the rates by which they decay. Sufficiently emissive excited states may be monitored by decay of their fluorescence at a particular wavelength. The decay profiles obtained by this type of measurement can then be fit by a multi-exponential decay model. Multiple decay components represent chemically unique species that share a common S₁ to S₀ transition energy (emission wavelength). Each component is fit by a decay time constant that represents the S₁ lifetime of that species. The inverse of this lifetime is the sum total of the rate constants of all decay processes available to that species. In order to detect very short-lived and/or non-emissive transient species and to deconvolute the decay kinetics of species whose emissions overlap significantly, transient absorption spectroscopy is used. In contrast to the aforementioned optical techniques, transient absorption is readily able to record transitions from S₁ to Sₙ, S₁ to T₁, T₁ to S₀, S₁ to S₂, etc. The technique used to study triad 1 and model compounds 2 and 3 is known as pump-probe transient absorption spectroscopy. First, a population of excited states is generated by an intense, monochromatic 'pump' pulse. Then, following a specified time delay, a broad-spectrum 'probe' pulse is passed through the sample and the absorption spectrum is captured. Each spectrum captured is recorded as the difference in absorption collected before and after the pump pulse. This alternation of pump and probe pulses is repeated to obtain a series of difference absorption
spectra at varying time delays. For this work, the time delays separating these light pulses were varied from hundreds of femtoseconds to tens of nanoseconds. Taken together, this series of difference spectra at varying time delays can be globally fit to produce a set of decay associated spectra (DAS) that describe the formations and decays of individual transient species including singlet and triplet excited states and charge separated states. As a whole, the global fitting results describe photophysical processes such as fluorescence, energy transfer, charge separation, charge recombination, and intersystem crossing. The information obtained through these spectroscopic techniques allows for determination of rate constants and mechanisms for the formations and decays of individual transient chemical species.

Spectroscopic analyses of 1, 2 and 3 were carried out in order to compile kinetic and mechanistic descriptions of the major photophysical processes that follow photo-excitation of 1 via its primary chromophore, a zinc porphyrin (P_{Zn}). The steady-state experiments and the kinetics interpretation from the total system perspective described in this chapter were predominantly the work of the author. The time-resolved fluorescence and transient absorption experiments, data analyses, and mechanistic interpretations were performed by Gerdenis Kodis.

**Steady-State Absorption and Emission**

The acetic acid (AcOH) mediated conversion of 1c to 1o in dichloromethane (CH_{2}Cl_{2}) was monitored using steady-state absorption and emission spectroscopies. The absorption spectrum of 1c shown in Figure 3.2A (solid, black) is composed of maxima typical of a zinc porphyrin (P_{Zn}) at 422 nm (Soret) and 549 and 589 nm (Q-bands). Absorption bands attributed to the closed rhodamine dye (D_{C}) at 311 and 330 nm and the fullerene (C_{60}) at 706 nm were also observed but are not shown. Addition of AcOH produced a broad absorbance band at ca. 650 nm accompanied by slightly increased absorbance across the visible range. These optical features are characteristic of the open dye (D_{O}) and indicate formation of 1o. Following acidification, the sample was neutralized with aqueous Na_{2}CO_{3}, filtered through a pad of silica,
Figure 3.2 Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra were collected as 1 was titrated with AcOH: 0 M (solid, black), 0.43 M (dash), 0.83 M (dot), 1.2 M (dot-dash), 1.6 M (dot-dash-dot), and 2.3 M (solid, blue). Neutralization with Na₂CO₃ (aq) resulted in the spectra shown as circles. Spectra have been corrected for volume changes. A The maxima at 422, 559, and 591 nm are characteristic of P₇₅. Increasing intensity of the feature at 652 nm, diagnostic for D₂O, signifies formation of 1₀. B Emission spectra (λex = 550 nm) show decreasing intensity of ¹P₇₅ fluorescence at 598 and 647 nm concomitant with formation of 1₀. Maxima at 711 and 793 nm arise from ¹C₆₀.
evaporated to dryness under a stream of argon, and redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The resulting absorption spectrum (open circles) very closely matches the pre-titration spectrum of 1c, thus indicating reversible interconversion between 1c and 1o. The emission spectrum collected for 1c ($\lambda_{ex} = 550$ nm) shown in Figure 3.2B (solid, black) features maxima at 605 and 652 nm characteristic of fluorescence from the zinc porphyrin singlet excited state ($^1P_{Zn}$) and at 711 and 793 nm, which are attributed to fluorescence from the fullerene singlet excited state ($^1C_{60}$).

Protonation of the dye with AcOH led to a significant reduction in porphyrin emission intensity indicating that Do strongly quenches $^1P_{Zn}$. Following neutralization, the emission spectrum (open circles) closely resembles that of 1c further demonstrating reversible interconversion between 1c and 1o by protonation/deprotonation of the dye. The slight increase of $^1C_{60}$ emission intensity at 700-800 nm relative to that of $^1P_{Zn}$ at 600-650 nm can be explained by increased quenching of $^1P_{Zn}$ by charge separation due to rate enhancement in the presence of trace water or methanol from the work-up procedure. This effect is noted in kinetics analysis discussion vide infra. However, this may also/instead indicate that minor decomposition occurred during the experiment.

Time-Resolved Fluorescence

Fluorescence decays ($\lambda_{ex} = 550$ nm, $\lambda_{obs} = 650$ nm) for 1 and 2 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0 M, 1.6 M, and 4.5 M AcOH were recorded using the time correlated single photon counting technique and the data were fit by multi-exponential decay curves, shown in Figure 3.3, to obtain decay lifetimes for photophysically unique species (Table 3.1). The decays for 1c and 2c (0 M AcOH) gave $\tau_1 = 183$ ps and 1.97 ns, respectively. The lifetime of 2c is in good agreement with those reported for similar compounds suggesting that it decays via typical pathways. The excited state lifetime of 1c is an order of magnitude shorter than that of 2c, a result of one or more quenching processes attributed to interactions between $^1P_{Zn}$ and C$_{60}$. The 183 ps lifetime of 1c is expected to include the kinetics from charge separation to form the $P_{Zn}^{**}$-$C_{60}^{*-}$ charge separated state and
**Figure 3.3** Time-resolved fluorescence decays collected at 650 nm for of 1 and 2 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (A and B, respectively) ($\lambda_{ex} = 420$ nm) and their exponential decay fit lines with [AcOH] = 0 M (circles), 1.6 M (triangles), and 4.5 M (squares).

**Table 3.1** Time-resolved fluorescence exponential decay fitting results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[AcOH]</th>
<th>(\tau_1) (ps)</th>
<th>(\tau_2) (ps)</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 M</td>
<td>183 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 M</td>
<td>178 (33%)</td>
<td>17 (67%)</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 M</td>
<td>160 (15%)</td>
<td>17 (85%)</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 M</td>
<td>1970 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 M</td>
<td>1960 (32%)</td>
<td>19 (68%)</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 M</td>
<td>1970 (13%)</td>
<td>19 (87%)</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.4 Time-resolved fluorescence decay profile collected at 800 nm 1 in toluene ($\lambda_{ex} = 550$ nm) and the exponential decay fit line. The fit is composed of a rise component with $\tau = 255$ ps, and decay components with $\tau = 1.34$ ns (90%) and 2.18 ns (10%). The rise time is attributed to formation of $^1\text{C}_60$ from decay of $^1\text{P}_\text{Zn}$. The 1.34 ns component is characteristic of $^1\text{C}_60$ decay and the 2.18 ns component is associated with impurities resulting from sample degradation.
also, possibly, energy transfer to form $^1$C$_{60}$. The fitting results obtained for 1 and 2 in the presence of AcOH were each composed of two decay components indicating that the samples contained mixtures of their closed and open forms, the relative populations of which are reflected by their associated pre-exponential factors (tabulated as percentages). In each case the longer $\tau_1$ lifetimes are attributed to fluorescence decay arising from the closed forms, as they are similar to the $\tau_1$ lifetimes observed in the absence of AcOH. The $\tau_1$ lifetimes for 1c are noted to decrease with increasing AcOH, a result of enhanced rates of charge separation in higher polarity solutions. The shorter components, $\tau_2 = 17$ ps and 19 ps, are assigned to decay of $^1$P$_{Zn}$ for 1o and 2o, respectively. The significant decreases in these lifetimes relative to the $\tau_1$ lifetimes are attributed to the presence of a $^1$P$_{Zn}$ to D$_o$ singlet-singlet energy transfer decay pathway for 1o and 2o. These lifetimes are also in good agreement with those previously reported for analogous compounds exhibiting this specific energy transfer mechanism.

The fluorescence decay of 1c in toluene was collected to investigate the possibility of singlet-singlet energy transfer from $^1$P$_{Zn}$ to C$_{60}$. The decay profile ($\lambda_{ex} = 550$ nm, $\lambda_{obs} = 800$ nm) was best fit by a multi-exponential decay, shown in Figure 3.4, giving a $\tau = 255$ ps rise component and decay components with $\tau = 1.34$ ns (90%) and 2.18 ns (10%). The dominant 1.34 ns component is attributed to decay of $^1$C$_{60}$, which coincides with the fluorescence ascribed to $^1$C$_{60}$ in the steady-state emission spectrum of 1c. This lifetime is also in strong agreement with reported $^1$C$_{60}$ lifetimes. While some portion of $^1$C$_{60}$ is likely formed by direct excitation of C$_{60}$ at 550 nm, the much greater OD$_{550}$ of P$_{Zn}$ relative to that of C$_{60}$ suggests that some portion of the $^1$C$_{60}$ population likely evolved as a result of $^1$P$_{Zn}$ decay. The rise component is attributed to such a process, for which the mechanism is discussed vide infra. The minor 2.18 ns component is similar to the decay lifetime of $^1$P$_{Zn}$ for 2c in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and so is attributed to decay of 'free'-$^1$P$_{Zn}$ produced by sample decomposition through which some C$_{60}$ was completely cleaved from- or otherwise rendered an ineffective $^1$P$_{Zn}$ electron and energy acceptor in the degraded compound.
Transient Absorption

Pump-probe transient absorption spectroscopy was used to identify and characterize the kinetics of charge separation, singlet-singlet energy transfer, and other excited state decay processes associated with 1, 2, and 3. Charge separation was induced in 1c by excitation of P_{Zn} (\lambda_{ex} = 550 nm) in CH_2Cl_2 and the difference absorption spectra across several spectral windows were collected over 3 ns intervals to monitor decay of \textsuperscript{1}P_{Zn} and formation of the resulting charge separated state. Global fitting of these data produced DAS showing formation of long-lived P_{Zn}^+ and C_{60}^- species evolving from decay of \textsuperscript{1}P_{Zn}. The DAS in Figure 3.5A have two components showing stimulated emission (SE) from \textsuperscript{1}P_{Zn} decay (dash) at 650 nm with \tau = 190 ps leading to induced absorption (IA) from formation of P_{Zn}^+ (solid) at 660 nm that did not decay on the timescale of this experiment.\textsuperscript{39, 53, 54} The DAS in Figure 3.5B show formation of a non-decaying IA at 1000 nm with \tau = 190 ps indicative of formation of a long-lived C_{60}^{++}.\textsuperscript{39, 53, 54} The fitted lifetimes of formation for P_{Zn}^+ and C_{60}^{++} agree with the fluorescence decay lifetimes determined for 1c. The kinetics of formation of \textsuperscript{1}C_{60} via energy transfer from \textsuperscript{1}P_{Zn} may also be a component of these lifetimes. A longer timescale experiment was performed with a deoxygenated sample of 1c in CH_2Cl_2 (\lambda_{ex} = 560 nm) to observe decay of the charge separated state by recombination and to detect for other long-lived species. The DAS in Figure 3.6 show decay of IA (solid) at 650 nm signifying decay of P_{Zn}^+ with \tau = 5.19 ns. The non-decaying IA (dot) at 700 nm is attributed to a \textsuperscript{3}C_{60} species produced by ISC from \textsuperscript{1}C_{60}.\textsuperscript{54, 57}

The mechanism of \textsuperscript{1}C_{60} formation for 1c in toluene was elucidated by monitoring the decay of \textsuperscript{1}P_{Zn} by transient absorption spectroscopy (\lambda_{ex} = 590 nm). Global analysis of the data gave a good fit for the time constants obtained from the decay of \textsuperscript{1}C_{60} emission and resulted in the three components DAS shown in Figure 3.7. The (solid) component with \tau = 255 ps shows decay of SE at 600 and 650 nm arising from decay of \textsuperscript{1}P_{Zn} and essentially simultaneous decay of ground state bleaching (GSB) at 550 and 600 nm signifying reformation of the ground state, P_{Zn}.
Figure 3.5 Decay associated spectra fit to transient absorption data collected from 1c in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ ($\lambda_{ex} = 550$ nm). A The (dash) component represents decay of $^1P_{Zn}$ with $\tau = 190$ p and the (solid) component arises from non-decaying P$_{Zn}^{* *}$ species. B The (dash) component indicates formation of C$_{60}^{* -}$ with $\tau = 190$ ps that did not decay (solid) within the timescale of this experiment.
Figure 3.6 Decay associated spectra fit to transient absorption data collected from 1c in deoxygenated CH$_2$Cl$_2$ ($\lambda_{ex} = 560$ nm). The (solid) component shows decay of P$_{Zn}$** with $\tau = 5.19$ ns and the (dot) component arises from a non-decaying $^3$C$_{60}$.
Figure 3.7 DAS fit to transient absorption data collected from 1c in toluene ($\lambda_{ex} = 590$ nm). The (solid) component shows concerted decay of $^1P_{Zn}$ and reformation of its ground state with $\tau = 255$ ps. The (dash) component represents formation of $^3C_{60}$ with $\tau = 1.34$ ns that did not decay (dot) within the time scale of this experiment.
This concerted return to the ground state is indicative of an energy transfer mechanism, as formation of a transient charge separated state would show a delay in the decay of GSB. The (dash) component represents decay of $^1C_{60}$ and formation of $^3C_{60}$ with a lifetime of 1.34 ns, which generated a non-decaying IA (dot) from the long-lived $^3C_{60}$. A CH$_2$Cl$_2$/AcOH solution comprised predominantly of 3o was examined using transient absorption spectroscopy to determine the mechanism by which the singlet excited state of the open dye ($^1D_O$) decays. As no emission could be detected for this species by steady-state or time-resolved fluorescence measurements, it was expected to be an ultrafast process. The resulting DAS ($\lambda_{ex} = 640$ nm) shown in Figure 3.8 describe a three-component decay mechanism fit by time constants $\tau = 1.6$ ps (solid), 2.9 ps (dash), and 12 ps (dot). The 1.6 ps component (solid) shows decay of SE at 700-770 nm signifying decay of $^1D_O$ and formation of GSB at 640 nm attributed to formation of a hot ground state, $^{hot}D_O$, with $\tau = 1.6$ ps. This ultrafast relaxation of $^1D_O$ likely occurs via intramolecular internal charge transfer (ICT). The other components show decay of GSB at 630 and 620 nm with $\tau = 2.9$ ps and 12 ps, respectively. These decays are attributed to vibrational cooling of $^{hot}D_O$, typically the slowest components of relaxation, which yield the fully relaxed ground state, $D_O$. Alternatively, there could be an inverted kinetics case where the 2.9 ps component is assigned to relaxation of $^1D_O$ and the 1.6 ps component is assigned to cooling. The 12 ps component (dot) displays a slightly blue shifted GSB, indicative of a vibrational cooling process involving rearrangement of the solvent, and IA around 700 nm, characteristic of the non-equilibrated ground state where SE from $^1D_O$ decay is observed. The most probable pathway for this relaxation process is illustrated in Figure 3.9.

Transient absorption measurements of 1o and 2o were collected to monitor energy transfer from $^1P_{Zn}$ to $D_O$. The DAS for 2o in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ ($\lambda_{ex} = 420$ nm) shown in Figure 3.10A are composed of two components that describe decay of $^1P_{Zn}$ by energy transfer to $D_O$ followed by ultrafast relaxation of $^1D_O$. The (dash) component shows decay of SE at 610 and 660 nm with $\tau = 19$ ps signifying decay of $^1P_{Zn}$ concomitant with formation of $^1D_O$. This time constant is consistent with the fluorescence decay lifetimes fit for 1o and 2o. Following energy transfer, the
Figure 3.8 DAS fit to transient absorption data collected from 3o in CH₂Cl₂ with an excess of AcOH (λ_{ex} = 640 nm). The solid component arises from decay of ^1D₀ with τ = 1.6 ps. The remaining components arise from vibrational relaxation and cooling of the hot ground state, D₀^hot, with τ = 2.9 ps (dash) and 12 ps (dot).
Figure 3.9 A schematic representation of the relaxation pathway of $^1D_0$. The shapes and vertical positions of the energy wells, the placements and separations of vibrational energy levels, and the relative horizontal positions of the minima were chosen arbitrarily in order to illustrate the most probable relaxation pathway and its corresponding decay lifetime assignments based on the transient absorption results for 3o. The curvatures of the non-emissive transitions (shown in red and green) are meant to convey movement along a second reaction coordinate axis representing solvent reorganization.
Figure 3.10 A DAS fit to transient absorption data collected from \(2o\) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) with an excess of AcOH (\(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 420\) nm). The (dash) component arises from decay of \(^1P_{2h}\) with \(\tau = 19\) ps. The (solid) component is attributed to decay of \(^1D_0\) with \(\tau = 1.6\) ps. B DAS fit to transient absorption data shown as (dot) were collected from \(1o\) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) with an excess of AcOH (\(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 420\) nm) and could be fit by the same lifetimes as those for \(2o\). Three-point smoothing of these data gave the (dash) and (solid) lines.
formation of IA at 610 and 660 nm for which the global analysis gave a good fit for
\( \tau = 1.6 \) ps (solid) suggests that this component can be ascribed to relaxation of \(^1D_0\) as observed for \(3o\). This component may also include relaxation from the \(P_{Zn} S_2\) excited state, which has a lifetime of around 1.5 ps following excitation at the Soret.\(^{60}\) These fitting results were obtained by fixing \(\tau = 19\) ps in order to obtain an acceptable fit with only the 19 ps and 1.6 ps components.

Under identical experimental conditions, the data obtained from 10 gave DAS with similar features to those of 20 and were fit reasonably well using the same time constants (Figure 3.10B). For both sets of data, the 19 ps decay is mixed with the longer multi-component decay process associated with \(D_0\) as seen in 30.

**Kinetics Analysis**

For the calculations of rate constants that follow, the rates of IC, ISC and emission processes for \(^1P_{Zn}\) are expressed as a sum total, \(k_0\). The rate constants of the decay processes associated with \(^1P_{Zn}\) for 1 are influenced significantly by whether or not energy transfer from \(^1P_{Zn}\) to \(C_{60}\) occurs. Formation of the \(^1C_{60}\) species, inferred from the time-resolved fluorescence and transient absorption experiments described, could likely occur by one of two mechanisms other than direct excitation of \(C_{60}\). The photophysical analysis reported for a structurally analogous \(P_{Zn}C_{60}\) dyad suggests that the mechanism could involve transient formation of a \(P_{Zn}^+\cdot C_{60}^-\) charge separated state, whose energy level in toluene lies between that of \(^1P_{Zn}\) and \(^1C_{60}\), followed by rapid recombination to form \(P_{Zn}^\cdot C_{60}^-\).\(^{54}\) However, the DAS for 1c in toluene does not show evidence supporting the involvement of such an intermediate. Formation of a charge separated state would be characterized by formation of IA at around 660 nm from \(P_{Zn}^+\) as seen in the spectra collected in for 1c in \(CH_2Cl_2\). There is, however, the possibility that the charge separated state is formed but decays too rapidly to produce any diagnostic transient signals above the instrument noise level.

The 255 ps time constant, \(\tau_{\text{Rise}}\), taken from fluorescence decay and transient absorption
measurements for 1c in toluene is composed of decay kinetics for \(^1\)P\(_{\text{Zn}}\). The 2.18 ns \(^1\)P\(_{\text{Zn}}\) lifetime found in the fluorescence decay fitting for 1c in toluene was used to determine \(k_0\). The rate of energy transfer to C\(_{60}\) was determined to be \(k_1 = 3.5 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\) using Eq 3.4.

\[
k_1 = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{Rise}}} - k_0 \tag{3.4}
\]

The rate of this proposed energy transfer process was also calculated using the Förster rate equation, Eq 3.3. The fluorescence quantum yield of \(^1\)P\(_{\text{Zn}}\) was taken as 0.04.\(^{61}\) The extinction coefficient of the functionalized C\(_{60}\) was measured as 2315 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) for the anилиnofullerene compound mentioned in the synthesis section. The structure of 1c was minimized using the PM6 semiempirical method and the P\(_{\text{Zn}}\)-C\(_{60}\) center-to-center distance of 19 Å was taken as the interchromophore distance. Assuming a dipole-orientation factor of 2/3 (random orientation), the rate was determined to be \(k_{\text{FRET}} = 2.8 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\). Comparing this to the experimentally determined rate in question and taking into consideration the assumption of random dipole orientation and that solvent was not included in the structure minimization, it is reasonable to assign the observed rise kinetics to through-space singlet-singlet energy transfer as implicated by the transient absorption dynamics. The rate of \(^1\)C\(_{60}\) decay was determined from it's fluorescence lifetime as \(k_2 = 7.5 \times 10^8 \text{s}^{-1}\) which includes ISC to form \(^3\)C\(_{60}\) and other typical relaxation processes.

The energy level diagram for 1 shown in Figure 3.11 provides a schematic view of the decay pathways from \(^1\)P\(_{\text{Zn}}\) (2.09 eV) leading to the ground state, D-P\(_{\text{Zn}}\)-C\(_{60}\), for which the remaining rate calculations are described herein. The charge separated state is estimated to lie 1.4 eV above the ground state based on that of a tetra-aryl zinc porphyrin-fullerene dyad in THF (1.42 eV).\(^{53}\) The energy level for \(^1\)C\(_{60}\) (1.75 eV) is an approximate value used for a range of organic solvents.\(^{54}\) While \(^3\)C\(_{60}\) is not included in this scheme, its energy level lies approximately 0.2-0.3 eV below that of \(^1\)C\(_{60}\).\(^{54}\) Based on the lowest energy absorption band of D\(_{\text{O}}\) (652 nm) and highest energy stimulated emission peak measured for \(^1\)D\(_{\text{O}}\) (~700 nm), its first singlet excited
Figure 3.11 Energy level diagram showing the pathways of $^1\text{P}_{\text{Zn}}$ decay for 1 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 
state is estimated to lie at 1.84 eV. Relaxation of $^1D_O$ is represented by a single, complex transition to the ground state as rate constants for the individual components of this process cannot be accurately determined from the experimental data. Kinetic treatment of $^1D_O$ relaxation is discussed vide infra.

The following calculations of rate constants are based off of the fitted fluorescence decay component lifetimes $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ found in Table 3.1. The lifetimes $\tau_1 = 182, 178, \text{and } 160 \text{ ps}$ for 1c include kinetics from charge separation, $^1P_{Zn}$ to $C_{60}$ energy transfer, and intrachromophore relaxation of $^1P_{Zn}$. The 1.97 ns lifetime of 2c in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was used to determine $k_0$ for 1 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Thus, the rates of charge separation for 1 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0 M, 1.6 M, and 4.5 M AcOH were determined to be $k_3 = 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $1.7 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and $2.3 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, using Eq 3.5.

$$k_3 = \frac{1}{\tau_1} - k_1 - k_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

The rate of charge recombination was determined to be $k_4 = 1.9 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ from the $P_{Zn}^{++-C_{60}^-}$ lifetime measured by transient absorption. The shorter fluorescence decay components observed for 2 are significantly influenced by the rate of $^1P_{Zn}$ to $D_O$ energy transfer, $k_{EnT2}$, occurring in the protonated species 2o. Thus, the rate of energy transfer $k = 5.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in both 1.6 M and 4.5 M AcOH/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was determined using Eq 3.6.

$$k = \frac{1}{\tau_2} - k_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Similarly, the photophysical dynamics of 1o are heavily influenced by rapid $^1P_{Zn}$ to $D_O$ energy transfer as well as kinetics from $^1P$ to $C_{60}$ energy and electron transfer. The rate constant for energy transfer to $D_O$ for 1o was determined to be $k_5 = 5.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in both 1.6 M and 4.5 M AcOH/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ using Eq 3.7.
The transient absorption data for 3o show a three component relaxation mechanism for \(^1\text{D}_0\) of which the first step is ultrafast decay to a hot ground state with \(\tau = 1.6\) ps. While it is likely that this lifetime includes kinetic contributions from one or both of the subsequent cooling steps, the rate constant for \(^1\text{D}_0\) relaxation to the ground state can be approximated as the inverse of this lifetime, which gives \(k_\ell = 6.3 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}\).

For 1c, the quantum yields of \(^1\text{P}_{\text{Zn}}\) to C\(_{60}\) energy transfer (EnT1) and charge separation (CS) were determined using Eq 3.8 and 3.9 as follows: \(\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = 0.64\) and \(\Phi_{\text{CS}} = 0.27\) (0 M AcOH), \(\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = 0.61\) and \(\Phi_{\text{CS}} = 0.30\) (1.6 M AcOH), and \(\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = 0.55\) and \(\Phi_{\text{CS}} = 0.36\) (4.5 M AcOH).

\[
\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = \frac{k_1}{k_1 + k_3 + k_0} \quad (3.8)
\]

\[
\Phi_{\text{CS}} = \frac{k_3}{k_1 + k_3 + k_0} \quad (3.9)
\]

For 1o, the quantum yields of \(^1\text{P}_{\text{Zn}}\) to C\(_{60}\) energy transfer (EnT1), charge separation (CS) and \(^1\text{P}_{\text{Zn}}\) to Do energy transfer (EnT2) were determined for 1o using Eq 3.10, 3.11, and 3.12 as follows: \(\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = 0.060\), \(\Phi_{\text{CS}} = 0.029\), \(\Phi_{\text{EnT2}} = 0.90\) (1.6 M AcOH) and \(\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = 0.059\), \(\Phi_{\text{CS}} = 0.039\), and \(\Phi_{\text{EnT2}} = 0.89\) (4.5 M AcOH).

\[
\Phi_{\text{EnT1}} = \frac{k_1}{k_5 + k_1 + k_3 + k_0} \quad (3.10)
\]

\[
\Phi_{\text{CS}} = \frac{k_3}{k_5 + k_1 + k_3 + k_0} \quad (3.11)
\]

\[
\Phi_{\text{EnT2}} = \frac{k_5}{k_5 + k_1 + k_3 + k_0} \quad (3.12)
\]

For both open and closed forms, the yields of charge separation increase with increasing [AcOH] due to faster rates of charge separation (\(k_3\)) in more polar solutions. Relative to the yields of \(^1\text{P}_{\text{Zn}}\)
to C$_{60}$ energy transfer and charge separation for $1c$, those of $1o$ are observed to decrease by one order of magnitude due to $^1P_{Zn}$ to Do energy transfer accounting for roughly 90% of the decay kinetics.

In addition to the single-molecule kinetic descriptions above, these results can be viewed from the perspective of the net photophysical behavior of each sample by considering the total yields of $P_{Zn}^-C_{60}^-$ and $^1C_{60}$ versus those of $^1D_{O}$ with respect to total $^1P_{Zn}$ ($1c + 1o$) formed. In the absence of AcOH this is directly apparent from the quantum yields, which attribute decay of $^1P_{Zn}$ to 64% energy transfer to C$_{60}$ and 27% charge separation. In the presence of AcOH, the relative populations of $1c$ and $1o$ are reflected by the fluorescence decay component compositions shown in Table 1. With 1.6 M AcOH the sample was composed of 33% $1c$ and 67% $1o$, which when multiplied by the quantum yields of their respective decay processes, gives the decay of total $^1P_{Zn}$ by 60% energy transfer to D$_{O}$, 24% energy transfer to C$_{60}$, and 12% charge separation. Similarly, with 4.5 M AcOH the sample was composed of 15% $1c$ and 85% $1o$ for which the total $^1P_{Zn}$ decays by 76% energy transfer to D$_{O}$, 13% energy transfer to C$_{60}$, and 9% charge separation. Thus, the formation of charge separated states from total $^1P_{Zn}$ is reduced by 56% in 1.6 M AcOH and by 67% in 4.5 M AcOH. Additionally, formation of $^1C_{60}$ from total $^1P_{Zn}$ is reduced by 63% in 1.6 M AcOH and by 80% in 4.5 M AcOH. The yield reductions for charge separation are lower than those for energy transfer because charge separation becomes more efficient with higher [AcOH] while the efficiency of energy transfer is assumed to be unaffected. Thus, the maximum degree of downregulation of charge separation is limited by the [AcOH] required to push the equilibrium further toward $1o$ and the rate and relative efficiency of charge separation that result from the accompanying change in polarity. In a more practical sense, this is further limited by the maximum [AcOH] that $1$ remains stable in; at some point irreversible loss of zinc from the porphyrin may occur, which would permanently compromise the total active population of $1$. 

54
Concluding Remarks

Activation of NPQ in the photosynthetic apparatus of green plants has the effect of decreasing the emission intensities and fluorescence decay lifetimes of light harvesting antenna complexes, thereby inhibiting their ability to transfer excitation energy to photosynthetic reaction centers. This regulatory mechanism is activated in proportion to decreases in thylakoid lumen pH produced by increased photon flux. The efficiency of charge separation with respect to photons absorbed by the antennae is consequently reduced since much of the absorbed energy is diverted away from the reaction centers. Deactivation of NPQ follows increase of lumen pH at low-light levels as the surplus of absorbed energy is consumed. This research demonstrates analogous responsive, self-regulating reaction center functionality in 1. The spectral analyses give evidence for i) reversible conversion between unquenched and quenched states that is dependent on acid concentration, ii) the capacity to form a long-lived charge separated state under neutral conditions, and iii) significant reduction of charge separation efficiency under acidic conditions.

Steady-state absorption and emission spectroscopy were used to monitor acidification and subsequent neutralization of a solution containing 1 to demonstrate reversible quenching of the zinc porphyrin excited state and dissipation of said energy by a vibrational process. These responsive and reversible changes indicate that the equilibrium between 1c and 1o is directly affected by acetic acid concentration. The significant reduction of porphyrin fluorescence concomitant with formation of 1o is associated with energy transfer from the zinc porphyrin excited state to the open rhodamine dye via a Förster-type mechanism. This excitation energy is then rapidly dissipated as heat by a multi-step process. Transient absorption results indicate that the relaxation mechanism begins with ultrafast decay to a hot ground state followed by rapid cooling to its true ground state. Kinetics analysis of 1 indicates that the open dye effectively decreases the yield of charge separation by one order of magnitude on a per-molecule basis, and was shown to affect the overall population yield of charge separated states relative to zinc.
porphyrin excited states formed by as much as 67%. Furthermore, the total yield of $^1C_{60}$ was observed to be reduced by as much as 80% in the presence of AcOH, which would therefore reduce formation of $^3C_{60}$ by intersystem crossing. Analogous to the photoprotective functionality observed in NPQ, this may serve to prevent degradation of 1 by $^1O_2$. However, further experimentation is required to confirm that 1 exhibits photoprotective behavior under acidic conditions.

Together, these results demonstrate regulation of charge separation efficiency using acid concentration as a control signal, thus providing a functional analog to NPQ. The next logical step toward introducing additional biomimetic functionality would be to use a light-responsive proton source rather than titration with acetic acid so that the regulatory behavior could be controlled by photon flux. Reversible organic photoacids, typically phenolic compounds that behave as weak acids in the ground state and strong or super acids in the excited state, may be useful for achieving this goal. However, the rates of proton dissociation and recombination for such photoacids are generally characterized in aqueous solutions and have been found, by the author, to slow dramatically in all organic solvents and aqueous mixtures thereof. To circumvent this issue, forming water-soluble micelles containing triad 1 may allow for the desired proton transfer dynamics between 1 and a suitable photoacid to be achieved. Usage of micelles would also fill the auxiliary role of modeling the heterogeneous membrane environment in which photosynthesis occurs. Thus, the effects of surface charge, detergent rigidity, and micelle composition on the photophysical dynamics of 1 could also be investigated in such systems.
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APPENDIX A

SYNTHETIC METHODS AND CHARACTERIZATION DATA
Materials

All commercially available reagents including 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethanol, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene, 2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl alcohol, 4-bromo-iodobenzene, 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), 4-tert-butylaniline, acetyl chloride, bis(pinacolato)diboron, boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (BF$_3$•OEt$_2$), cesium carbonate (Cs$_2$CO$_3$), copper(I) iodide (CuI), methyl 4-formylbenzoate, potassium acetate (KOAc), potassium hydroxide (KOH), pyridine, tetraphenylcyclopentadieneone, triethylamine (Et$_3$N), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), trifluoroacetic anhydride, tripotassium phosphate (K$_3$PO$_4$), tri-tert-butylphosphine (P$_t$Bu$_3$), and zinc acetate dihydrate [Zn(OAc)$_2$•2H$_2$O] were used as received. Palladium catalysts [1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]palladium(II) dichloride dichloromethane complex [Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$•CH$_2$Cl$_2$], bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride [PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$], palladium(II) acetate [Pd(OAc)$_2$], and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) [Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$] were purchased from Strem Chemicals, Inc. Dichloromethane (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) was distilled from calcium hydride when used as a reaction solvent or to prepare optical spectroscopy samples. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene were distilled from sodium/benzophenone for use in reactions. 1,4-Dioxane was distilled from lithium aluminum hydride. Other solvents including acetone, chloroform (CHCl$_3$), ethanol (EtOH), diethyl ether (Et$_2$O), diphenyl ether (Ph$_2$O), ethyl acetate (EtOAc), hexanes, and methanol (MeOH) were used as received. Column chromatography was performed using Silicycle SiliaFlash® F60 40-63 μm (230-400 mesh). Thin layer chromatography was performed using Analtech Silica Gel GHL/GHLF 250 μm plates.
Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were collected using a Varian MR 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm liquids H-X broadband probe or a Varian VNMRS 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm liquids triple resonance $^1$H-$^{13}$C-$^{15}$N probe. Samples were prepared in deuterochloroform (CDCl$_3$) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc.) containing tetramethylsilane (TMS) (0.03% v/v) as an internal reference. Data was processed using ACD/NMR Processor Academic Edition. Chemical shifts were referenced to TMS. Mass spectra were obtained using an Applied Biosystems Voyager-DE STR matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer (MALDI-TOF-MS).
15-(4-(2-(4-bromophenyl)ethynyl)-phenyl)-5-(4-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-bis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzene)porphyrin 5. Condensations of 5-mesityldipyrromethane\textsuperscript{62}, 4-(4-bromophenylethynyl)benzaldehyde 4, and methyl 4-formylbenzoate were carried out following general literature procedures.\textsuperscript{40, 41} One method employed BF\textsubscript{3}•OEt\textsubscript{2}/EtOH as the acid catalyst in CHCl\textsubscript{3} and gave a 21\% yield of 5 in one instance.\textsuperscript{39} Alternatively, the reaction was catalyzed by TFA in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. However no final yields were calculated following this method, as the products were not of adequate purity to carry forward.\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, δ): -2.64 (br s, 2H, NH), 1.84 (s, 12H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.63 (s, 6H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 4.11 (s, 3H, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 7.29 (s, 4H, ArH), 7.56 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.92 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.21 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.32 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.43 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.72 (m, 6H, βH), 8.80 (d, J = 5 Hz, 2H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C\textsubscript{60}H\textsubscript{47}BrN\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{2} 936.29, obsd 936.60.
**Porphyrin 6.** In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 5 (470 mg, 0.5 mmol) and tetraphenylcyclopentadieneone (3.5 g, 5 mmol) were dissolved in diphenyl ether (60 mL). The reaction was refluxed under Ar for 4 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed (flash column, 3:2 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes). Recrystallization from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH gave 523 mg of 6 (81% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, $\delta$): -2.74 (br s, 2H, NH), 1.80 (s, 12H, CH$_3$), 2.62 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 4.08 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 6.90-6.95 (m, 15H, ArH), 7.00 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.08-7.16 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.26-7.29 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.72 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.27 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.33 (d, $J = 5$ Hz, 1H, $\beta$H), 8.41 (m, 3H, ArH and $\beta$H), 8.61 (d, $J = 5$ Hz, 1H, $\beta$H), 8.65-8.70 (m, 5H, $\beta$H). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C$_{68}$H$_{67}$BrN$_4$O$_2$ 1293.45, obsd 1292.94.
Porphyrin 7. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 6 (400 mg, 0.31 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (200 mL). To the solution was added Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O/MeOH (680 mg/25 mL) and the reaction was stirred under Ar overnight at room temperature. The solution was washed with water (x 2) and brine and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 2:1 CH₂Cl₂/hexanes to CH₂Cl₂) to obtain 418 mg of 7 (99% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 1.81 (s, 12H, CH₃), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.09 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.92-6.97 (m, 15H, ArH), 7.02 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.12-7.17 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.28-7.31 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.74 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.30 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.40 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.45 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.53 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.71 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.75 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.78-8.80 (m, 3H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₈₈H₇₅BrN₄O₂Zn 1354.36, obsd 1354.98.
Porphyrin 8. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 7 (175 mg, 0.129 mmol), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (1.5 mg, 0.006 mmol), and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (420 mg, 1.29 mmol), were dissolved in Ar bubbled toluene (20 mL). To the solution was added P$_3$Bu$_3$ (12 uL, 1M in toluene). The reaction was refluxed under Ar overnight. The cooled reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica gel and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 9:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes to CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to obtain 157 mg of 8 (87% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): 1.79 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 1.81 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 4.09 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 5.45 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.72 (d, $J$ = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.75 (d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.89-7.04 (m, 19H, ArH), 7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.20 (d, $J$ = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.27 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.29 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.74 (d, $J$ = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.30 (d, $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.40 (d, $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.52 (d, $J$ = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.59 (d, $J$ = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.68 (d, $J$ = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.72 (d, $J$ = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.76 (d, $J$ = 4 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.79 (d, $J$ = 5 Hz, 2H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS $m/z$: calcd for C$_{95}$H$_{73}$N$_{5}$O$_{3}$Zn 1396.50, obsd 1398.08.
Porphyrin 9. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 8 (128 mg, 0.092 mmol) was dissolved in THF/MeOH (2/1, 180 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 15 min followed by addition of aqueous KOH (10% w/v, 30 mL). The reaction was stirred under Ar overnight at room temperature. The solution was washed with water (2 x) and aqueous citric acid (0.1 M), and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 8% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) to obtain 115 mg of 9 (91% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ):

1.81 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.82 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.65 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.76 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.88-7.05 (m, 19H, ArH), 7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.21 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.36 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.50 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.53 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.60 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.69 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.73 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.78 (d, J = 5 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.82 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, βH).

MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₉₄H₇₁N₅O₃Zn 1382.49, obsd 1383.93.
**Porphyran 10.** In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 9 (115 mg, 0.083 mmol), 2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl alcohol (14 mg, 0.116 mmol), DMAP (20 mg, 0.166 mmol), and EDCI (32 mg, 0.166 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (18 mL). The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and bubbled with Ar for 15 min. Stirring was continued for 10 min at 0 °C before the reaction was brought to room temperature and stirred for an additional 24 h. The solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with water, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 3:1 CH₂Cl₂/hexanes to CH₂Cl₂) to obtain 87 mg of 10 (69% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ):

- 1.79 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.80 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.54 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.43 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.55 (s, 2H, CH₂),
- 6.71 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.74 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.88-7.03 (m, 21H, ArH),
- 7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.27 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH),
- 7.74 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.25 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.35 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH),
- 8.52 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.59 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.68 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH),
- 8.72 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.75 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.77 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, βH).
Dyad 12. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 10 (133 mg, 0.088 mmol), rhodamine 11 (72 mg, 0.117 mmol), Pd(OAc)\(_2\) (15 mg, 0.066 mmol), and Cs\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (238 mg, 0.730 mmol), were dissolved in toluene (10 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and bubbled with Ar for 15 min. To the solution was added \(\text{P}^t\text{Bu}_3\) (135 uL, 1M in toluene). The reaction was refluxed under Ar overnight. The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), washed water, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed twice (flash column, 3% MeOH/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) then 6% acetone/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) to obtain 99 mg of 12 (55% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), \(\delta\)):

1.69 (s, 6H, CH\(_3\)), 1.80 (s, 6H, CH\(_3\)), 2.33 (s, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 2.48 (s, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 2.55 (s, 6H, CH\(_3\)), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 3.09 (t, \(J = 9 \text{ Hz}\), 4H, CH\(_2\)), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH\(_3\)), 3.92 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 5.58 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 6.60 (d, \(J = 9 \text{ Hz}\), 2H, ArH), 6.74-7.23 (m, 48H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.50 (d, \(J = 2 \text{ Hz}\), 1H, ArH), 7.76 (d, \(J = 8 \text{ Hz}\), 2H, ArH), 8.24 (d, \(J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}\), 2H, ArH), 8.36 (d, \(J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}\), 2H, ArH), 8.53 (d, \(J = 5 \text{ Hz}\), 1H, \(\beta\)H), 8.65 (d, \(J = 5 \text{ Hz}\), 1H, \(\beta\)H), 8.68-8.77 (m, 6H, \(\beta\)H). MALDI-TOF-MS \(m/z\): calcd for C\(_{140}H_{107}N_7O_7Zn\) 2046.76, obsd 2048.21.
Dyad 13. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 12 (70 mg, 0.034 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of an Ar bubbled 1:1 mixture of TFA and CH₂Cl₂. The reaction was stirred under argon for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was diluted to 100 mL with CH₂Cl₂, washed with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (x 2), aqueous citric acid (0.5 M), and brine, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed multiple times to give 53 mg of a mixture containing 13. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₁₃₀H₉₇N₇O₈ 1852.75, obsd 1853.13 (100%), 1985.27 (86%), 2118.40 (23%).
4-(4-formylphenylethynyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline 17. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 4-ethynyl-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline 16 (1.00 g, 4.50 mmol), 4-iodobenzaldehyde (1.07 g, 4.60 mmol), and Cul (48 mg, 0.23 mmol) were dissolved in THF/Et$_3$N (12 mL/1.5 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 30 min, PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$ (80 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added, and bubbling continued for 15 min. The reaction was stirred overnight under Ar at room temperature. The solution was diluted to 100 mL with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, filtered through a pad of Celite rinsing with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed twice (flash column, 7:3 then 9:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes) and recrystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes to obtain 345 mg of 17 (23% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 5.70 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.83 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.90 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.39 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.63 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.84 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 10.00 (s, 1H, CH).
In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 17 (100 mg, 0.31 mmol) and pyridine (98 µL, 1.22 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (31 mL) and placed under Ar. Trifluoroacetic anhydride (420 µL, 1M in CH₂Cl₂) was added at 0 °C and the reaction was brought to room temperature. Additional pyridine (98 µL, 1.22 mmol) and trifluoroacetic anhydride/CH₂Cl₂ (420 µL) were added after 2 h and stirring continued for 10 min. The solution was diluted to 50 mL with CH₂Cl₂, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 x), and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was and chromatographed (flash column, 1:1 Et₂O/hexanes) to obtain 120 mg of 18 (93% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.94 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.24 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.56 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.66 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.87 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 10.02 (s, 1H, CH).
4-(4-bromophenylethynyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline 23. In a flask equipped with a
magnetic stir bar, 4-ethynyl-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline 16 (446 mg, 2.00 mmol),
4-bromo-iodobenzene (566 mg, 2.00 mmol), and CuI (19 mg, 0.10 mmol) were dissolved in
THF/Et$_3$N (9.5 mL/0.5 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 15 min, PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$
(35 mg, 0.050 mmol) was added, and bubbling continued for 15 min. The reaction was stirred
overnight under Ar at room temperature. The solution was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL), filtered
through a pad of Celite rinsing with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was
chromatographed (flash column, 2:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes) and recrystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes to
obtain 475 mg of 23 (63% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, $\delta$): 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$),
5.64 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.82 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.89 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH),
7.10 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.35 (dd, $J = 8.6$, 2.3 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.45 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH).
In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 23 (40 mg, 0.11 mmol) and pyridine (68 µL, 0.85 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and placed under Ar. Trifluoroacetic anhydride (420 µL, 1M in CH₂Cl₂) was added at 0 °C and the reaction was stirred for 10 min before being brought to room temperature. The solution was concentrated to 10 mL in vacuo and chromatographed (gravity column, CH₂Cl₂) to obtain 50 mg of 24 (quantitative). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.92 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.22-7.28 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.37 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.47-7.53 (m, 4H, ArH).
N-(4''-bromo-3',4',5',6'-tetraphenyl-[1,1':2',1''-terphenyl]-4-yl)-N-(4-
methoxyphenyl)trifluoroacetamide 25. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 24 (20 mg, 0.042 mmol) and tetraphenylcyclopentadieneone (81 mg, 0.21 mmol) were dissolved in Ph₂O (2 mL). The reaction was refluxed under Ar for 6 h. Additional tetraphenylcyclopentadieneone (78 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added and reflux continued for 3 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed (flash column, CH₂Cl₂/hexanes: 3/2 to 9/1) to isolate a single band that yielded 26 mg of material. The mass spectrum indicated that 25 was present in the material however, the ¹H NMR was nondescript indicating the presence of substantial impurities.
N-(4-(4-bromophenylethynyl)phenyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetamide 26. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 23 (333 mg, 0.881 mmol) and pyridine (0.71 mL, 8.8 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (65 mL) and placed under Ar. Acetyl chloride (125 µL, 1.75 mmol) was added in two equal portions 15 min apart at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred for 45 min. The solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (x 2), dried over Na₂SO₄, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 8% EtOAc/CH₂Cl₂) to obtain 368 mg of 26 (99% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 2.06 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.92 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.18 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, ArH).
N-(4''-bromo-3',4',5',6'-tetraphenyl-[1,1':2',1"-terphenyl]-4-yl)-N-(4-
methoxyphenyl)acetamide 27. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and an air condenser,
26 (355 mg, 0.845 mmol) and tetraphenylcyclopentadieneone (3.25 g, 8.46 mmol) were dissolved
in Ph₂O (40 mL) and the reaction was refluxed under Ar for 19 h. The solution was concentrated
in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed (flash column, 1:4 EtOAc/toluene). The material
was recrystallized from refluxing toluene (x 2) to obtain 494 mg of 27 as a 1:1 complex with
toluene (67% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 1.82 (br s, 3H, CH₃),
2.35 (s, 3H, toluene-CH₃), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.68 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, ArH),
6.76-6.98 (m, 32H, ArH), 7.14-7.18 (m, 3H, toluene-ArH), 7.24-7.27 (m, 2H, toluene-ArH).
MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₅₁H₃₈BrNO₂ 775.21, obsd 777.48.
Boronate Ester 28. In a heavy-walled tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 27 (389 mg, 0.448 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (152 mg, 0.600 mmol) and KOAc (245 mg, 2.50 mmol) were dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (10 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 10 min, then Pd(dpff)Cl₂•CH₂Cl₂ (18 mg, 0.025 mmol) was added, and bubbling continued for 10 min. The tube was sealed with a PTFE screw plug and the reaction was held at 100 °C for 36 h. The solution was suspended in water, extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (x 3), and the combined extracts were washed with brine and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 1:3 EtOAc/toluene) to obtain 261 mg of 28 (71% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 1.27 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.76 (br s, 3H, CH₃), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.70-6.95 (m, 32H, ArH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₃₀H₃₀BNO₄ 823.38, obsd 823.62.
Porphyrin 31. In a heavy-walled tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 28 (124 mg, 0.15 mmol), (5-bromo-15-(4-carbomethoxyphenyl)-10,20-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)porphyrinato)zinc(II)\textsuperscript{18} 30 (124 mg, 0.150 mmol), and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (636 mg, 3.00 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (30 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 20 min, then Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4} (17 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added, and bubbling was continued for 15 min. The tube was sealed with a PTFE screw plug and the reaction was refluxed for 3 d. The solution was filtered through Celite rinsing with 10% methanol/CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 5% EtOAc/CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}) to obtain 160 mg of 31 (74% yield). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, \(\delta\)): 1.80 (s, 6H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.81 (s, 6H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.92 (s, 3H, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 2.61 (br s, 3H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.65 (s, 3H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.23 (br s, 3H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 4.09 (s, 3H, OCH\textsubscript{3}), 6.42-7.29 (m, 36H, ArH), 7.71 (d, \(J = 7\) Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.3 (d, \(J = 8\) Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.39-8.44 (m, 3H, \(\beta\)H and ArH), 8.59 (d, \(J = 4\) Hz, 1H, \(\beta\)H), 8.72 (d, \(J = 4\) Hz, 2H, \(\beta\)H), 8.75-8.80 (m, 4H, \(\beta\)H). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C\textsubscript{97}H\textsubscript{76}N\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{4}Zn 1437.41, obsd 1439.81. UV-Vis (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}): \(\lambda_{\max}\), nm 422, 549, 589.
Porphyrin 9. In a heavy walled pressure vessel equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 31 (75 mg, 0.052 mmol) was dissolved in THF (5 mL) followed by addition of KOH/MeOH (2 M, 15 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 30 min, brought to room temperature, and the vessel was flame-sealed under low vacuum. The reaction was heated at 75 °C for 14 d. The solution was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL) and washed with brine. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous citric acid (0.2 M, 150 mL) then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was loaded onto a pad of silica gel, flushed with (x 5) with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and eluted with 10% MeOH/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to obtain 71 mg of 9 (99% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): 1.81 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 1.82 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.65 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 6.71 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.78 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.87 - 7.04 (m, 19H, ArH), 7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.21 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.36 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.50 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.53 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.60 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.69 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.73 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.78 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.82 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C$_{94}$H$_{71}$N$_5$O$_3$Zn 1381.48, obsd 1383.74. UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): λ$_{max}$, nm 422, 549, 589.
Porphyrin 32. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 9 (63 mg, 0.046 mmol), 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethanol (128 µL, 0.909 mmol), DMAP (56 mg, 0.46 mmol), and (41 mg, 0.23 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (x 2) (gravity column, 4:1 CH₂Cl₂/hexanes) to obtain 40 mg of 32 (58% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ):

1.8 (m, 15H, CH₃), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.44 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.27 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.72 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.76 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.81-7.04 (m, 21H, ArH), 7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.27 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.29 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.53 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.74 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.28 (s, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.40 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.52 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.59 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.68 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.72 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.75 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.78 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₁₀₃H₈₁N₅O₄Zn 1515.56, obsd 1517.63. UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λmax, nm 422, 549, 588.
**Dyad 33.** In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 10 (39 mg, 0.026 mmol), rhodamine 11 (24 mg, 0.039 mmol), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (2.9 mg, 0.013 mmol), and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (84 mg, 0.26 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (3 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 20 min, an Ar balloon was attached, and P$_3$Bu$_3$ (26 µL, 1 M in toluene) was added. The reaction was slowly brought to reflux and stirred overnight. The solution was poured over a pad of silica gel, eluted with 10% MeOH/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (flash column, 3-5% acetone/CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to obtain 42 mg of 33 (79% yield).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): 1.69 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 1.80 (m, 9H, CH$_3$), 2.48 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 3.09 (t, $J = 8$ Hz, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 3.86-3.96 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 6.27 (q, $J = 7$ Hz, 1H, CH), 6.60 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.74-7.21 (m, 48H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.5 (d, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.53 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.76 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.26 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.40 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.53 (d, $J = 5$ Hz, 1H, βH), 8.65 (d, $J = 5$ Hz, 1H, βH), 8.68-8.79 (comp, 6H, βH).

MALDI-TOF-MS $m/z$: calcd for C$_{139}$H$_{109}$N$_7$O$_7$Zn 2047.74.74, obsd 2050.23.

UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$, nm 336, 422, 549, 588.
Dyad 13. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 33 (42 mg, 0.02 mmol) and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (1 g, 6 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). TFA/CH₂Cl₂ (2% v/v, 10 mL) was added and the reaction was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. TFA (200 µL) was added and stirring continued for 5 h. The solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (x 2) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was loaded onto a short pad of silica gel and flushed with CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 L) then 0.5% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL) and eluted with 10% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ to obtain 34 mg of 13 (89% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ):

-2.70 (br s, 2H, NH), 1.70 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.82 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.47 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.10 (t, J = 8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.86-3.97 (m, 4H, CH₂), 6.67 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.75-7.22 (m, 48H, ArH), 7.29 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.54 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.33 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.45 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.51 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.57 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.62-8.73 (comp, 6H, βH).

MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₁₃₀H₉₇N₇O₆ 1851.75, obsd 1852.80.

UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λmax, nm 420, 515, 549, 591, 647.
**Triad 15.** In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 13 (34 mg, 0.018 mmol), anilinofullerene 14 (20 mg, 0.023 mmol), DMAP (9 mg, 0.07 mmol), and EDCI (7 mg, 0.04 mmol) were dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4 mL). The reaction was stirred under Ar for 24 h at room temperature. The solution was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL), washed with aqueous citric acid (0.5 M) and saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (thin layer, 5% EtOAc/CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to obtain 20 mg of 14 (40% yield).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): -2.71 (br s, 2H, NH), 1.69 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 1.81 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 2.46 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.82 (s, 3H, NCH$_3$), 3.08 (t, $J$ = 9 Hz, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.57 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 3.85-3.95 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 4.23 (d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.93-4.98 (m, 2H, CH$_2$), 6.67 (d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.74-7.22 (comp, 45H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.53 (d, $J$ = 2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.53 (d, $J$ = 9.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.75 (d, $J$ = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.88 (br s, 3H, ArH), 8.20-8.23 (m, 3H, ArH and NH), 8.28 (d, $J$ = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.44 (d, $J$ = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.56 (d, $J$ = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.61-8.70 (m, 6H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C$_{199}$H$_{107}$N$_9$O$_5$ 2701.84, obsd 2704.31. UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$, nm 308, 332, 420, 515, 549, 591, 646.
Triad 1. In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 15 (19 mg, 0.0070 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL). ZnOAc₂•2H₂O/MeOH (50 mg/mL, 300 µL) was added and the reaction was stirred under Ar for 24 h at room temperature. The solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with water and saturated NaHCO₃, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was loaded onto a short plug of silica gel, flushed with CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL), and eluted with 10% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ to obtain 20 mg of 1 (quantitative). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 1.67 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.78 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.48 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.61 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.72 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 3.05 (t, J = 8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.54 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.82-3.92 (m, 4H, CH₂), 4.08 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.77-4.83 (m, 2H, CH₂), 6.64 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.70-7.25 (m, 50H, ArH), 7.48 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.74-7.83 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.09-8.19 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.37 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.53 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.64 (d, J = 5 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.67 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.70-8.75 (m, 5H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C₁₉₉H₁₀₅N₉O₅Zn 2763.75, obsd 2767.08. UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max nm 311, 330, 422, 549, 589.
**Porphyrin 34.** In a flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 9 (30 mg, 0.022 mmol), 4-tert-butylaniline, DMAP (12 mg, 0.098 mmol), and EDCI (8 mg, 0.044 mmol) were dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL). The reaction was stirred under Ar for 24 h at room temperature. The solution was applied directly to a short column of silica gel, eluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to 10% MeOH/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (gravity column, 1% EtOAc/CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to obtain 29 mg of 34 (87% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): 1.37 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 1.80 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 1.81 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 2.63 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 5.44 (s, 1H, NH), 6.71 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.76 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.88-7.04 (m, 19H, ArH), 7.14 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.29 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.66 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.05 (s, 1H, NH), 8.17 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.33 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.52 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.59 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.68 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.72 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, βH), 8.77 (d, J = 5 Hz, 2H, βH), 8.81 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C$_{104}$H$_{84}$N$_{6}$O$_{2}$Zn 1513.60, obsd 1514.61. UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$, nm 421, 549, 586.
Dyad 2. In pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 34 (28 mg, 0.018 mmol), rhodamine 11 (17 mg, 0.028 mmol), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (2 mg, 0.009 mmol), and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (59 mg, 0.18 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (2 mL). The solution was bubbled with Ar at 0 °C for 15 min, P$^t$Bu$_3$ (18 µL, 1 M in toluene) was added and bubbling continued for an additional 15 min. The tube was sealed with a PTFE screw plug, heated slowly to 115 °C, and stirred for 36 h. The solution was applied directly to a pad of silica gel, eluted with 10% MeOH/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (gravity column, 5-7.5% EtOAc/CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to obtain 10 mg of 2 (27% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ): 1.38 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 1.70 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 1.81 (s, 6H, CH$_3$), 2.48 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.64 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 3.08 (t, $J = 8$ Hz, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 3.86-3.95 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 6.60 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.73-7.21 (m, 46H, ArH), 7.29 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.47-7.50 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.69 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.76 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.07 (s, 1H, NH), 8.18 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.32 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.54 (d, $J = 5$ Hz, 1H, βH), 8.66 (d, $J = 4$ Hz, 1H, βH), 8.70-8.81 (m, 6 H, βH). MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: calcd for C$_{140}$H$_{108}$N$_8$O$_5$Zn 2045.78, obsd 2046.82. UV-Vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$, nm 308, 334, 421, 511, 549.
APPENDIX B

METHODS FOR OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY
Optical spectroscopy samples were prepared using CH$_2$Cl$_2$ distilled from CaH$_2$. Sample concentrations for steady-state absorption, emission and time-resolved fluorescence were ca. $10^{-6}$ M. Transient absorption samples were ca. $10^{-4}$ M. Glacial acetic acid was used to produce the open, protonated forms of 1, 2, and 3.

**Steady-State Spectroscopy**

Absorption spectra were measured on Shimadzu UV2100U UV-vis and UV-3101PC UV-Vis-NIR spectrometers. Fluorescence spectra were measured using a Photon Technology International MP-1 spectrometer and corrected for detection system response. Excitation was provided by a 75 W xenon-arc lamp and single grating monochromator. Fluorescence was detected 90° to the excitation beam via a single grating monochromator and an R928 photomultiplier tube having S 20 spectral response and operating in the single photon counting mode.

**Time-Resolved Fluorescence**

Fluorescence decay measurements were performed by the time-correlated single-photon-counting method. The excitation source was a fiber supercontinuum laser based on a passive mode-locked fiber laser and a high-nonlinearity photonic crystal fiber supercontinuum generator (Fianium SC450). The laser provides 6-ps pulses at a repetition rate variable from 0.1-40 MHz. The laser output was sent through an Acousto-Optical Tunable Filer (Fianium AOTF) to obtain excitation pulses at desired wavelength of ca. 450-900 nm. Fluorescence emission was detected at the magic angle (54.7°) using a double grating monochromator (Jobin Yvon Gemini-180) and a microchannel plate photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu R3809U-50). The instrument response function was 35-55 ps. The spectrometer was controlled by software based on the LabView
programming language and data acquisition was done using a single photon counting card (Becker-Hickl, SPC-830).

Transient Absorption

The femtosecond transient absorption apparatus consisted of a kHz pulsed laser source and a pump-probe optical setup. Laser pulses of 100 fs at 800 nm were generated from an amplified, mode-locked Titanium Sapphire kHz laser system (Millennia/Tsunami/Spitfire, Spectra Physics). Part of the laser pulse energy was sent through an optical delay line and focused on a 3 mm sapphire plate to generate a white light continuum for the probe beam. The remainder of the pulse energy was used to pump an optical parametric amplifier (Spectra Physics) to generate excitation pulses, which were selected using a mechanical chopper. The white light generated was compressed by prism pairs (CVI) before passing through the sample. The polarization of the pump beam was set to the magic angle relative to the probe beam and its intensity adjusted using a continuously variable neutral density filter. The white light probe was dispersed by a spectrograph (300 line grating) onto a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (DU420, Andor Tech.). The final spectral resolution was about 2.3 nm over a 300 nm spectral region. Instrument response function was ca. 150 fs. Nanosecond time scale measurements were collected using an EOS spectrometer from Ultrafast Systems (IRF ~800 ps); excitation was from the same optical parametric amplifier as described above.

Data analysis was carried out using locally written software (ASUFIT) developed in MATLAB (Mathworks Inc.). Decay-associated spectra were obtained by fitting the transient absorption or fluorescence change curves over a selected wavelength region simultaneously as described by the parallel kinetic model:

$$\Delta A(\lambda, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(\lambda) \exp (-t/\tau_i)$$
where $\Delta A(\lambda, t)$ is the observed absorption (or fluorescence) change at a given wavelength at time delay $t$ and $n$ is the number of kinetic components used in the fitting. A plot of $A_i(\lambda)$ vs. wavelength is called a decay-associated spectrum (DAS), and represents the amplitude spectrum of the $i^{th}$ kinetic component, which has a lifetime of $\tau_i$. Random errors associated with the reported lifetimes obtained from fluorescence and transient absorption measurements were typically $\leq 5\%$. 
