In the fourth paragraph of the Discussion section, reference #37 and #5 are switched. The paragraph should read as follows:

"Although this study did not identify any IS6110 positives, previous studies have identified the presence of this locus in New World primates [5]. However, the IS6110 mobile element has been found to be homologous in some related soil mycobacteria [37], and targeting this element with PCR has previously produced false-positives [38]."
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