The Agassiz’s desert tortoise genome provides a resource for the conservation of a threatened species
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Abstract

Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) is a long-lived species native to the Mojave Desert and is listed as threatened under the US Endangered Species Act. To aid conservation efforts for preserving the genetic diversity of this species, we generated a whole genome reference sequence with an annotation based on deep transcriptome sequences of adult skeletal muscle, lung, brain, and blood. The draft genome assembly for G. agassizii has a scaffold N50 length of 252 kbp and a total length of 2.4 Gbp. Genome annotation reveals 20,172 protein-coding genes in the G. agassizii assembly, and that gene structure is more similar to chicken than other turtles. We provide a series of comparative analyses demonstrating (1) that turtles are among the slowest-evolving genome-enabled reptiles, (2) amino acid changes in genes controlling desert tortoise traits such as shell development, longevity and osmoregulation, and (3) fixed variants across the Gopherus species complex in genes related to desert adaptations, including circadian rhythm and innate immune response. This G. agassizii genome reference and annotation is the first such resource for any tortoise, and will serve as a foundation for future analysis of the genetic basis of adaptations to the desert environment, allow for investigation into genomic factors affecting tortoise health, disease and longevity, and serve as a valuable resource for additional studies in this species complex.
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Introduction

Species at risk of extinction can benefit from human interventions, and effective management of threatened populations depends on an understanding of their genetic variation, including the effects of inbreeding, disease, and adaptation to local environments [1]. One of six species of desert tortoise estimated to have arisen in North America ~35 million years ago (Ma) [2], Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) has been heavily impacted by habitat loss, a respiratory tract disease [3,4], and other anthropogenic factors [5]. For instance, in one area of the species’ range density declined from about 225 individuals/km² in 1979 to about 75 individuals/km² in 1992 [6]. Such declines prompted populations of G. agassizii north and west of the Colorado River to be listed as threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act [5]. Despite this protection, desert tortoise numbers continued to decline ~50% between 2004 and 2013 [7], warranting further conservation efforts. Effective management of G. agassizii populations would benefit from genomic resources, which have only recently been developed for the species.

Previous population genetic studies based on microsatellites, nucleotide sequences from mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, and RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) data have laid the groundwork for assessing the distribution of genetic diversity across the range of G. agassizii [8–10]. Agassiz’s desert tortoise is a long-lived species that is slow to mature, with a maximum lifespan of over 50 years in
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the wild [11], making the long-term impacts on adult populations and conservation priorities difficult to assess. Genomic analyses of G. agassizii may help to understand key aspects necessary to its survival, such as immune system responses to diseases, including upper respiratory tract disease [3]. Functional trait analysis combined with genomics can advance knowledge of physiological [12], morphological [13], and life history variation among the six species of Gopherus [2]. Genomic information can also inform management decisions about habitat corridors and reproduction especially in the light of climate change [14]. A whole blood transcriptome assembly has been published for G. agassizii [8]. However, this represents only a portion of the total genetic information, and a complete genome assembly would better facilitate future analyses of polymorphism and the geographic distribution of variation for the species and its congener.

In addition to providing resources that can save threatened or endangered species from extinction, comparative genomics may reveal information about many traits relevant to human illnesses [15] and longevity [16]. Gopherus agassizii possesses many traits common to turtles, or chelonians, including longevity, as well as those specific to desert tortoises such as robustness to harsh environments. Genome assemblies exist for several chelonians: the Chinese softshell turtle, Pelodiscus sinensis [17], the green sea turtle, Chelonia mydas [18], and the western painted turtle, Chrysemys picta bellii [18]. Embryonic transcriptomes have been published for the red-eared slider turtle, Trachemys scripta [19], a blood transcriptome for the giant Galapagos tortoise, Chelonoidis nigra [20], and liver transcriptomes for the common musk turtle (Sternotherus odoratus), common snapping turtle (Chelydra serpentina), and African sideneck turtle (Pelusios castaneus) [21]. Recent studies have confirmed a sister-taxon relationship between chelonians (including turtles and tortoises, order Testudines) and archosaurs (including crocodilians and birds), which split ~250 Ma [17,18], and have estimated that the basal divergence of most modern chelonian lineages occurred ~100 Ma. Gopherus agassizii and C. picta bellii last shared a common ancestor ~70 Ma [22] (Table 1), and comparisons using this more recent divergence may provide a better opportunity to understand the evolution of many chelonian-specific traits, such as longevity and adaptations to aquatic or terrestrial habitats, including hot deserts. To assist both conservation and comparative genomics, we report a whole genome assembly and deep transcriptomic sequence-based annotation for Agassiz’s desert tortoise, and provide insights from comparative and population genomic analyses.

Table 1. Chelonian species with whole genome sequences.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.t001

Materials and methods

Sample collection and sequencing

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Arizona State University reviewed and approved this study, and methods of transport, housing, and euthanasia were carried out in accordance with ethical guidelines in Protocol #13-1319R (to DFD). Specifically, an adult male G. agassizii collected in urban Las Vegas, Nevada under U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service recovery subpermit #WS1DRO-1 was transported to Arizona under Nevada Department of Wildlife export permit #S37016, housed for two days and euthanized with an intracoelomic injection of sodium pentobarbital and phenytoin solution. Tissues were harvested under sterile conditions and either flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen or placed in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for DNA and total RNA extraction, respectively. DNA was extracted from liver and skeletal muscle using the DNeasy kit (QiAGEN) and total RNA was isolated from lung, brain and skeletal muscle with the total RNA protocol included in the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA quantity and quality was measured by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. All sequencing was carried out on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 or 2500 platforms. Paired-end DNA libraries were constructed with targeted fragment sizes of 200 base-pairs (bp), 300 bp, and 1,000 bp using the Kapa Biosystems Library Prep Kit by the Collaborative Sequencing Center at the Translational Genomics Research Institute (Phoenix, AZ; S1A Table). Mate-pair libraries were constructed and sequenced for DNA fragment sizes of 2 kbp, 4 kbp, and 10 kbp (S1 Table). Additionally, RNA-Seq libraries for brain, muscle and lung total RNAs were constructed and sequenced at the University of Arizona Genetics Core (Tucson, AZ; S1B Table).

To confirm the taxonomic identity of the specimen, and to assure that it was not a hybrid of G. agassizii and G. morafkai [23], we followed described protocols [23] using 25 short tandem repeats and mtDNA sequence data for population assignment against a database of 1,258 specimens of Gopherus. We confirmed the specimen to be G. agassizii from the northern Mojave genetic unit, which is geographically bounded as east of the Ivanpah, California area and northwest of the Colorado River in Nevada, Arizona and Utah [12].

Genome assembly

DNA sequence reads were trimmed to eliminate nucleotide biases and remove adaptors with Trimmomatic v0.27 [24]. We retained all reads ≥ 37 bp with a quality score ≥ 28. Illumina sequencing errors were corrected using SOAPe v2.01, and overlapping reads from the 200 bp libraries were joined to form single-end reads using FLASH v1.2.8 [25]. We compared the outputs of different de Bruijn graph assemblers, including ABySS 1.5.2 [26], SOAPdenovo2 [27], and Platanus v1.2.1 [28] for both contig and scaffold assembly, in addition to SSPACE v3.0 [29] for scaffold assembly; we then closed gaps using the GapCloser v1.12 module from SOAP (S1 Appendix). The assemblies with the most scaffold contiguity (i.e., N50) and reasonable total length given the expected genome size were selected for further analysis. We evaluated completeness of the assemblies by their estimated gene content, using the Conserved Eukaryotic Genes Mapping Approach (CEGMA v2.5) [30], which calculated the proportion of 248 core eukaryotic genes present in the genome assembly, and Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Orthologs (BUSCO v1.22) [31], which calculated the proportion of a vertebrate-specific set of 3,023 conserved genes that were either complete, fragmented, or missing. We further improved the raw assembly by RNA scaffolding. In brief, assembled transcripts (described below) were searched for open reading frames (ORFs) and filtered to include only sequences that produced a significant BLAST hit to a protein sequence in
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development of genome assemblies using BLAT [34] and identified scaffolds were merged using L_RNA_scaffolder [35].

**Genome annotation**

The RNA-Seq fastq files for skeletal muscle, brain and lung were filtered for adapters, quality score ≥ 30 and length ≥ 37 bp using Trimmomatic v0.27, and paired-end and single-end reads were assembled together into transcripts using Trinity v2.0.6 [36].

Previously published whole blood-based transcriptome data were also used (NCBI BioProject PRJNA281763) [8]. We used MAKER2 v2.31.8 [37] in multiple iterations for gene-finding, which incorporated: (1) direct evidence from the transcriptomes, (2) homology to proteins in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database and the predicted proteome of *C. picta bellii* (NCBI BioProject PRJNA210179), and (3) ab initio predictions from SNAP (11/29//2013 release) [38] and Augustus v3.0.2 [39]. The first iteration of MAKER aligned the transcript and protein sequences to the assembly, producing draft gene models. *Ab initio* gene predictors benefit from the training of their Hidden Markov Models (HMM) to the species-specific genome, and we trained SNAP using a two-pass approach in MAKER. First, we ran MAKER a second time with SNAP using *G. agassizii*-specific HMMs generated from the initial MAKER iteration. Using the gene models from this output, we then generated an improved HMM for SNAP in a third MAKER iteration. In parallel, Augustus was trained by sampling the SNAP-improved MAKER gene models followed by optimization. A fourth and final run of MAKER was then performed, which incorporated the optimized HMM for Augustus, the final SNAP HMM, and the aligned protein and transcriptome data, resulting in the final set of gene model predictions.

Gene predictions were functionally annotated by collecting the top hits from a BLASTP search of the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database. Protein predictions from the final gene models were assigned one-to-one orthology with proteins from the western painted turtle genome through reciprocal BLAST. First, BLASTP was used to search for the best hit for every predicted *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise protein in the western painted turtle proteome (evalue = 1E-6, max_target_segs = 1), followed by BLASTP of each western painted turtle protein in the *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise proteome. We also used reciprocal best hits to identify orthologs of *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise in Chinese softshell turtle, chicken (*Gallus gallus*), green anole (*Anolis carolinensis*), and human proteomes. Clusters of homologous genes across *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise, western painted turtle, Chinese softshell turtle, chicken, American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*), green anole and human were identified using OrthFinder v0.4 [40].

**Repeat analysis**

The *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise genome assembly was masked for repetitive elements, first with RepeatMasker v4.0.5 [41] using the complete library of known repeats available in RepBase [42]. The remaining unmasked regions of the desert tortoise genome were then searched for repeat families using RepeatModeler v1.0.8 [43]. This output of *de novo* repeats from desert tortoise was then combined with the first RepBase library to create a combined library, which was used in a final repeat-masking step to classify all annotated repeats in the genome. We also ran RepeatMasker on the western painted turtle genome using RepBase, which includes repeat libraries specific to *Chrysemys picta bellii*, in parallel for comparison to *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise. To estimate the amount of evolutionary divergence within repeat families of *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise, we generated repeat-family-specific alignments and calculated the average Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) distance from consensus within each family, correcting for high mutation rates at CpG sites with the calcDivergenceFromAlign.pl tool included with RepeatMasker. For comparison, the divergence profile for western painted turtle was obtained from the RepeatMasker server (http://www.repeatchacker.org/species/chrPic.html, accessed August 10, 2015).

**Comparative genomic analyses**

To understand patterns of molecular evolution across chelonians and other reptiles, the genome assemblies of *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise, western painted turtle, Chinese softshell turtle, green sea turtle, American alligator (allMis1), budgerigar (*Melopsittacus undulatus*, melUnd1), and green anole lizard (*Anolis carolinensis*, AnoCar2.0) were aligned to the reference chicken genome (galGal4) using LASTZ v1.0.2 [44]. We used chaining to form gapless blocks and netting to select the highest-ranked chains [45]. Pairwise alignments for zebra finch (*Taenopygia guttata*, taeGut3) and medium ground finch (*Geospiza fortis*, geoFor1) were downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser. A guide tree representing the phylogenetic relationships of the included taxa [46,47] was used to construct a 4-way whole genome alignment (WGA) from the pairwise alignments with MULTIZ v11.2 [48]. The WGA was filtered to include alignment blocks containing at least nine out of the 10 species.

Divergence at fourfold degenerate (4D) sites, which approximates the neutral mutation rate [49], was used to compare variation in DNA substitution rates of chelonians and the other sauropods. We extracted 4D sites from the WGA based on the Ensembl v82 chicken gene annotations using msa_view in the PHAST v1.3 package [50]. To reconstruct the phylogeny of the 10 sauropods included in the WGA using the 4D data, we used RAxML v8.2.3 [51]. We generated 20 maximum likelihood (ML) trees under the GTRCAT substitution model and conducted 500 bootstrap replicates to assess statistical support for the ML tree with the highest likelihood. We used the topology of the best ML tree from RAxML and obtained branch lengths in terms of substitutions per site by fitting a time-reversible model (REV) to the 4D site data using phyloFit in PHAST. We then estimated lineage-specific substitution rates in sauropods using the penalized likelihood method implemented in r8s v1.8.1 [52] while placing constraints on nodal ages obtained from TimeTree [53]. The age of the ancestral sauropod node was fixed at 280 Ma and the ancestral archosaur node at 245 Ma; these were the median age estimates. Estimates of divergence time for most chelonian families differed widely in the literature. Thus, we placed minimum and maximum age constraints on the nodes representing Cryptodira (encompassing all four represented chelonian families, 97.4 Ma to 250 Ma) and Durocrocodylia (excluding *P. sinensis*, 36 Ma to 183 Ma). The divergence time of Testudinae (*G. agassizii* and Emydidae (*C. picta bellii*) was estimated (1) without constraint and (2) with constraints, which fixed the node age as the median estimate from TimeTree (74.2 Ma).

To identify protein-coding genes potentially responsible for adaptations in *Agassiz’s* desert tortoise, we calculated the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions (Ka/Ks) in pairwise comparisons separately to western painted turtle (chrPic2), Chinese softshell turtle (pelSin1), green sea turtle (cheMyd1) and chicken. Syntenic genome alignments were generated as described above, and the Stitch Gene Blocks tool in Galaxy [54] was used to extract orthologs using the chicken reference annotation (Ensembl v8). We removed gene alignments with less than 50% coverage, ambiguous codons, and internal stop codons using AlignmentProcessor (https://github.com/WilsonSayresLab/AlignmentProcessor). Estimated Ka/Ks for the filtered gene alignments were obtained using KaKs_calculator2.0 [55], and we collected the RefSeq (western painted turtle, green sea turtle,
Chinese softshell turtle) or Ensembl (chicken) IDs of accelerated genes (Ka/Ks > 1). These genes were enriched for non-synonymous and potentially functional substitutions resulting from amino acid changes [56]. A Bonferroni adjustment for multiple hypothesis testing [57] was used to identify genes with highly significant Ka/Ks > 1, which indicates positive selection. Many genes in chelonian genome assemblies lacked clear orthology to an organism in RefSeq. Thus, human orthologs were obtained by the ID Mapping of associated gene names in UniProt [58] was used to for retrieve Gene Ontology (GO) terms. REVIGO [59] semantic clustering was used to visualize GO terms for biological processes, cellular components and molecular function. To compare GO terms, analyses used medium similarity (0.7) for semantic overlap, clustering of terms by ‘uniqueness,’ and the default whole UniProt database.

In 2011, divergence across genetics, ecology and behavior was used to recognize two different species of desert tortoise in the southwestern United States: Agassiz’s desert tortoise (G. agassizii), found in the Mojave Desert of California, Nevada, Utah and northwestern Arizona, and Morafka’s desert tortoise (G. morafkaei), found in the Sonoran Desert of Arizona and Sonora, Mexico [60]. More recently, genetic data were used to characterize and describe the third desert species, Goode’s Thornscrub tortoise (G. evgoodei), which resides in the states of Sonora and Sinaloa, Mexico [61]. These three species are thought to have diverged nearly simultaneously ~5.5 Ma [8] and occupy diverse habitats, including the high desert of the Great Basin shrub steppe in Utah, to the Joshua tree forests of California, through the coastal desertscrub along the east coast of the Sea of Cortez and the lush tropical deciduous forests of Sinaloa, Mexico [62]. The three species of desert tortoise have likely adapted to different climatic regimes and landscapes. To find genomic regions responsible for species-specific desert tortoise adaptations, we analyzed fixed unique variants within three species of the desert tortoise species complex. We mapped previously published blood RNA (NCBI BioProject PRJNA281763) data from three individuals per species to our new G. agassizii reference genome using STAR v2.5.0a [63], with default parameters for the two-pass method set to “basic” to find splice junctions. We added read groups and removed PCR duplicates using MarkDuplicates from Picard v1.140 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Then we used the SplitN’Trim tool in the Genome Analysis Tool Kit pipeline (GATK v3.4–46) [64] to split reads into exon segments and clip sequences that overlap introns. The split, de-duplicated alignment files from each individual were then merged using Picard. We used the CallableLoci GATK tool to filter the genome for areas that met default coverage criteria for each alignment file, and concatenated, sorted and merged the output BED files using bedtools version 2.24.0 [65], with–d set to 20 to join nearby yet non- contiguous callable sites. Using the merged callable loci as a target file, we called variants on all nine alignments with FreeBayes v1.0.1 [66].

We identified fixed differences between the three species using the Filter command in SnpSift v4.0 [67], filtering by quality (≥ 30) and read depth (≥ 20). We filtered for only SNP variants within the unique variants file per taxon, excluding STRs and insertion-deletions. We cross-referenced these unique SNP variant files to orthology tables for human (Homosapiens), chicken (Gallus gallus), and green anole (Anolis carolinensis) (see Genome Annotation Methods) for each Gopherus species (9 comparisons in total). Each set of cross-referenced orthologous Ensembl IDs were: 1) converted to Gene Ontology (GO) terms using g:Convert (http://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gconvert.cgi, accessed July 31, 2016) [68], to plot and analyze semantically with REVIGO, and 2) entered into g:GOSt [67] to test for statistical enrichment of GO terms per Gopherus species. For enrichment analysis in g:GOSt we retained only significant results, used hierarchical sorting without filtering, and used the default significance threshold (g:SCS) correction for multiple hypothesis testing. For visualization in REVIGO, we allowed medium similarity (0.7) for semantic overlap, clustered terms by ‘uniqueness,’ and used the default whole UniProt database for comparison of GO terms. Gene clusterings and GO-term enrichments were similar across reference taxonomy (human, chicken, or the green anole), so we only show results using orthology assignments from the green anole.

**Accession numbers**

Genomic and transcriptomic sequences are available from the NCBI BioProject (accession numbers PRJNA352725, PRJNA352726, and PRJNA281763). The genome assembly is available from NCBI BioProject PRJNA352726. Genome and transcriptome assembly, predicted protein, transcript, genome annotation, and annotated repeat files are available from the Harvard Dataverse (doi:10.7910/DVN/EH2S9K).

**Results and discussion**

**Genome sequencing and assembly**

For whole genome sequencing, we used multiple insert size genomic libraries (Table 2). We generated a total of 2,877,286,716 small-insert library reads with targeted insert sizes of 200 bp, 300 bp, and 1,000 bp (average insert sizes of 189 bp, 265 bp, and 788 bp, respectively). Average read length was 104 bp, and after trimming we retained 85% of those reads for an average read length of 96 bp. Using a k-mer of 27, the k-mer frequency spectrum of the trimmed short insert library data (Fig 1A) revealed peaks at 35X k-mer depth for heterozygous regions and 71X k-mer depth for homozygous regions. Using the formula genome size = total k-mers + peak k-mer depth, we estimated the genome size for G. agassizi to be 2,350,283,237 bp or ~2.4 gigabase-pairs (Gbp), which is smaller than a previous estimate of 2.9 Gbp based on Feulgen densitometry (FD) of red blood cells [69]. This 17% difference may reflect either error in experimental quantification or intraspecific variation in genome size. Another potential explanation for this discrepancy could be that the FD quantification of genome size was published in 1965, long before the taxonomic reclassification of the desert tortoise species complex [60,61], and thus may have been performed using a species other than G. agassizii. Using our k-mer based estimate of genome size, we further estimate that with the short read libraries we obtained genome coverage of 128X before trimming and 97X after trimming. We also generated mate pair libraries with targeted insert sizes of 2 kbp, 4 kbp, and 10 kbp (average insert sizes of 911 bp, 2,220 bp, and 4,446 bp, respectively), with an average read length of 100 bp after trimming for additional 19X coverage. Genomic sequence summaries are listed in Table 2, and raw data is made available through NCBI BioProject PRJNA352726.
Table 2. Sequences used for the assembly of the Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) genome.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.t002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxonomic Level</th>
<th>Number of Reads</th>
<th>Estimated Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600bp paired-end</td>
<td>1,629,910,000</td>
<td>96x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300bp paired-end</td>
<td>1,548,967,000</td>
<td>102x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150bp paired-end</td>
<td>694,973,000</td>
<td>113x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50bp paired-end</td>
<td>1,374,000,000</td>
<td>96x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40bp paired-end</td>
<td>337,360,000</td>
<td>52x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30bp paired-end</td>
<td>266,000,000</td>
<td>70x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20bp paired-end</td>
<td>114,000,000</td>
<td>96x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on an estimated final genome size of 2.4 Gbp, with results and discussion.*

Fig 1. Assembly statistics for the genome of Gopherus agassizii and comparison with Python bivittatus and Alligator mississippiensis.

(A) Two k-mer frequency peaks exist for G. agassizii: a peak at 35X coverage, representing heterozygous regions and a peak at 71X coverage, representing homozygous regions. (B) Gene-based scaffolding greatly increased scaffold N50 from 169.2 kbp to 251.6 kbp, which places it within the range of recently published genomes of reptiles including the Burmese python [71] and the American alligator [72].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.g001

Statistics for candidate assemblies are found in the Supporting Information online (S2 and S3 Tables). Assembling with SOAPdenovo2 and a user-set k-mer value of 47 results in a contig N50 of 42.7 kbp, a scaffold N50 of 169.2 kbp, with placing 86.9% of contigs on scaffolds, 43.19% GC content, and 1.51% consisting of Ns after gap-closing, which we select for further analysis. RNA-scaffolding vastly improves the contiguity of the initial assembly by increasing the scaffold N50 to 251.6 kbp and with placing 88.7% of contigs on scaffolds, and 1.55% consisting of Ns (Fig 1B). The total length of the draft assembly is very close to our k-mer based estimate of genome size at 2.4 Gbp, which is longer than 1.2 Gbp for the chicken [70] but comparable to 2.6 Gbp for C. picta bellii [18], 2.2 Gbp for P. sinensis, and 2.2 Gbp for C. mydas [17]. We detect 235 out of 248 (94.8%) core eukaryotic genes (CEGs) in the final assembly in at least partial status, and 194 (78.2%) CEGs are complete. We identify 92% of the 3,023 vertebrate-specific BUSCOs in the assembly, including 2,324 (77%) complete and 459 (15%) fragmented (S4 Table). Table 3 summarizes the final assembly statistics. The distribution of GC content across the assembly is similar to that of other published turtle genomes, and differs from more phylogenetically distant reptiles (Fig 2).

Table 3. Assembly statistics for the genome of Gopherus agassizii.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.t003
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Repeat analysis

Repeat identification of the G. agassizii genome using known RepBase elements results in 31% of the genome masked (Table 4). Additional de novo repeat identification with RepeatModeler improves the annotations of several classes of repeats, resulting in 43% of the genome being assigned to repeats. These include a diverse array of transposable elements, which comprise 33% of the genome, including DNA transposons, long terminal repeat (LTR) elements, long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), and short interspersed elements (SINEs). The genomic proportion of repeats in Agassiz’s desert tortoise is greater than that for the western painted turtle (29%). Slight differences in the abundance of repeat classes exist between the analyzed chelonians, although relative abundances are similar except for DNA transposons, which are more abundant in Agassiz’s desert tortoise (12.4% versus 9.8% in the western painted turtle), as are LTR retrotransposons (7.7% versus 5.2%, respectively). The genomes of both Agassiz’s desert tortoise and the western painted turtle possess a large proportion of CR1 subfamilies at ≤ 5% K2P divergence from their consensus sequence (S1 Fig), suggesting they are recent inserts. Therefore, these elements comprise the most active and common retrotransposons in both chelonians and archosaurs [72,77]. The high percentage (8%) of unclassified interspersed repeats identified by RepeatModeler in the Agassiz’s desert tortoise’s genome, for which we could not find matches in either RepBase or GenBank, suggests that novel elements exist in the genomes of chelonians.

| Table 4. Repetitive content of the Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and the western painted turtle (Chrysemys picta bellii) genomes, estimated with libraries of known repeats (RepBase) and de novo repeat identification (RepeatModeler). |
|https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.t004|

Slow DNA substitution rates in chelonians

The filtered whole genome alignment (WGA) is just over 217 Mbp in length, including 15% gaps, with an average of 9.23 species represented in each alignment block. The RaxML analysis included full statistical support for the a priori expected relationships, including the monophyly of C. picta bellii and G. agassizii, P. sinensis as the outgroup to all other included chelonians, and a monophyletic archosauria. When analyzing 1,815,350 4D sites, branch lengths in terms of substitutions per site on the sauropsid phylogeny (Fig 4, S2 Fig) suggest limited neutral divergence since the most recent common ancestor of chelonians. In contrast, the avian clade has longer internal branches, consistent with faster evolutionary rates in birds compared to other archosaurs [72,77]. Incorporating different divergence times between Gopherus and Chrysemys (S5 Table) affects the absolute estimated rates but not relative results. These results are similar to previous findings that chelonians have accumulated fewer DNA substitutions over time than other sauropsid lineages [17,18] (S3 Fig, S7 Dataset). This may be due to the unusually long generation times of many chelonians, which correlate with lower substitution rates in reptiles [78].
Comparative genomics of turtles

The *G. agassizii* genome alignments to western painted turtle, green sea turtle, Chinese softshell turtle and chicken are 1.9 Gbp, 1.8 Gbp, 1.3 Gbp, and 0.44 Gbp in length, respectively. There are 223 genes with Ka/Ks > 1 in the tortoise-western painted turtle comparison, and 75, 31, and 23 in the comparisons with green sea turtle, Chinese softshell turtle and chicken, respectively (S8 Dataset). This results in 58, 29, 6, and 23 accelerated genes with clear orthology in the respective comparisons (S9 Dataset), though none passed the p-value threshold for positive selection after the conservative Bonferroni correction for multiple hypothesis testing. Notwithstanding, we report results of all genes with Ka/Ks > 1, because both positive selection and relaxed functional constraint can affect the evolution of phenotypes [79].

The western painted turtle is the taxonomically closest genome-enabled species to Agassiz’s desert tortoise, and as a temperate aquatic species its comparison with desert tortoise can shed light on the evolution of many desert tortoise traits. Indeed, the desert tortoise-painted turtle comparison yielded the highest number of genes with Ka/Ks > 1. Many of the 58 accelerated genes may be relevant to tortoise adaptations (Fig 5A), such as *CD34*. This gene codes for an adhesion molecule on circulating cells that contribute to vascular repair following glomerular injury [80]. Optimal renal function is critical for organisms living in the desert, such as *G. agassizii*. CSTA and SDR16C5 may also link to important chelonian adaptations, as they are involved in keratinocyte differentiation (GO:0030216) and proliferation (GO:0043616), respectively. This implies involvement in the formation of reptilian scales and feathers including the chelonian shell [81]. Other accelerated genes control responses to oxidative stress, including *VIMP* and *SOD1*. This is consistent with the initial analysis of the western painted turtle genome, which showed molecular evidence for adaptations for anoxic conditions [18]. Accelerated genes between the Agassiz’s desert tortoise and western painted turtle involve function in immunity, including *SOD1*, *FFAR4*, *CXCL11*, *CXCL8*, *CD4*, *IL10*, and *NFAM1*. These genes regulate cytokine activity. The comparison with green sea turtle (Fig 5B) also yields amino acid changes in genes involved in immune function such as *TNFSF4* and *IFNG*, both of which regulate CD4+ T cell/antigen presenting cell interactions, and *PGLYRP1* which is a peptidoglycan-recognizing protein involved in response to bacterial infection. Agassiz’s desert tortoise and the green sea turtle share several accelerated genes involved in apoptosis and aging, including *BCL2A1* and *GZMA*.
Analyses fail to detect many genes with Ka/Ks > 1 in the comparison of Agassiz’s desert tortoise and the Chinese softshell turtle, yet CD4 is also accelerated as in the comparison with the western painted turtle. Also, as in the green sea turtle comparison, the chicken comparison shows accelerated evolution in TNFSF4. Given their phylogenetic relationships, these changes to the adaptive immune system appear unique to Agassiz’s desert tortoise within these taxa. We also find amino acid differences between chicken and desert tortoise in genes such as MPV17, which is involved in mitochondrial homeostasis, suggesting different adaptive pathways controlling metabolism in endotherms (chicken) and ectotherms (tortoise). Finally, AQP10 is a potentially important accelerated gene from the chicken comparison, which functions as a water-selective channel that can permeate neutral solutes such as glycerol and urea [82]. Altogether, these analyses of protein coding genes suggest that chelonian adaptations relate to shell development and anoxia, and tortoise-specific adaptations involve longevity, osmoregulation and water balance, immune function, and metabolism. Such results provide a foundation for future chelonian studies in conservation and organismal function.

Comparative genomics of the desert tortoise species complex

After filtering for quality and coverage, G. agassizii, G. morafkai and G. evgoodei have 10,086, 2,593 and 23,261 fixed unique SNPs, respectively. Of these variants, the numbers occurring in genes with assigned orthology to Anolis carolinensis are 910, 360, and 1,340, respectively. Analyses using g:GOSt identify 59 (BP: 27, CC: 28, MF: 4), 23 (BP: 1, CC: 20, MF: 2), and 95 (BP: 42, CC: 39, MF: 14) enriched GO categories, respectively. The conversion of genes to GO terms in g:Convert produces 8,928 GO terms for G. agassizii, 3,590 for G. morafkai, and 13,455 for G. evgoodei, including duplicates by different genes yielding the same GO term (S6–S8 Tables, SS Fig). The GO categories most enriched for G. agassizii (Table 5) are: RNA metabolism and processing (RNA processing GO:0006396, mRNA metabolic process GO:0016071, mRNA processing GO:0006397); alteration of polypeptides, polynucleotides, polysaccharides, or other biological macromolecule (macromolecule modification GO:0043412, cellular macromolecule metabolic process GO:0044230, cellular protein modification process GO:0006464); and other basic metabolic processes (primary metabolic process GO:0006091, nucleotide-containing compound metabolic process GO:0006139, cellular nitrogen compound metabolic process GO:0034641, organic substance metabolic process GO:0071704, cellular aromatic compound metabolic process GO:0006725).
Basic cellular processes are necessary for the higher functions *G. agassizii* uses to survive the environmentally harsh Mojave Desert, including circadian rhythm, aging, response to UV, regulation of urine volume, and humoral and innate immune responses (Table 6). The enriched GO category of macromolecule modification (GO:0043412) includes genes involved in such biological processes that may be important to the adaptation of *G. agassizii* to its environment (S6–S8 Tables). *CRY1* (Cryptochrome-1), and *CSNK1D* (Casein kinase I isoform delta) are also involved with circadian rhythm (GO:0007623). In the northern house mosquito, functional *CRY1* is required for overwintering diapause or dormancy [83], although the role of circadian genes in regulation of brumation remains to be determined. Five genes overlap with response to UV (GO:0009411): *ERCC6* (DNA excision repair protein ERCC-6), *HYAL2* (Hyaluronidase-2), *PPID* (Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase D), *UBE2B* (Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 B), and *USP28* (Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 28). *HYAL2* overlaps with other genes in the category for regulation of urine volume (GO:0035809). With an estimated lifespan of up to 53 years in the wild [4], Agassiz's desert tortoise allows for investigations into the genetic regulation of aging, making it a potentially important species for the understanding of many human diseases [15,16]. Six genes overlap with aging (GO: 0007568): *ABL1* (Tyrosine-protein kinase ABL1), *CARM1* (Histone-arginine methyltransferase CARM1), *HYAL2*, *PRKCD* (Protein kinase C delta type), *RPN2* (Dolichyl-diphosphooligosaccharide-protein glycosyltransferase subunit 2), and *TGFB1* (Transforming growth factor beta-1). These genes with functional enrichment across *Gopherus* spp. and which overlap with relevant desert-related processes warrant further investigation. Indeed the role that such macromolecular modification genes play in desert adaptation is a question with broad relevance, and may be important to understanding the biology of this threatened species.

### Table 6. Overlapping genes in the two most enriched GO categories for fixed unique SNPs in *G. agassizii* compared with key functional categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Overlapping Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humoral/B cell mediated immunity</td>
<td><em>PAXIP1</em>, <em>PRKC</em>, <em>TGFB1</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate immune response</td>
<td><em>ABL1</em>, <em>CDC37</em>, <em>IFIH1</em>, <em>NOD1</em>, <em>PELI1</em>, <em>PRKCD</em>, <em>SRPK1</em>, <em>TAB1</em>, <em>TGFB1</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No genes with fixed variants overlap with T cell mediated immunity (GO:0002456), yet three genes overlap with humoral/B cell mediated immunity (GO:0019724): *PAXIP1* (PAX-interacting protein 1), *PRKC* (Protein kinase C delta type), and *TGFB1*. Nine genes overlap with innate immune response (GO:0045087): *ABL1*, *CDC37* (Hsp90 co-chaperone Cdc37), *IFIH1* (Interferon-induced helicase C domain-containing protein 1), *NOD1* (Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1), *PELI1* (E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase pellino homolog 1), *PRKCD* (Protein kinase C delta type), *SRPK1* (Serine and arginine rich splicing factors protein kinase 1), *TAB1* (TGF-beta-activated kinase 1 and MAP3K7-binding protein 1), and *TGFB1*. Only *SRPK1* overlaps with the RNA processing group (GO:0006396) and the more functionally focused groups for innate immune response (GO:0045087). Given the susceptibility of *G. agassizii* to upper respiratory disease, variants that would influence the immune response are of high interest to understand host factors involved in pathogenesis. Genes with fixed differences between species of the *Gopherus* desert tortoise species complex, as well as genes found to be under accelerated evolution across chelonians, are summarized in Table 7.

### Table 7. Genes under accelerated evolution across chelonians and genes with fixed differences between species in the *Gopherus* desert tortoise species complex according to this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Evolutionary Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>ABL1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>CDC37</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>IFIH1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>NOD1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PELI1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PRKCD</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>SRPK1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>TAB1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>TGFB1</em></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immune response is important for *G. agassizii* due to the respiratory tract disease that may be driving population declines (Table 6). No genes with fixed variants overlap with T cell mediated immunity (GO:0002456), yet three genes overlap with humoral/B cell mediated immunity (GO:0019724): *PAXIP1* (PAX-interacting protein 1), *PRKC* (Protein kinase C delta type), and *TGFB1*. Nine genes overlap with innate immune response (GO:0045087): *ABL1*, *CDC37* (Hsp90 co-chaperone Cdc37), *IFIH1* (Interferon-induced helicase C domain-containing protein 1), *NOD1* (Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1), *PELI1* (E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase pellino homolog 1), *PRKCD* (Protein kinase C delta type), *SRPK1* (Serine and arginine rich splicing factors protein kinase 1), *TAB1* (TGF-beta-activated kinase 1 and MAP3K7-binding protein 1), and *TGFB1*. Only *SRPK1* overlaps with the RNA processing group (GO:0006396) and the more functionally focused groups for innate immune response (GO:0045087). Given the susceptibility of *G. agassizii* to upper respiratory disease, variants that would influence the immune response are of high interest to understand host factors involved in pathogenesis. Genes with fixed differences between species of the *Gopherus* desert tortoise species complex, as well as genes found to be under accelerated evolution across chelonians, are summarized in Table 7.
Conclusions

Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) is listed as a threatened species. Here, we report a de novo genome assembly and annotation for G. agassizii to serve as a conservation resource for assessing genetic diversity across the species’ range, identifying genes involved in adaptation to warm deserts, and genomic responses to the respiratory disease that is threatening desert tortoise populations. We generated a draft genome assembly and annotation based on deep transcriptome sequencing of four tissues from adult tortoises. The genome assembly represents 2.4 Gbp with a scaffold N50 length of 251.6 kbp, and a 43% genomic proportion of repetitive elements. Genome annotation identifies 20,172 protein-coding genes, including an estimated 95% of core eukaryotic genes, and is comparable to predicted gene numbers in turtles. We demonstrate that this draft genome will be a useful reference for the future mapping of markers in genetic studies of the evolution of G. agassizii, such as defining the boundaries of the hybrid zone with Morafka’s desert tortoise (G. morafkai). Our analyses of gene sequences lay the groundwork for understanding the genetic basis of adaptations to arid environments for this species and its congeners, and potentially other desert-adapted species, including identifying genes involved in response to UV and regulation of urine volume. They may also advance our understanding of characteristics of interest to humans, such as longevity, and management of diseases that plague this species and other chelonians.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Divergence summary of repetitive elements in the genome of Gopherus agassizii.
Distribution of repetitive elements in the G. agassizii genome including DNA transposons, long terminal repeat (LTR) elements, long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), short interspersed elements (SINEs), and unknown elements. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s001 (TIFF)

S2 Fig. Phylogeny of sauropsids with sequenced genomes (see Fig 4).
Branch lengths are in terms of substitutions per site derived from fourfold degenerate sites. Nodes are labeled in reference with S5 Table. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s002 (TIFF)

S3 Fig. DNA substitution rates in sauropsids derived from divergence at fourfold degenerate sites in two node constraint scenarios (see S5 Table).
(A) Estimated divergence of Gopherus agassizii and Chrysemys picta bellii without constraint. (B) Fixed age of node 9 (see S2 Fig.) at 74.2 million years. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s003 (TIFF)

S4 Fig. Representation of gene ontology terms for biological processes shared by genes with Ka/Ks > 1 in the pairwise comparison of Gopherus agassizii and Gallus gallus.
Treemap boxes are sized according to uniqueness. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s004 (TIFF)

S5 Fig. Speciation genomics of desert tortoises.
(A) Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times of three desert tortoise species. Total RNA was sequenced for three individuals per taxon and mapped to the Gopherus agassizii assembly. (B) Representation of Gene Ontology terms shared by genes with fixed unique single nucleotide polymorphisms in each species of desert tortoise. Treemap boxes are sized according to uniqueness. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s005 (TIFF)

S1 Table. Data on tissue used for (A) DNA and (B) RNA sequencing.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s006 (XLS)

S2 Table. Statistics for candidate single-end assemblies (“unitigs”) generated using different user-set k-mer values with ABySS.
The unitig with the largest N50 (k-mer = 83) was chosen for further assembly using ABySS. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s007 (XLSX)

S3 Table. Statistics for candidate assemblies using different assemblers, methods and user-set k-mer values.
S4 Table. Results from the Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) analyses of the final Gopherus agassizii genome assembly, transcriptome assembly, and gene set and comparison with other genomic datasets.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s008

S5 Table. Node constraints and estimates for joint divergence time (in millions of years) and substitution rate analyses.

Node labels are from S2 Fig.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s010

S6 Table. Enriched GO categories using unique, fixed variants per taxon for Gopherus agassizii.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s011

S7 Table. Enriched GO categories using unique, fixed variants per taxon for Gopherus morafkai.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s012

S8 Table. Enriched GO categories using unique, fixed variants per taxon for Gopherus evgoodei.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s013

S1 Appendix. Supporting methods.

(A) Commands used for the various steps in the genome assembly process. (B) Commands used to filter the variant file (.vcf) from FreeBayes using SnpSft, and to intersect it with the Gopherus agassizii annotation using bedtools.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s014

S1 Dataset. Assigned 1:1 orthologs between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and western painted turtle (Chrysemys picta bellii).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s015

S2 Dataset. Assigned 1:1 orthologs between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and Chinese softshell turtle (Pelodiscus sinensis).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s016

S3 Dataset. Assigned 1:1 orthologs between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and chicken (Gallus gallus).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s017

S4 Dataset. Assigned 1:1 orthologs between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and green anole (Anolis carolinensis).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s018

S5 Dataset. Assigned 1:1 orthologs between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and human (Homo sapiens).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s019

S6 Dataset. Ortholog groups shared between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii), western painted turtle (Chrysemys picta bellii), Chinese softshell turtle (Pelodiscus sinensis), chicken (Gallus gallus), alligator (Alligator mississippiensis), green anole (Anolis carolinensis) and human (Homo sapiens).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s020

S7 Dataset. Estimated DNA substitution rate analysis and results using penalized likelihood.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s021
S8 Dataset. Output from Ka/Ks analysis for all genes compared between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and western painted turtle (Chrysemys picta bellii), Chinese softshell turtle (Pelodiscus sinensis), green sea turtle (Chelonia mydas), and chicken (Gallus gallus).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s022 (ZIP)

S9 Dataset. Biomart results for human Ensembl gene ID and Gene Ontology categories for accelerated genes with Ka/Ks > 1 between Agassiz’s desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) and western painted turtle (Chrysemys picta bellii), Chinese softshell turtle (Pelodiscus sinensis), green sea turtle (Chelonia mydas), and chicken (Gallus gallus).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177708.s023 (XLS)
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