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Abstract

Current obesity statistics exceed national goals with Hispanics disproportionately affected. Evidence suggests a family centered methodology focusing on culture can positively improve weight loss, client satisfaction and participation. This project will evaluate use of culturally tailored resources for primary care providers to educate Hispanics on weight loss. Eight providers in a small practice in the Southwestern US were recruited to complete a pre- and post-EBPAS tool after an educational session. A BMI form tracked provider use of the fotonovela intervention against preferred methods. Feedback on time spent educating and overall perception were collected. Four providers completed the pre-EBPAS, three completed the post-, one participated in the intervention, and six contributed project feedback. Descriptive statistics revealed an aggregate provider decrease of five-points post-educational session for attitude toward adopting EBP. The BMI documentation form demonstrated a 53% (n = 8) use of the fotonovela. However, there were five undocumented fotonovelas taken/given out post-intervention. Key themes noted by providers included poor timing of the project, satisfaction with workflow and resources, and overall discontent for the fotonovela. Future implications include re-evaluating the project in a practice not undergoing significant changes with specific focus on timing of the intervention.
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Obesity is a complex issue to address due to the many contributing factors that affect an individual’s weight. Genetics and behavioral aspects such as dietary patterns, physical activity, and culture play a large role (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2016a). Health consequences in comparison to individuals with normal weight include shorter quantity of life and low quality of life related to cardiovascular disease, type-II diabetes, mental illness, physical pain and cancer (CDC, 2016a; Sung-Chan, Sung, Zhao, & Brownson, 2013; Thé, Suchindran, North, Popkin, & Gordon-Larsen, 2010). Family centered interventions have been recognized as superior models to weight management in families due to the unique blending of parental education and application of behavioral approaches to encourage lasting change for the entire family (Sung-Chan et al., 2012). This paper intends to illustrate the problem of obesity in Hispanic families, including the effects that culture plays in weight loss and an innovative strategy to address obesity in this population.

Problem Statement

Obesity in the United States (US) is a growing epidemic. Obesity in adults up to age 65 is described as a body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m² or greater, with pediatric obesity occurring with a weight greater than the 95th percentile on CDC growth charts (CDC, 2015c; CDC, 2016c). While BMI does not directly measure body fat, it serves as a means of understanding the relationship between weight and health risks (Ogden, Carroll, Fryar, & Flegal, 2015). Nationally, approximately 36.5% of the adult US population and 17% of the pediatric population are considered obese, with a disproportionately larger percentage among Hispanics (CDC, 2015b; CDC, 2016b; Crespo & Arbesman, 2003; Ogden et al., 2015; Thé et al., 2010). Hispanics
represent the second largest group of obese individuals in the US (CDC, 2015a). In 2015 alone, 73.9% of Hispanics in Arizona were classified as overweight, with 35.9% (95% CI [32.0, 39.8]) of those individuals classified as obese (CDC, 2015a). The prevalence of obesity for Arizonan Hispanic women is 45.7%, compared to 35.5% for their white counterparts. From 1999 through 2014, these obesity statistics have continued to rise (Ogden et al., 2015).

Obesity is not just a problem for women. Research shows that obese parents typically raise obese children, with adolescents having a significantly higher probability of becoming severely obese (BMI greater than or equal to 40 kg/m2) in adulthood than their normal weight peers (Thé et al., 2010). There are many national initiatives focusing on a reduction in these obesity statistics. The Healthy People 2020 target is 30.5% or less of the population considered obese (Arizona Health Matters, 2012). With Hispanic women surpassing this benchmark, and 21.9% of their children qualifying as obese, these initiatives are falling short at addressing obesity in Arizona (CDC, 2016b).

Due to this population’s high risk of adverse health outcomes and the plethora of modifiable risk factors, interventions with a focus on finding a leverage point need to be implemented (Terán, Belkic & Johnson, 2002). Psychosocial factors such as eating habits, level of activity, family values and acculturation play a key role in weight gain in Hispanics (Terán et al., 2002). Obesity is a multifaceted problem that requires a unique solution for lasting change. The impact of weight loss could have a profound effect on reducing chronic illness and contributing to quality of life in this target population.

When it comes to weight loss, client outcomes, participation in care, and compliance all stem from the provider understanding the client’s culture and responding appropriately to these cultural values (Carteret, n.d.). Culturally, the family matriarch dictates these family values
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(Carteret, n.d.; Sorkin et al., 2014). When caring for a Hispanic client, the provider is in effect caring for the whole family. Because the Hispanic culture values family, a family centered intervention that focuses on mothers and their children needs to be implemented to address the health needs of the whole family. Family centered care focuses on the relationship between healthcare providers, patients and their families. This partnership allows for mutual decision making regarding planning, delivery and evaluation of healthcare, while appreciating the emotional, social and developmental needs of the family. Family centered care fosters empowerment in addressing the needs of the family as a whole while promoting wellness in a culturally relevant manner (Davidson, Lawson, & Coatsworth, 2012). Although Hispanic mothers may not be particularly motivated to make necessary changes to lose weight for themselves, if the family is encouraged to participate and cultural family values are included, it is probable that the client will be able to better achieve her weight loss goals and promote health prevention for her children, thus improving her overall health and the health of her family (Austin, Smith, Gianini, & Campos-Melady, 2013).

Purpose and Rationale

Treating obesity has been a challenge for the providers in a rural health clinic where 79% of the clients are Hispanic. The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, it will summarize available literature related to the treatment of obesity in Hispanic families and then discuss an intervention for providers that will guide efforts to focus on implementation of a family centered weight loss approach for their Hispanic clients.

Background and Significance

The idea of a family approach to weight loss is not novel. In a 1992 study, researchers set out to determine if a family intervention addressing weight loss in Hispanics had more of an
impact since evidence suggests that family centered lifestyle interventions are more culturally appropriate (Cousins et al., 1992; Sorkin et al., 2014). Results demonstrated a marginal change in weight loss with the family intervention group due to the Hispanic fathers’ refusal to participate in the group meetings. The men were cited as stating that health and nutrition are issues that concern the mothers (Cousins et al., 1992; Sorkin et al., 2014). While weight loss was low in the intervention group, the benefit of improving physiological measures such as fasting blood glucose and blood pressure could have a potentially large impact on overall health long term (Cousins et al., 1992).

Many weight loss studies focus on support and behavior changes in dyads with spouses, but culturally, this has been recognized to be problematic as aforementioned (Sorkin et al., 2014). In another study that focused on a family intervention, mothers with diabetes and their overweight or obese adult daughters were paired together to encourage greater weight loss (Sorkin et al., 2014). This cross-generational study demonstrated that support from social networks that share similar health risk factors have the potential to promote significant changes in lifestyle behaviors (Sorkin et al., 2014). The authors discovered that one family member’s healthy lifestyle changes had the potential to catalyze similar effects in other family members (Sorkin et al., 2014).

Most of the literature for family centered weight loss interventions are targeted at children, which remains the gold standard for addressing pediatric obesity (Bender, Clark, & Gahagan, 2014; Davidson et al., 2012; Kaplan, Arnold, Irby, Boles, & Skelton, 2014). Many of these interventions focus on the mother and child relationship. The notion is that with healthy behavior modification of one family member, others will follow suit (Kaplan et al., 2014). As is often the case with children, mothers are traditionally the individual who purchases and prepares
meals, making her a prime motivator and agent of change. While many pediatric obesity programs focus on the family, a meta-analytic review found that they were not family centered. Instead, the focus was placed on the individual in a group family setting, which limits the ability to assess family theory dynamics and how culture plays a role in health (Davidson et al., 2012).

Family centered interventions are adaptive and allow for varying cultural needs and values (Davidson et al., 2012). Culture plays a large role in diet, activity, perceptions of weight and weight loss behaviors (Agne, Daubert, Munoz, Scarinci, & Cherrington, 2012; Lindberg & Stevens, 2011). In a qualitative study, focus groups of Hispanic women emphasized the importance of family and cultural foods to their quality of life. Common themes identified included children as motivators for weight loss, social isolation as a barrier to weight loss, and importance of tradition to health (Agne et al., 2012). The importance of family is emphasized in another study which found that Hispanic women cite commitment to family needs and family obligations as barriers that may impede personal weight loss efforts (Austin, Smith, Gianini, & Campos-Melady, 2013). The involvement of the Hispanic family in weight loss promotes not only adherence to weight loss programs, but improved outcomes (Agne et al., 2012; Austin et al., 2013; Bender et al., 2014). The importance of family in the Hispanic culture cannot be negated (Lindberg & Stevens, 2011). In addition, culture plays a role in what is viewed as healthy. While Hispanic women are not motivated by thinness, the importance of disease prevention and family health are viewed as essential (Agne et al., 2012; Lindberg & Stevens, 2011). Additionally, plump children are regarded as healthy (Agne et al., 2012). Culturally sensitive education and family centered interventions are necessary to promote health and wellness among Hispanic families.
There are sufficient studies to demonstrate that positive health behaviors in Hispanic women role model healthy habits for their children (Cousins et al., 1992; Klohe-Lehman et al., 2007; Sorkin et al., 2014). While the Cousins et al. (1992) study is older, it has shown promising results for family weight loss interventions if the limitations of the study (i.e., participation of the father) could be modified. Family centered interventions have also confirmed effectiveness for pediatric weight loss (Davidson et al., 2012; Kaplan et al., 2014; Klohe-Lehman et al., 2007). It is fair to conclude that by applying the same principles of a family centered intervention to Hispanic women and their children the outcomes would be similar.

In a low-income rural primary care clinic in the Tucson area, interventions to address weight loss appear futile. Despite the time taken to deliver individualized diet and exercise counseling, little progress is made toward normalizing BMIs and weight percentiles. A large proportion of the clients seen in the clinic are low-income middle-aged Hispanic women with BMIs greater than 30 kg/m2. Providers are disheartened by the seemingly unchanged outcomes and clients are frustrated when it comes to weight loss education and interventions, but the issue lies deeper than cutting calories and increasing exercise. The Hispanic mother is the point of contact in the current clinic setting, and can function as the catalyst for change in the health of the entire family. Primary care providers are in a unique role to address health by focusing both on treatment of obesity in adults, and prevention for their children. This inquiry has led to the following clinically relevant PICO question: In a community health clinic, how does implementing an educational program for providers on family centered weight loss in Hispanic families affect patient education when compared to the current standard of care?

**Search Strategy**
In order to understand the complex issue of obesity in Hispanic families, an extensive search of the literature was conducted. Initially, four databases were searched until articles began to reemerge. These databases included Web of Science, PubMed, Sage Premier, and PsychINFO for all available years to obtain seminal studies and encourage a wide knowledgebase on the topic. Inclusion criteria were studies that targeted overweight or obesity, family centered treatment, Hispanic population and original research. Exclusion criteria for each database included non-research articles, participants less than one year of age, and study focus other than overweight or obesity. A total of 10 articles were selected for inclusion for this literature review.

**Web of Science**

A search of Web of Science (Appendix A) using the terms *Hispanic mothers* and *obesity* was conducted for all years. Initial yields produced 272 articles. The search was refined using the years 2011-2017 resulting in 176 articles. This was further refined with the addition of the term *family intervention*, resulting in 32 articles. Of these, one was selected for further review.

**PubMed**

The search strategy in PubMed (Appendix B) included two sets of search terms. The first strategy utilized Boolean connectors and the terms *Hispanic mother* AND *obesity* AND *family* AND *weight loss*. This search resulted in 13 yields. The search strategy was adjusted to encourage a broader range of articles. This second search in PubMed utilized the terms *Hispanic woman* OR *Hispanic women* AND *weight loss* AND *family*. This search resulted in 39 yields. Four were selected for further review. One of these articles was also retrieved in the preliminary search of PubMed.

**Sage Premier**
Sage Premier (Appendix C) was searched using the search terms *family based* AND *weight loss* AND *obesity* AND *Hispanic* with Boolean connectors. The search was limited to research articles between the years 2011-2017. A total of 453 articles were retrieved. Of these, two were selected for further review.

**PsychINFO**

A search of PsychINFO (Appendix D) was conducted using Boolean connectors and the terms *obesity* AND *family intervention*. The initial yield produced 1,082 articles. The search was refined to include English only peer reviewed articles that included participants in the following categories: childhood, preschool age, school age, adolescence, and adulthood. This search resulted in 55 articles, of which two were selected.

**Critical Appraisal and Synthesis**

The studies included in this literature review were analyzed using Melnyk & Fineout-Overholt’s (2015) rapid critical appraisal checklists and placed in an evaluation table (Appendix E). Due to the multifaceted elements addressed in the clinical practice inquiry, the PICO question is best answered using a variety of study designs. Levels of evidence were evaluated using grades I-VI (Melnyk & Fineout-Overholt, 2015). Of the 10 studies, one was a randomized controlled trial (level II) and one was a systematic review (level I). The remaining study designs included lower levels of evidence (five level IVs and three level VIIs). The studies conducted by Agne et al. (2012) and Lindberg & Stevens (2011) were well adept to address the cultural and social components of the PICO, while Austin et al. (2013); Kramer, Cepak, Venditti, Semler, & Kriska (2013); Li et al. (2015); Parra-Medina, Liang, Yin, Esparza, & Lopez (2015); and Siwik, Kutob, Ritenbaugh, Aickin, & Gordon (2012) addressed the feasibility of a family centered
model. Bender et al. (2014) uniquely designed a study to evaluate both the effects of culture and a family centered model on weight loss.

Although many of the evidence levels for these studies are considered lower levels, validity and reliability of measurement tools were high and many findings demonstrated statistical significance. Common measurement tools included questionnaires for demographics or health status, and anthropomorphic measures of BMI and weight (Appendix F). Validity for these tools were underreported. However, one study demonstrated questionnaire validity with Cronbach’s alpha pre- and post-intervention. Scores ranged from 0.84-0.93 (Sorkin et al., 2014). BMI for Hispanic women when compared to body fat percentage is deemed valid with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.94, and is 100% sensitive and 93.33% specific (Ocker & Melrose, 2008). Validity for weight measured by scales were not reported. No bias was noted among studies. Despite some missing information, the studies included had sufficient methodological rigor to inform practice.

The conceptual frameworks utilized in the studies focused mainly on the Health Belief Model, Family Systems Theory, and Social Cognitive Learning Theory, revealing homogeneity, which can be seen in the synthesis table (Appendix F). Design methods, however, demonstrate heterogeneity. Three studies used focus groups or descriptive statistics to obtain qualitative data related to perceptions of obesity and factors that affect weight loss. Other study designs included quasi-experimental, sequential mixed methods, prospective pilot study, correlational, systematic review and a randomized controlled trial. Despite the differing methods, these studies all addressed a component of family centered or group based intervention effectiveness on weight outcomes. This diversity lends well to the various perspectives of the PICO.
All studies either exclusively or predominantly focus on the Hispanic population. Most study participants are women who are considered obese, with an ample number being overweight. A common theme among studies includes low socioeconomic status and low health-related education levels. Measurements demonstrate some homogeneity with a focus on a variety of questionnaires, BMI, food or physical activity logs, and weight. Two studies also included waist circumference. While one study did not report waist circumference values, the other study demonstrated a decreased measurement in adult participants; children demonstrated no change. Qualitative variables validate common themes of both barriers (health-related education and social isolation) and values (traditional foods, family involvement and health status). The quantitative variables of BMI, study attendance, calorie goal, physical activity goal, study related satisfaction, and waist circumference demonstrate some heterogeneity in scope, but homogeneity in focusing on overall weight loss, cultural factors affecting weight and satisfaction with family centered approaches to weight loss. Physical activity demonstrated neutral impact on weight, while two studies reported increased satisfaction and attendance. Four studies relate a family centered program to improved BMI, while also positively correlating with program attendance, program satisfaction and weight loss. Qualitative data suggests that by addressing both barriers and incorporating values into weight loss interventions, weight loss education would be more comprehensive and suitable for Hispanic families. Overall, the selected studies reveal both qualitative and quantitative factors that positively impact weight loss in Hispanic families.

Conclusions

The selected study demographics mirror the anecdotal demographics from the current clinic setting. Current obesity treatment in the clinical setting lacks a family focus. Evidence suggests that family centered care increases attendance in weight loss programs, improves
overall satisfaction, and increases the amount of weight lost. The Hispanic population values family and culturally tailored programs that include traditional foods and understanding of cultural norms. It is recognized that the Hispanic population is largely disadvantaged when it comes to health-related education level and socioeconomic status. It can be concluded that these factors affect BMI and must be considered when designing a weight loss program in this population. Given this evidence, it would be appropriate to include a family focus to weight loss interventions in the clinic setting. Programs should include elements of healthy traditional food options and physical activity that are culturally tailored to families, affordable and presented in an understandable manner.

**Contribution of Theoretical Model to Utility of the Evidence**

Given the emerging theme of cultural impact on weight, a theoretical model that addresses cultural competence is essential to guide implementation of an evidence based practice (EBP) intervention. The Purnell Model of Cultural Competence (Appendix G) is an organizational framework that addresses the themes of family, nutrition, activity, family roles, barriers and beliefs (Purnell, 2005). These themes were central in the review of the literature among this population. The model addresses 12 cultural domains in a figure that depicts interaction between the associated concepts. These cultural domains will be applied in the implementation of an educational intervention for providers to impact a clinical practice change that addresses obesity in Hispanic families utilizing culturally appropriate methods that address weight from the perspective of the person, the family, the community and society (Purnell, 2005).

**Evidence Based Practice Model to Guide Project Development**
The Stetler Model (Appendix H) was developed for individual practitioners to design safe and effective practice improvements based on step-by-step processes for research utilization, application and evaluation (Stetler, 2001). The model is based off of six assumptions: a formal organization may or may not be involved; research utilization may be instrumental, conceptual and/or symbolic; other forms of evidence such as non-research related information may be utilized in decision making; internal and external factors can influence the use of evidence; data and evaluation provide probabilistic information; and poor understanding of research utilization and EBP can limit the usefulness of the project (Stetler, 2001, p. 274). Unique to this model is the allowance for theoretical and experiential data supplementation to the research. There are five phases that guide the process: preparation; validation; evaluation and decision making; translation and application; and evaluation (Stetler, 2001).

Identification and confirmation of the clinical problem has been discussed with key practice stakeholders and measurable outcomes have been linked to national initiatives, namely Healthy People 2020. The best available evidence has been reviewed and evaluated for quality and clinical application. Key findings have been synthesized and documented for translation to the clinically relevant practice question. Given the lower level of evidence, the information renders a change at the practitioner level. In phase IV, an EBP plan was developed with the contribution and participation of key practice stakeholders. Stakeholder interest and project effectiveness determined that the practice change would be best received at the practice level. Project related materials were developed and evaluation tools obtained for use. Phase V concludes the process with an evaluation of the project as well as collecting evidence to support routine use in clinical practice or inform the need to revise the project to promote positive outcomes.
Project Methods

Permission to conduct the EBP improvement project was granted by the Arizona State University Institutional Review Board for one year beginning August 8, 2017 (Appendix I). All eight providers at the clinic were recruited for participation in the project. Provider attendance at the educational session, participation in the intervention, and completion of the surveys were considered consent to participate.

Setting and Organizational Culture

The practice improvement project took place in a rural community health clinic that cares for low-income families in South Tucson. Until mid 2017, the facility has been privately owned and had little funding for EBP changes. The site sees many clients daily that live with one or more chronic illnesses, leading to complex care regimens and allows for ample opportunity to implement an EBP intervention. The clinic population served is made up of 78.9% of Hispanic clients, with 73.2% of all clients receiving state or federal assisted medical insurance coverage.

Optum acquired the clinic site in 2017 with the goal of providing the healthcare providers and clients at the clinic resources to encourage healthier lifestyles and partnerships across the healthcare system (Optum, 2018). Optum prides themselves in utilizing technology, data and human capital in creating a positive healthcare experience for their clients (Optum, 2018). To date, the change in the organization has had little impact on the structure or mission of the rural community health clinic.

Fotonovela

A creative alliance was formed with HolaDoctor and a license for use of the selected fotonovela was granted during the project period (Appendix J). HolaDoctor is a Spanish language health and wellness digital network that connects the Hispanic community with
information related to health insurance, access to care, healthy living, health screening and chronic illness management (HolaDoctor, 2018). Fotonovelas are short story telling booklets that share health related information in an understandable, relatable and engaging manner (Hernandez & Organista, 2013; Hinojsa, Hinojsa, Nelson & Delgado, 2010). They are culturally adapted to the Hispanic culture and have been shown to improve Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set measures and health literacy in Latinas (Hernandez & Organista, 2013; Hinojsa, Hinojsa, Nelson & Delgado, 2010).

The selected fotonovela, *You Only Live Once*, was developed with the web designer at HolaDoctor to include additional resources for patient education and documentation. Inside the cover is a BMI sheet for the patient to document their current BMI, goal weight, reason for wanting to lose weight and a support person to assist in reaching the goal. The back cover includes local free and low cost resources for physical activity, nutrition services, activity tracking and medical management. These resources were translated to Spanish to be included in the Spanish fotonovela.

**Participants**

To be eligible to participate in the practice improvement project, participants needed to be 18 years of age or older, a licensed provider in the state of Arizona, and at least a part time employee of the chosen rural health clinic. Due to the small sample size, provider demographics were not collected to ensure privacy and blinded data collection. Provider educational demographics include three Nurse Practitioners, one Physician Assistant, two Medical Doctors and two Doctors of Osteopathy.

**Procedure**
The practice improvement project occurred over a four-week period, beginning November 3, 2017 and ending December 1, 2017. Prior to the start of the project, providers were asked to fill out a pre-Evidence Based Practice Attitude Scale (EBPAS) to determine their overall attitude toward applying EBP in the clinic setting (Appendix K). All documentation was identifiable only with a provider created identification number, known only to the provider. All project related documents were left in a secure, central area in the practice for data collection.

On November 3, 2017, a 30-minute educational session was conducted for providers and medical assistants (Appendix L). The educational session included information on current regional and national obesity statistics, a summary of the literature search guiding the project, and a description of family centered weight loss education for Hispanic patients (Appendix M). Providers and their medical assistants were introduced to the fotonovela, and encouraged to use this tool with their overweight or obese Hispanic clients. Both English (Appendix N) and Spanish (Appendix O) versions were made available in each clinic room. Medical assistants were encouraged to assist providers in documentation of client weight loss education using the BMI Documentation Form and instructed on making de-identified copies for data collection using a physical barrier (Appendix P). Following the educational session, providers were asked to complete a second EBPAS form to measure provider attitudes toward implementing EBP interventions after full understanding of the project and current literature. The post-EBPAS was requested within one week following the educational session.

At the end of the four-week project period, all remaining fotonovelas were collected and inventoried. Providers were asked to complete an anonymous Provider Feedback Form to assess the use of the fotonovela in their practice and their overall feelings about the project and process
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(Appendix Q). All documents and project related materials were collected on the final day of the project period.

**Budget**

The proposed project budget included staff incentives, photocopying of tools, presentation supplies and handouts, and travel to the clinical site for a total estimated cost of $685 (Appendix R). Total project costs came in under budget for a total of $515. Clinic staff declined a formal meal during the planned Lunch and Learn, which accounted for coming in under budget. Instead, staff incentives accounted for $95 of total project costs. Other costs included the photocopying of the fotonovela for $300, $85 in presentation supplies and handouts, and $35 for travel to the site. The clinic site chose to absorb the minimal cost related to copies of the BMI Documentation Form, as this modified form was a current standard of practice in the clinic. No funding was received to implement this project.

**Outcome Measures**

The EBPAS is a 15-item survey with a Likert scale ranging from zero, or *Not at All*, to five, or *To A Very Great Extent* (Aarons, 2004). Four domains are assessed in this tool: appeal ($\alpha=.74$), requirements ($\alpha=.93$), openness ($\alpha=.81$) and divergence ($\alpha=.66$). Face validity was determined by researchers and mental health providers. Construct validity was confirmed with a third study. The total reliability of the tool is $\alpha=.79$ (Aarons, et al., 2010).

The BMI Documentation Form was used for data collection to measure the frequency of use of weight loss interventions. The clinic site was previously using a version of this form apart from the check box options for weight loss interventions and the addition of the fotonovela. The documentation form included the client’s exact BMI and BMI category based on age as outlined by the CDC (CDC, 2015c, 2016c). Interventions were listed with check boxes and included
common educational methods that were currently used by providers as well as the intervention of interest, the fotonovela. Providers could document as many interventions as were appropriate for the client visit.

The Provider Feedback Form assessed provider understanding, time commitment, effectiveness and overall acceptance of the project in an eight-item tool. Provider understanding, time commitment and effectiveness were assessed using a five point Likert scale ranging from one, Strongly Agree, to five, Strongly Disagree. The remaining three questions were open-ended to assess provider acceptance of the project and allow for qualitative feedback. Providers were given the opportunity to state what they would change about the project to lend insight into their rationale for scoring.

**Data Collection and Analysis**

Outcome data of interest collected included attitudes related to implementing EBP into current workflow, patterns of use for family centered educational materials, and overall satisfaction with the project. Provider understanding and willingness to implement EBP findings into practice were measured comparing the EBPAS scores pre- and post-educational intervention. The post-intervention evaluation was collected using the Provider Feedback Tool four weeks following the educational intervention was put in place to measure the level of change in provider willingness to use the materials during the project period.

A total of 80 English and 20 Spanish fotonovelas were printed and each exam room was stocked with copies of each for use by providers during the intervention period. Copies of the BMI documentation form were placed in each room as well, to assist providers in documenting each patient’s BMI and the interventions used during the visit, as appropriate. The sum of each intervention was calculated, with interest paid to the fotonovela. The remaining fotonovelas at
the end of the project period were collected and inventoried to compare to the number that were documented by providers.

The Provider Feedback Form was collected on the final day of the project. The form used five Likert scale questions and three open ended questions to allow for adequate project feedback. Descriptive statistics were used to evaluate the effectiveness of the pre- and post-EBPAS scores, measure the frequency of interventions from the BMI Documentation Form, and analyze the feedback from the Provider Feedback Form.

**Project Results**

A total of six of the eight providers participated in at least one aspect of the project, for a total of 75% provider participation. As aforementioned, demographics were not collected on providers to ensure that providers were unidentifiable from the data. However, each provider met inclusion criteria to be eligible to participate in the project.

**EBPAS**

The difference in scores from the pre-EBPAS tool (N = 4) and the post-EBPAS tool (N = 3) demonstrate an aggregate five-point decrease in provider attitude toward adopting EBP post-educational session (Appendix S). A closer look at the paired subscales indicate that there was a decrease in overall divergence pre- to post-, despite the unchanged median (Mdn = 2, 95% CI [-2.50, 7.83] LL 1, UL 5 and Mdn = 2, 95% CI [-.48, 4.48], LL 1, UL 3, respectively) (Appendix T). The appeal subscale demonstrated a median drop of one point, however distributions remained unchanged pre- to post- (Mdn = 15, 95% CI [2.17, 23.83], LL 8, UL 16, and Mdn = 14, 95% CI [2.34, 23.01], LL 8, UL 16, respectively) (Appendix U). The requirement subscale had a slightly larger decrease in the median, however the distribution was similar, with slightly reduced variability (Mdn = 10, 95% CI [-3.41, 20.07], LL 3, UL 12, and Mdn = 8, 95% CI [}-
1.06, 16.39], LL 4, UL 12, respectively) (Appendix V). The openness subscale demonstrated no change with a slightly larger distribution in the post-assessment (Mdn = 13, 95% CI [3.68, 19.65], LL 8, UL 14, and Mdn = 13, 95% CI [1.32, 22.01], LL 7, UL 15, respectively) (Appendix W).

**BMI Documentation Form**

One provider participated in documenting weight related client intervention documentation using the BMI Documentation Forms (N = 15) (Appendix X). Of the collected forms, the mean documented BMI categories were as follows: pediatric, overweight (n = 1); adult, obese (n = 13); and elderly, overweight (n = 1). The majority of clients received education on MyPlate (M = .73), followed by education on not skipping meals/eating three meals a day (M = .60), and the fotonovela (M = .53). There were eight documented fotonovelas; however, when inventoried, there were nine English and four Spanish fotonovelas that appeared to be handed out to clients (N = 13).

**Provider Feedback Form**

The Provider Feedback Form (N = 6) identified four key themes (Appendix Y). The first theme that evolved was that providers enjoyed the BMI Documentation Sheets. Providers stated that these forms maximized workflow when documenting client interventions and BMI for reimbursement purposes. The second theme that was strongly emphasized was that providers identified that the project implementation timing was poor. One provider stated, “It was bad timing with the new EHR [electronic health record]” (Provider one). Another theme that emerged was related to time spent implementing the project. When queried if the use of the fotonovela increased time spent education clients, 50% of participants (N = 3) stated that it did not increase time, and 50% stated that it did. However, one provider noted, “It provided useful
information in a practical way that patients could understand” (Provider four). Finally, 50% of
the providers noted that they did not like the fotonovela. One provider stated, “They are
embarrassing” and commented that “One patient said ‘no thanks’ and handed it back” (Provider
one).

**Discussion**

In analyzing the pre-post EBPAS tool, provider one and three each had a one point
increase; provider two had a seven-point decrease. It can be assumed that provider two was less
likely to apply the intervention due to the decrease in appeal of the project. Providers also
indicated they were less likely to implement a tool if it were a requirement and if they felt the
tool was not as clinically relevant as their own experience. Two of the four providers felt that
EBP was not clinically useful and less important than clinical experience after the educational
session and introduction of the fotonovela. The providers were slightly less likely to implement
EBP after the educational session based on a decrease in overall appeal of the tools. The
requirements subscale demonstrated a slight decrease in post-educational intervention scores and
variability, however, the scores clinically remained the same. Overall, the difference in scores
indicated that providers were more likely to participate in the project prior to the educational
session. This may have been due to the timing of the project or discontent for the fotonovela.

Provider feedback offered insight into the acceptance and reception of the project.
Despite significant attempts to obtain 100% participation on the Provider Feedback Form, 25%
of providers did not participate in this portion or any portion of the project. Half of the providers
who completed the Provider Feedback Form indicated that the fotonovela did increase the time
spent educating clients, however, only one provider documented use of the tool. It can be
assumed that at least two other providers have a perceived notion that the fotonovela increased
time spent on education, or participated in the intervention but did not document education on the BMI Documentation Form. With this missing data, it could also be assumed that clients may have been interested in the fotonovelas and obtained copies for themselves. Despite poor use of the interventional tool, providers enjoyed the BMI Documentation Form for their own use, despite their participation in the practice improvement project.

**Project Limitations**

Due to the small sample size, obtaining clinically significant data was not feasible. Consequently, even if all the providers had participated in the project as designed, drawing significant conclusions would have been difficult. The timing of the project coincided with a large EHR roll out. Prior to that, the site had previously been using paper charting. This transition caused significant stress for all clinic staff. The short duration of the project also played a role in the amount of data that was obtained. A longer project period might have resulted in more data and more significant results. In addition, only one provider completed the entire project, making it difficult to draw conclusion about the project as a whole.

**Implications for Future Practice**

Given the limitations of the study, repeating the project in a larger practice not undergoing significant changes may result in a larger data set. It was evident that timing was key, and having provider buy-in was necessary for project success. It is expected that repeat implementation of this project in a different clinical setting could have a potentially large impact on both the practice and clients. It would be anticipated that providers would see a steady increase in weight loss at each client visit with gradual improvement of physiologic indicators of health over time. Clients would be expected to report increased satisfaction with weight loss education, increased perception of control over health outcomes, healthier habits and overall
weight loss. In addition to physical changes, long-term implications include a decrease in the number of clients seen in the clinic that are categorized as obese and improved overall health indicators such as a decrease in glycosylated hemoglobin, blood pressure, pain and depressive symptoms. While these outcomes were not measured in this brief timeframe, it is expected that providers would continue to see positive changes after the end of this project period.

**Conclusion**

Primary care providers can positively impact weight loss efforts in Hispanic clients through addressing cultural values and limiting barriers. The evidence suggests that family centered programs increase participation, satisfaction and overall weight loss. Common barriers noted for this population include low health-related education levels and low income, which potentially lead to poor treatment adherence. There are key groups of stakeholders who will influence and be affected by an EBP change: primary care providers, their Hispanic clients, clinic management, and medical assistants. In order for a practice improvement project to be effective, providers and medical assistants must be active in providing culturally tailored education, clients must be willing to participate, and clinic management must be supportive of the proposed changes.
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## Table 1

### Evaluation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agne et al. (2012)</td>
<td>HBM</td>
<td>Method: focus groups conducted until saturation</td>
<td>Purpose: to examine perceptions of obesity and weight management among Latina immigrant women in Alabama.</td>
<td>n: 25</td>
<td>IV: moderator’s guide (HBM construct)</td>
<td>Bilingual/bicultural moderator/note-taker, added nonverbal behavior; audiorecords with translated transcripts verbatim</td>
<td>Demographic questionnaires</td>
<td>DV1: health risks, aesthetics, symptoms DV2: social isolation, marriage, pregnancy, changes in diet and PA, depression, stress DV3: crash diets, supplements, diet pills, and exercise DV4: include traditional foods, family, and PA; C as motivators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; ̅: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin (2013)</td>
<td>Inferred to be FST</td>
<td>Design: Quasi-experimental</td>
<td>n: 100 F</td>
<td>IV: attitudinal familialism: attending to the needs of the family above the needs of the self</td>
<td>Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q)</td>
<td>Socio-demographic characteristics: descriptive statistics</td>
<td>DV1: treatment completers = 48.78% ; treatment non-completers = 51.22% ; X 7.37 of 15 sessions (SD=4.50); sessions and age r(98)=0.37, p&lt;0.001; sessions children at home r(98)=~0.25, p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>LOE: IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudinal Familism Predicts Weight Management Adherence in Mexican-American Women</td>
<td>Country: USA</td>
<td>Funding: APA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Purpose: whether attitudinal familialism predicted poorer adherence to a behavioral weight management program in Mexican-American women</td>
<td>Attitudinal Familiarism Scale (Cronbach’s α =0.88)</td>
<td>Linear regression analysis: to determine relationship between step or calorie goals, weight loss, and completion of program</td>
<td>DV2: Calorie goal logs: X= 12.30 days (of 70), SD =21.21; Step goal logs: X=12.54, SD 20.80 days</td>
<td>Strengths: Diverse sample of Mexican-American women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inclusion: 18–65 yo; BMI &gt;/= 25 and &lt;= 40; Mexican heritage</td>
<td>Setting: community</td>
<td>DV3: meeting calorie goal</td>
<td>BMI (validity in Hispanic women = 0.94)</td>
<td>Pearson’s r: correlation between sessions attended and demographics</td>
<td>DV3: met calorie goal: 64.52%; met step goal 80.93%</td>
<td>Weaknesses: English speakers only; no assessment of long term weight loss; no comparison group; poor post-treatment assessment rate (74.4% who started treatment, 61% who completed intake) but this is similar to other studies for this population; high attrition, but expected due to study design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attrition: 39% expected, due to culturally inappropriate study design</td>
<td>Exclusion: pregnant/planning in 6 months; moving &gt;50 miles from the study area; lack of English proficiency</td>
<td>DV4: Attitudinal Familiarism Scale</td>
<td>Food or calorie log</td>
<td>Pearson’s r: correlation between sessions attended and demographics</td>
<td>DV4: Attitudinal Familiarism Scale X= 119.10 (52-165)</td>
<td>Conclusions: weight loss interventions that do not address familialism demonstrate barriers to completion despite some weight loss; frequency of attendance predicts weight loss magnitude.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; x: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bender et al. (2014)</td>
<td>HBM, SCLT</td>
<td>Design: PS; SMM (qualitative to quantitative); Ethnographic (focus groups, interviews, stakeholder input)</td>
<td>n: 43 dyads Demographics: Ethnicity: 100% Hispanic HM MA: 27.0 C MA: 3.6 C gender: 52% F SS: 97% Live at/below poverty level: 88% HM reside in USA: 7 or fewer years (76%) HM uninsured: 97% C insured: 100% C in school: none</td>
<td>Quantitative IV: weight loss DV1: SSB DV2: PA Qualitative DV3: Culturally relevant health promotion intervention for ethnic population using five cultural adaptation strategies: peripheral, evidential, constituent involvement, socio-cultural and linguistic.</td>
<td>Health Behavior Questionnaire (HBQ) Program Evaluation Survey (PES)</td>
<td>Bender Cultural Adaptation Scoring System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quantitative: DV1: not reported DV2: not reported Qualitative: DV3: Vida Saludable Cultural Adaptation Score (13.34/15) and Rank (89%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; I: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; x: mean; YO: year(s) old

**Country:** USA

**Funding:** University of California, San Diego Comprehensive Research Center in Health Disparities (Grant No. 5 P60 MD0002200), National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities, NIH

**Bias:** none noted

**Strengths:** High retention rate (77%), high level of satisfaction, request for similar programs with additional community activity; statistically significant findings.

**Weaknesses:** Quantitative data not reported; tools not validated among large populations.

**Conclusions:** Culturally adapted weight loss programs demonstrate high retention and satisfaction when five cultural adapted strategies are implemented for the Hispanic population.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kramer et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Evaluation of the Group Lifestyle Balance Programme for Diabetes Prevention in a Hispanic Women, Infants and Children (WIC) Programme</td>
<td>Population in the USA</td>
<td>Country: USA</td>
<td>Funding: Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, U of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>Bias: none noted</td>
<td>Inferred to be HBM</td>
<td>Design: non-randomized, prospective pilot study</td>
<td>Purpose: to develop a program that enabled participants to maintain a 7% weight loss and progressive increase PA to 150 minutes/week of moderate intensity PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; X̄: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2015) Development and Feasibility of a Culturally Sensitive Cooking and PA Program Designed for Obese Hispanic Families</td>
<td>Inferred to be Socioecological Model</td>
<td>Design: descriptive Purpose: to determine whether a 10 week cooking and PA pilot intervention could be delivered in a health care setting</td>
<td>n: 4 families Demographics: Hispanic; 100% C age: 6-12 HM age: 28-42 Income &lt;$60,000: 100% Setting: SW USA primary care Exclusion: medical issues related to obesity (DM, HTN); medications affecting weight; history of psychological disorders Inclusion: 6-11 yo with BMI &gt;/= 85 percentile, but &lt;99th percentile with willing parent participant Attrition: 0</td>
<td>IV: program feasibility DV1: food frequency questionnaire (C) DV2: fruit and vegetable parenting practice questionnaire (parents) DV3: BMI (C) DV4: waist circumference (C)</td>
<td>BMI (validity in Hispanic women = 0.94) Waist circumference Children food frequency questionnaire Adult fruit and vegetable parenting practice questionnaire Food logs</td>
<td>Nutrition Data System for Research software (version 2013)</td>
<td>DV1: 77% meet calorie needs, 17% exceed (fat) 0% met fiber goal, X̄ = 15.4 g/day (25-35 g/day) DV2: C and parent change in fruit and vegetable intake (0%) DV3: no change DV4: not reported</td>
<td>LOE: VI Strengths: Parental report of social support and greater self-efficacy; information on healthier eating with convenient strategies not in literature. Weaknesses: Difficulty in collecting diet recall materials from participants; small study sample; difficult recruitment; no control group; not all data reported. Conclusions: Limited applicability. Demonstrates feasibility of such study, but needs larger recruitment. Demonstrates areas not to focus for weight loss intervention due to no weight change with studied variables.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child/ren; CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwestern; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; ñ: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institute, National CA Institute</th>
<th>Bias: none noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method: focus groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose: to examine the experience, concerns, and beliefs regarding diet, weight and weight loss in Mexican-American immigrant women</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design/Method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample/Setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement/Instrumentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings/Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lindberg et al. (2011) Immigration and Weight Gain: Mexican-American Women’s Perspectives

Country: USA
Funding: Grant U01 HL068676-03S1 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
Bias: none noted

Method: focus groups

Purpose: to examine the experience, concerns, and beliefs regarding diet, weight and weight loss in Mexican-American immigrant women

Demographics:
- MA: 36yo
- Mexican born: 100%
- Emigrate: rural
- 76%, urban: 24%
- X length of residence in USA: 7.5 years
- X schooling: 8 years
- Work: domestic service 72%, retail 28%

Setting: Mexican community in Portland, Oregon
Exclusion: not stated
Inclusion: Mexican-American women >18yo, provide written consent

Attrition: 0

IV: development of culturally sensitive weight loss interventions

DV1: adapting to American Society

DV2: experiences with weight-loss attempts and need for nutritional information

DV3: importance of family

Group sessions facilitated by bilingual Mexican clinical psychologists in Spanish

Notes

Audiotapes

Surveys

Transcripts analyzed to find recurring themes using Morgan’s principles of qualitative research: words, context, internal consistency, specificity of responses, tone and nonverbal communication assessed

DV1: weight gain common when moving to USA; puzzled by weight gain; more processed foods; more variety of foods; eating “the American way”; difference in body type; role of food in culture

DV2: frustration with inability to lose weight/maintain; modified diet and non-traditional methods; spotty methods of dieting; nutrition information confusing; not being understood

DV3: role of family in food choices; changes have effects on rest of family

LOE: VI

Strengths: first-hand experience/beliefs demonstrated through study design; wide ranges of demographics of Hispanic F

Weaknesses: May not be generalized to all Mexican-Americans or other Hispanic subgroups.

Conclusions: Provide guidance to inform weight-loss and dietary-change interventions for Hispanic F.

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HMP: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; x̅: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/LOE for Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parra-Medina et al. (2015)</td>
<td>SCLT</td>
<td>Design: Quasi-experimental</td>
<td>Purpose: to prevent excess weight gain by increasing adoption of health-promoting PA and dietary behaviors</td>
<td>n: 106 C, 242 A</td>
<td>Demographics: Gender: AF 80.6%, A male 19.4%, CF 49.1%, C male 50.9%; Latino: A 70.6%, C 77.3%; Weight: A BMI &lt;25: 8.4%, BMI 25-30: 18%, BMI &gt;/= 30: 73.6%; C BMI &lt;85th percentile: 35%, BMI 85-95th percentile: 16.5%, BMI &gt;/= 95th percentile: 48.5%</td>
<td>Pretest-posttest of:</td>
<td>Descriptive statistics for demographics</td>
<td>DV1: A = 34.9 (29.6-41.3), change -0.2 (-1.0-0.3), p&lt;.001; C = 94.2% (65.0-98.8), change 0.4 (-0.03-3.5), p=.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Setting: urban city &gt;65% obese residents</td>
<td>Exclusion: not listed</td>
<td>Inclusion: commitment to 12-week program in English, entire family 2/week group activity, PA at least 3/week, &gt;/=7 yo</td>
<td>Wast circumference with MyoTape Body Tape measure</td>
<td>Wilcoxon signed rank test</td>
<td>DV2: A= 41.0 inches (36.5-46.5), change -1.0 (-2.5-0), p&lt;.001; C = 30.8 inches (26.1-36.4), change 0 (-1.0-1.0), p=.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attrition: A 25.6%, C 32.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Height with stadiometer</td>
<td>Fisher exact test</td>
<td>DV3: A= 69.3% (55.0-83.6), change -1.8 (0.7), p&lt;.001; C = 31.0% (20.6-38.7), change 0.6 (-1.1-2.7), p=.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV: impact of 12-week Y Living Program</td>
<td>DV4: Weight</td>
<td>Bioelectrical impedance analysis machine for body fat percentage, body weight, and BMI (validity in Hispanic women = 0.94)</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney U</td>
<td>DV4: A=200.2 (163.6-237.3), change -1.6 (-5.5-1.2), p&lt;.001; C = 125.8 (90.0-157.8), change 2.6 (0.5), p&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>BMI percentile with CDC BMI-for-age growth chart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HISP: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; Ŷ: mean; YO: year(s) old.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siwik et al. (2012)</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td>Design: correlation al</td>
<td>Purpose: describe the development and implementation of a new diabetes prevention intervention that combines the benefits of family support with the group office model</td>
<td>n: 45</td>
<td>PV:effectiveness of a group outpatient DM prevention model</td>
<td>Pedometer and pedometer log Scale</td>
<td>Descriptive statistics for demographics and DVs</td>
<td>DV1: 53% learned about from family/friend, 15% healthcare professional, 14% letter, 10% brochure/poster in clinic, 5% brochure/poster in library/gym, 0% newspaper; DV2: n=14 (7 pairs); significantly younger than those who attended at least 1 session (37.0 +/- 7.9 yrs vs. 47.9 +/- 12.2 years, p=.001); more likely to have lower education (p= 0.46), be on Medicaid (p=.002); 35% completed were Hispanic/Latino, 64.2% were Mexican American DV3: 72% (8.3-100%) DV4: 2.6 patients/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths: All Hispanic participants completed the program demonstrating a program fit; aim to enroll more Hispanic participants met.  
Weaknesses: Recruitment of more than one support person not successful; C not included which may have aided in participation; Less than 1/5 were on Medicaid; Those who did not complete had highest risk factors.  
Conclusions: Model effective for Hispanic population; targeting those with obesity or family history of DM effective recruitment; time spent in clinic similar to family medicine; Group visits a sustainable model for DM prevention.

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; ŷ: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorkin et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Actor-Partner Interdependence Models</td>
<td>Design: RCT</td>
<td>n: 178</td>
<td>Intervention: 53 HM, 53 C Control: 36 HM, 36 C Demographics: &lt;$30,000/year: 94% HM: MA 52.7; &lt; high school education 83% Daughters: MA 27.8; &lt; high school 25%; lived with HM 75% Setting: community Exclusion: pregnancy, contraindications to weight loss, incompetent to sign consent Inclusion: Latina age &gt;=18 yo, MH residence &lt;=25 miles of daughter, HM with DM, daughters with BMI &gt;=25</td>
<td>Self-report questionnaire: demographics, health status, social support (Cronbach’s alpha: T1 0.91, T2 0.93), persuasion (Cronbach’s alpha T1 0.93, T2 0.92)</td>
<td>Paired sample t tests, chi-square analyses</td>
<td>IV on DV5: -1.61, p&lt;.003 IV on DV6: -7.67, p&lt;.001 IV on DV7: -1.30, p&lt;.004 IV on DV8: -1.2, p=.09 IV on DV9: 0.10, p=.12 DV1: HM i: T1 3.8 (SD 1.7), T2 4.8 (SD1.5); HM c T1 3.7 (SD 1.9), T2 3.9 (SD 1.4); C i: T1 3.7 (SD 1.4), T2 4.8 (SD 1.2); c T1 3.5 (SD 1.7), T2 3.4 (SD 1.6) DV2: HM i: T1 4.0 (SD 1.9), T2 4.9 (SD 1.5); HM c T1 3.7 (SD 1.5), T2 3.8 (SD 1.8); C i: T1 3.7 (SD 1.5), T2 4.8 (SD 1.1); c T1 3.4 (SD 1.6), T2 3.6 (SD 1.6) DV3: HM i: T1 2.9 (SD 1.6), T2 2.8 (SD1.6); HM c T1 2.4 (SD 1.6), T2 3.3 (SD 1.5); C i: T1 3.0 (SD 1.7), T2 3.0 (SD 1.7); c T1 2.7 (SD 1.6), T2 2.9 (SD 1.6) DV4: HM i: T1 1.6 (SD 1.4), T2 0.8 (SD1.0); HM c T1 0.9 (SD 1.2), T2 0.7 (SD 0.7); C i: T1 1.5 (SD 1.1), T2 1.0 (SD 1.1); c T1 1.9 (SD 1.3), T2 1.7 (SD 1.2) DV5: HM i: T1 176.2 (SD 37.6), T2 172.6 (SD 36.4); HM c T1 179.4 (SD 38.8), T2 180.7 (SD 41.1); C i: T1 37.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; \( \bar{x} \): mean; YO: year(s) old
0, and K01DK078939.

**Bias:** none noted

| DV6: | HM i: T1 46.3 (SD 27.4), T2 32.3 (SD 19.1); HM c T1 42.2 (SD 20.9), T2 50.4 (SD 13.6); C i: T1 52.0 (SD 40.3), T2 36.2 (SD 23.3); c T1 73.8 (SD 43.3), T2 52.9 (SD 28.0) |
| DV7: | HM i: T1 9.6 (SD 5.4), T2 7.2 (SD 4.0); HM c T1 9.2 (SD 4.4), T2 10.6 (SD 5.7); C i: T1 12.0 (SD 5.9), T2 8.6 (SD 5.5); c T1 16.7 (SD 10.2), T2 12.6 (SD 7.3) |
| DV8: | HM i: T1 1.3 (SD 1.2), T2 0.9 (SD 0.6); HM c T1 1.3 (SD 1.0), T2 1.6 (SD 1.0); C i: T1 1.1 (SD 1.0), T2 1.2 (SD 1.0); C c T1 1.4 (SD 1.1), T2 1.2 (SD 0.9) |
| DV9: | HM i: T1 1.1 (SD 0.8), T2 1.4 (SD 1.0); HM c T1 1.2 (SD 1.0), T2 1.5 (SD 0.8); C i: T1 0.8 (SD 0.5), T2 1.3 (SD 1.0); c T1 1.0 (SD 0.8), T2 0.7 (SD 0.5) |

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; i: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; x̅: mean; YO: year(s) old
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Theory/Conceptual Framework</th>
<th>Design/Method</th>
<th>Sample/Setting</th>
<th>Major Variables &amp; Definitions</th>
<th>Measurement/Instrumentation</th>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th>Findings/Results</th>
<th>Quality/LOE; Decision/Application for practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sung-Chan et al. (2013) Family-Based models for childhood-obesity intervention: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials</td>
<td>Behavior Modification Theory, Behavioral Change Theory, SCLT, FST</td>
<td>Design: SR Purpose: to examine the advancement in the family-based approach to childhood obesity through studying the methodological rigor of family based interventions</td>
<td>N: 15 Demographics: MA: 10.1yo N, no control: 14 N with BI: 53% N with BI and education: 33% N with family therapy: 7% N with BI and family therapy: 7% Average rigor score: 8 (6-12) Setting: not specified Exclusion: not listed Inclusion: 1975-2012 articles in Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Family &amp; Society Studies Worldwide, PsycINFO, PubMed, Social Work Abstracts, and SocINDEX; RCTs from family-based lifestyle interventions or weight loss and weight control in</td>
<td>IV1: methodological rigor IV2: effectiveness of treatment DV1: BI to family-based lifestyle intervention (N=8) DV2: BI to family-based lifestyle intervention and parent education (N=5) DV3: family therapy (N=1) DV4: family therapy and behavioral psycho-education (N=1)</td>
<td>Adapted Methodological Quality Rating Scales (0-14 points)</td>
<td>Inferred to be correlational</td>
<td>IV1 on DV1: $\bar{X} = 7.5$; FST $\bar{X} = 9.5$ (N=2), treatment effect = 2.5; behavior theory $\bar{X} = 7.7$ (N=13), treatment effect = 3.2 IV1 on DV2: $\bar{X} = 8$ IV1 on DV3: $\bar{X} = 11$ IV1 on DV4: $\bar{X} = 8$; all studies demonstrate substantial change at end of study (score=3), and 4 demonstrate significant changes at follow up (score=4) IV2 on DV1: $\bar{X} = 3.5$ IV2 on DV2: $\bar{X} = 2.6$ IV2 on DV3: score=4 IV2 on DV4: score=1</td>
<td>LOE: I Strengths: BI and family based model demonstrate consistently positive results related to effectiveness when compared to methodological rigor. Weaknesses: Studies did not address culture, family resilience, or family dynamics. Gender not evaluated in all studies. Conclusions: Family based model effective for weight loss in children; Family plays important role in weight loss; Behavioral approach to a family based intervention consistently achieve better outcomes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: A: adult; APA: American Psychological Association; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; c: control; C: child(ren); CA: cancer; CBT: Cognitive Behavioral Theory; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; DV: dependent variable; F: female; FND: foundation; FST: Family Systems Theory; FQHC: Federally Qualified Health Center; HBM: Health Belief Model; HM: Hispanic mother(s); HPM: Health Promotion Model; HTN: hypertension; I: intervention; IV: independent variable; LOE: level of evidence; MA: mean age; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NIH: National Institutes of Health; p: alpha value; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PV: predictor variable; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RWJF: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; SCLT: Social Cognitive Learning Theory; SD: standard deviation; SR: Systematic Review; SS: Spanish Speaking; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SW: Southwester; T1: pre-test; T2: post-test; U: university; USA: United States of America; WIC: Women, Infants and Children; $\bar{X}$: mean; YO: year(s) old
children and adolescents ages 2-19yo

Attrition: not applicable
## Appendix F

### Table 2

**Synthesis Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
<th>Study Characteristics</th>
<th>Sample Demographics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agne</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>QE</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bender</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>PS, SMM</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kramer</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NR, PPS</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>D, FG</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindberg</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>QE</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parra-Medina</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>COR</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siwik</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorkin</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sung-Chan</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| N               | 15   | n             | 25                |                       |                    |
| Maternal Age, mean |     | 38 45.07     | 27                | 100 27.7              | 100 6-12yrs 27.8   |
| C Age, mean     | 3.6  |               |                   |                       |                    |
| % Hispanic      | 100  | 100           | 100               | 74 100 100            | 100                 |
| % Female        | 100  | A 100, C 22  |                   |                       |                    |
| % Male          | C 24% |               |                   |                       |                    |
| Overweight      | 48   | 20            | 37                |                       |                    |
| Obese           | 52   | 80            | 63                |                       |                    |
| Low SES         | 88   | 100           |                   |                       |                    |
| % College       | 48   |               |                   |                       |                    |
| graduate/some college | 11.1 |               |                   |                       |                    |
| % HS grad./some | 16 grad. |              | 22.2 grad.        |                       |                    |
| HS/GED/trade    | 92 some |              | 14.8 some         |                       |                    |
| % <8th grade    | 76   |               | 51.9              | 100                    | 94                 |

Key: *: statistically significant finding; A: adult; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; C: child(ren); c: control; COR: correlational; D: descriptive; F: families; FG: focus group; FT: family therapy; GED: general education diploma; GL: glycemic load; grad: graduate; HS: high school; i: intervention; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NR: non-randomized; P: participant; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PPS: prospective pilot study; QE: quasi-experimental; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SP: support person; SR: systematic review; SES: socioeconomic status; yrs: years
### Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audiotapes/notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA scales</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food/PA log</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Qualitative Variables of Interest

#### Barriers:
- Health-related education
- Social isolation, depression, stress

#### Values:
- Traditional Foods
- Family Involvement
- Health>appearance

### Quantitative Variables of Interest

**Effect of family or group on following:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>↓* neutral</th>
<th>↓*</th>
<th>↓*</th>
<th>↓* BI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>↑*</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorie goal</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓*GL fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑vegetable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA goal</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td>A↓* C neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>↓*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: *: statistically significant finding; A: adult; BI: behavioral intervention; BMI: body mass index; C: child(ren); c: control; COR: correlational; D: descriptive; F: families; FG: focus group; FT: family therapy; GED: general education diploma; GL: glycemic load; grad: graduate; HS: high school; i: intervention; N: number of studies; n: number of participants; NR: non-randomized; P: participant; PA: physical activity; PS: pilot study; PPS: prospective pilot study; QE: quasi-experimental; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SMM: sequential mixed methods; SP: support person; SR: systematic review; SES: socioeconomic status; yrs: years
Figure 1. The Purnell Model for Cultural Competence comprised of the 12 culture domains (National Association for School Nurses. 2013). The outer rim represents global society; the second rim represents community; the third rim represents family; the inner rim represents person; the interior depicts 12 domains; and the center is empty, representing what is not yet know about culture. Adapted from “Purnell Model for Cultural Competence” by Larry Purnell, 2005, The Journal of Multicultural Nursing & Health, 11:2, p. 11
Figure 2. The Stetler Model. Adapted from “Updating the Stetler Model of Research Utilization to Facilitate Evidence-Based Practice,” by Cheryl B. Stetler, 2001, Nursing Outlook, 49(6), p. 27
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Arizona State University Institutional Review Board Approval

APPROVAL: EXPEDITED REVIEW

Sarah Bay
CONHI - DNP
- Sarah.Ambrose@asu.edu

Dear Sarah Bay:

On 8/8/2017 the ASU IRB reviewed the following protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Review:</th>
<th>Initial Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Addressing Obesity in Hispanic Families Through a Family Centered Approach: An Educational Intervention for Providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigator:</td>
<td>Sarah Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB ID:</td>
<td>STUDY00006618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category of review:</td>
<td>(5) Data, documents, records, or specimens, (7)(a) Behavioral research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Title:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant ID:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documents Reviewed:</td>
<td>• Acknowledgment of Privacy Practives , Category: Other (to reflect anything not captured above); • Fotonovela Letter of Support, Category: Other (to reflect anything not captured above); • Provider Education Session Outline, Category: Other (to reflect anything not captured above); • Munson_HRP-503a, Category: IRB Protocol; • Fotonovela, Category: Participant materials (specific directions for them); • Cover Letter, Category: Recruitment Materials; • Midvale HIPAA Document, Category: Other (to reflect anything not captured above); • EBPAS Letter of Permission , Category: Other (to reflect anything not captured above); • EBPAS tool, Category: Measures (Survey questions/Interview questions /interview guides/focus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The IRB approved the protocol from 8/8/2017 to 8/7/2018 inclusive. Three weeks before 8/7/2018 you are to submit a completed Continuing Review application and required attachments to request continuing approval or closure.

If continuing review approval is not granted before the expiration date of 8/7/2018 approval of this protocol expires on that date. When consent is appropriate, you must use final, watermarked versions available under the “Documents” tab in ERA-IRB.

In conducting this protocol you are required to follow the requirements listed in the INVESTIGATOR MANUAL (HRP-103).

Sincerely,

IRB Administrator

cc: Megan Munson
    Megan Munson Johannah
    Uriri-Glover Sarah Bay
    Daniel Crawford
Appendix J

License For Use of Fotonovela

August 1, 2017

To whom it may concern,

On behalf of HolaDoctor, Inc. I’m very pleased to offer support to the evidence informed practice project entitled “Addressing Obesity in Hispanic Families Through a Family Centered Approach: An Educational Intervention for Providers”, as proposed by Megan Munson, Arizona State University student.

In doing so, HolaDoctor will allow Megan Munson offline usage rights to our Fotonovelas “Solo Se Vive Una Vez” as support material to her evidence informed practice project.

For our company it will be a pleasure to assist her in her project and we are looking forward to having the opportunity to review her results when available.

Sincerely,

_________________________

Bruno Lopez, EVP Operations
blopez@holadoctor.net | M: 305.975.7604 | T: 305.239.8809

HolaDoctor, Inc.
holadoctor.com | holadoctor.net
2665 S Bayshore Dr, Coconut Grove, Suite 415 FL 33133

T 305-239-8881
holadoctor.net holadoctor.com
2665 S Bayshore Dr, Suite 415, Coconut Grove, FL 33133
Appendix K

Evidence Based Practice Attitudes Scale

**Evidence-Based Practice Attitude Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID:</th>
<th>____________________________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBPAS® Gregory A. Aarons, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference:**


The following questions ask about your feelings about using new types of therapy, interventions, or treatments. Manualized therapy refers to any intervention that has specific guidelines and/or components that are outlined in a manual and/or that are to be followed in a structured/predicted way.

Fill in the circle indicating the extent to which you agree with each item using the following scale:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Not at All</strong></td>
<td><strong>To a Slight Extent</strong></td>
<td><strong>To a Moderate Extent</strong></td>
<td><strong>To a Great Extent</strong></td>
<td><strong>To a Very Great Extent</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. I like to use new types of therapy/interventions to help my clients.

2. I am willing to try new types of therapy/interventions even if I have to follow a treatment manual.

3. I know better than academic researchers how to care for my clients.

4. I am willing to use new and different types of therapy/interventions developed by researchers.

5. Research based treatments/interventions are not clinically useful.

6. Clinical experience is more important than using manualized therapy/treatment.

7. I would not use manualized therapy/interventions.

8. I would try a new therapy/intervention even if it were very different from what I am used to doing.

**For questions 9-15:** If you received training in a therapy or intervention that was new to you, how likely would you be to adopt it if:

9. it was intuitively appealing?

10. it “made sense” to you?

11. it was required by your supervisor?

12. it was required by your agency?

13. it was required by your state?

14. it was being used by colleagues who were happy with it?

15. you felt you had enough training to use it correctly?

**Official use only:**

Data entry: ___________ Data validation: ___________ Data analysis: ___________
Appendix L

Logic Model for a Provider Educational Module

**Goal:** To provide culturally relevant weight loss education to Hispanic families in a small rural health care clinic in Tucson, Arizona.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved weight loss outcomes for Hispanic families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in health and overall social well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease obesity rates in Hispanic families to less than Healthy People 2020 goal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTCOMES</th>
<th>OUTPUTS</th>
<th>INPUTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short</td>
<td>Providers</td>
<td>Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Hispanic Families</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Other stakeholders (i.e., decision makers, community members)</td>
<td>Training Tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in awareness of best practice interventions for weight loss</td>
<td>Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in clinical site policies and social action at community level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in economic spending at practice and community level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop resources</td>
<td>Providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client education</td>
<td>Change in attitude toward EBP, and knowledge to make changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider documentation tool</td>
<td>[\textbf{Dark blue text is goal.} ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Assumptions:
1. Providers want their patients to meet their weight loss goals.
2. Data collection needed for this project is the same process as the current standard of care.
3. The evidence suggests that family centered weight loss interventions are culturally relevant and best practice (Davidson, Lawson, & Coatsworth, 2012; Sung-Chan, Sung, Zhao, & Brownson, 2012).

Key: Red text areas to monitor if not meeting targets; Red arrows depict areas to improve; Dark blue text is goal.
Appendix M

Provider Education Session

Learning Objectives:

By the end of this session participants will:
1. Discuss 2-3 reasons why treating overweight and obesity in Hispanic clients is important to their overall health outcomes.
2. Demonstrate use of BMI Tracking Form to monitor client BMI and interventions discussed at each appointment.
3. List 1-2 reasons why using family-centered education with Hispanic clients for treatment of overweight and obesity is most effective.

National and Local Statistics

Impact

- Family-centered education:
  - Most culturally appropriate method of weight loss education
  - Successful outcomes reported

- Cultural values:
  - Traditional foods, family involvement, health > weight

- Common themes:
  - Barriers: health-related, social isolation

- Fewer barriers to change among Hispanic women

- Successful interventions include
  - Family-centered education
  - Nutritional counseling
  - Behavior modification programs

- Literature search results:
  - Family-centered education:
    - Most culturally appropriate method of weight loss education

- Goals

- Healthy People 2020:
  - 30.5% or less of population considered obese

- 5% decrease in obesity rates

- Financial Burden

- $190 billion/year of US healthcare expenditure attributed to obesity

- US per capita spending is 42% greater than normal weight peers

- Worldwide, direct medical costs are approximately 30% greater than normal weight peers

- If trends continue, by 2030 86.3% of adults will be overweight, 51.1% obese

- Projected healthcare costs to double every decade over $860 billion

Family Centered Education for Weight Loss

- Culture:
  - Middle-class candidate to make healthy changes for family

- Partnership with provider:
  - Mutual decision making, planning and evaluation of goals

- Role modeling for the family

- Change in family member can be subject to change in others (success = success)

- Literature search results:
  - Family-centered education:
    - Most culturally appropriate method of weight loss education

- Common themes:
  - Traditional foods, family involvement, health > weight

- Barriers:
  - Health-related, social isolation

- Literacy search results:
  - Family-centered education:
    - Most culturally appropriate method of weight loss education

- Family-centered education:
  - Most culturally appropriate method of weight loss education

- Successful interventions include
  - Family-centered education
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- Barriers:
  - Health-related, social isolation
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- 5% decrease in obesity rates
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- $190 billion/year of US healthcare expenditure attributed to obesity

- US per capita spending is 42% greater than normal weight peers

- Worldwide, direct medical costs are approximately 30% greater than normal weight peers

- If trends continue, by 2030 86.3% of adults will be overweight, 51.1% obese

- Projected healthcare costs to double every decade over $860 billion
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  - Family-centered education:
    - Most culturally appropriate method of weight loss education

- Common themes:
  - Traditional foods, family involvement, health > weight

- Barriers:
  - Health-related, social isolation

- Literature search results:
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- US per capita spending is 42% greater than normal weight peers
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- Successful interventions include
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  - Family-centered education:
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- 5% decrease in obesity rates
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- $190 billion/year of US healthcare expenditure attributed to obesity

- US per capita spending is 42% greater than normal weight peers

- Worldwide, direct medical costs are approximately 30% greater than normal weight peers

- If trends continue, by 2030 86.3% of adults will be overweight, 51.1% obese

- Projected healthcare costs to double every decade over $860 billion
Purpose and Background

- The purpose of the DNP project is to address obesity through patient education and lifestyle changes.
- The project aims to develop a comprehensive intervention to reduce obesity rates among patients.
- The project will be implemented in collaboration with local health centers.

Patient Education - Fotonovela

- The fotonovela will be used to educate patients on healthy eating and exercise habits.
- The story will be based on real-life scenarios to make the information more relatable.
- The fotonovela will be distributed in community health fairs and clinics.

Project Implementation

- Fotonovela: Patients will receive the fotonovela at their next visit.
- Health education: Patients will be given educational materials on healthy eating and exercise.
- Follow-up: Patients will be contacted after 3 months to assess the impact of the intervention.

Next Steps

- Roles: The project team will include nurses, dietitians, and counselors.
- Objectives: The primary objective is to reduce the number of patients with obesity by 20% within 1 year.
- Evaluation: The effectiveness of the intervention will be evaluated through patient interviews and follow-up surveys.
- The project will be reviewed by the institutional review board for ethical considerations.

Resources & Roles

- The project team will consist of nurses, dietitians, and counselors.
- The team will be responsible for developing and implementing the intervention.
- The project will be reviewed by the institutional review board for ethical considerations.
- The project will be evaluated through patient interviews and follow-up surveys.
YOU ONLY LIVE ONCE
4 STEPS TO GOOD HEALTH
IN GRANDMA'S KITCHEN THE FOOD IS DELICIOUS. BUT IS IT HEALTHY?
HE WORRIES ABOUT HIS GRANDSON'S HEALTH, BUT WHAT ABOUT HIS BAD HABIT? FIND OUT!

WE CAN'T GO ON LIKE THIS!

IN THIS ISSUE!

Donated by Holadocotor & Megan Munson, MSN-Ed, RN DNP Candidate
### BMI (BODY MASS INDEX)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TODAY'S DATE</th>
<th>GOAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY WEIGHT</th>
<th>MY GOAL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY BMI (BODY MASS INDEX)</th>
<th>MY SUPPORT PERSON IS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BMI (AGES 0-19 YEARS)

- **<5 PERCENTILE** UNDERWEIGHT
- **5 - <85 PERCENTILE** NORMAL WEIGHT
- **85 - <95 PERCENTILE** OVERWEIGHT
- **>/= 95 PERCENTILE** OBESE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TODAY'S DATE</th>
<th>GOAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY WEIGHT</th>
<th>MY GOAL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BMI (AGES 20-64 YEARS)

- **<18.5** UNDERWEIGHT
- **18.5-24.9** NORMAL WEIGHT
- **25-29.9** OVERWEIGHT
- **30-39.9** OBESE
- **40+** MORBIDLY OBESE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TODAY'S DATE</th>
<th>GOAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY WEIGHT</th>
<th>MY GOAL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BMI (AGES 65+)

- **<22.9** UNDERWEIGHT
- **23-29.9** NORMAL WEIGHT
- **30-39.9** OVERWEIGHT
- **40+** MORBIDLY OBESE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TODAY'S DATE</th>
<th>GOAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY WEIGHT</th>
<th>MY GOAL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HAZ UN CÍRCULO ALREDEDOR DE TU CLASIFICACIÓN DE IMC SEGÚN TU EDAD

- **<5 PERCENTILE** UNDERWEIGHT
- **5 - <85 PERCENTILE** NORMAL WEIGHT
- **85 - <95 PERCENTILE** OVERWEIGHT
- **>/= 95 PERCENTILE** OBESE

### MY PLAN TO REACH MY GOAL WEIGHT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TODAY'S DATE</th>
<th>GOAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY WEIGHT</th>
<th>MY GOAL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WHY I WANT TO LOSE WEIGHT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TODAY'S DATE</th>
<th>GOAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MY WEIGHT</th>
<th>MY GOAL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juan Cristóbal Castillo is an actor, director, composer, and playwright. He has worked in the film Post tenebras lux by Carlos Reygadas, which won Best Director in Cannes.

Adriana Laffan, actress, has worked in 25 soap operas, including the greatly successful El amor manda, 30 plays, and six films.

Paulina Sabugal is a graduate of the Escuela Nacional de Arte Teatral of the Instituto Nacional de Bellas Artes in Mexico. She has acted in many theatrical productions.

Ana María Meza Escalante is an actress who studied in Mexico and Vancouver. She is currently participating in plays at Casa azul which are sponsored by Argos, one of the most important soap opera production companies in Mexico.

Diego Fernandez Rodriguez in his acting debut.

EXECUTIVE PRODUCER
Angelica Lopez Antúnez has been a producer for over 25 years. She was nominated for the Pantalla de Cristal award—the Oscar of Mexico—for Best Casting Director for the film El principio de la espiral.

SCRIPTWRITERS
Gloria López Villaseñor held the position of Strategic Planner and Creative Director at JW Thompson for 20 years. She’s won numerous advertising awards in London and New York and was a finalist at Cannes.

Héctor Estrada, Senior Writer, has worked in multinational agencies for many renowned North American brands.

DIRECTOR OF PHOTOGRAPHY
Guadalupe Szymanski graduated from the Instituto de Estudios Fotográficos de Cataluña in Spain. She was a photographer for the Grupo Expansión in México.

CASTING DIRECTOR
Teresa Cora Bedolla has selected actors for more than 500 projects in both the United States and Mexico.

MAKE-UP ARTIST
Raquel Chavira has participated in both film and advertising projects. She worked in movies such as Apocalypto directed by Mel Gibson, Frida starring Salma Hayek, and The far side of the world starring Russell Crowe.

FOTONOVELA DESIGN
Andrés Felipe Prieto is the Vice President of Design & Usability for HolaDoctor.
OF COURSE—I FEEL GREAT! YOU’LL SEE! BUT YOU HAVE TO STICK TO WORKING OUT AND HEALTHY EATING.

WHAT A WORKOUT!

I CAN’T THANK YOU ENOUGH FOR CONVINCING ME TO GO RUNNING WITH YOU. I FEEL SO MUCH BETTER, AND I’VE ALREADY LOST WEIGHT!

I KNOW. I CAN TELL! AND I’M SURE EVERYONE ELSE CAN, TOO, SO GET READY TO FEND OFF THE BOYS...

UGH, I CAN ONLY HOPE!

YOU’LL SEE! BUT YOU HAVE TO STICK TO WORKING OUT AND HEALTHY EATING.

OF COURSE—I FEEL GREAT!

PATY AND HER FRIEND LUZ GO ON THEIR USUAL MORNING RUN.
I wish my mom understood how important this is to leading a better life. I keep trying to get her to change her habits.

I'll see you tomorrow.

Sooner or later she'll realize it, trust me.

Physical activity is essential to maintaining a healthy lifestyle. It helps you lose weight and develop strong bones, muscles, and joints, as well as improving your self-esteem and cognitive skills.

Start living well

Hereditary predisposition to certain illnesses is something we can battle, but we have to start by changing our way of life.

Cut sugar & salt consumption

This will help you lose weight. Say goodbye to your saltshaker for good, and rinse canned goods in order to eliminate or limit sodium content.

Take a walk

Going for a walk with your family will help you lose weight and strengthen family bonds.

Practice your passions

Every day, dedicate some time to do what you love. If you feel like you're too busy, start by limiting time spent in front of your computer screen.

Moderation

Start by drinking less alcohol and cut down portion sizes gradually.

And above all...

Hug it out! Hug your friends and family members, and ask them to hug you. It’s one of the most therapeutic activities yet.

Changing your habits? Always a possibility!

Stress, a sedentary lifestyle, and an inadequate diet are the main factors contributing to heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure, and obesity.

Start by changing your way of life.
IN THE EVENING AT PATY’S HOUSE, HER MOTHER AURORA PREPARES DINNER.

IF YOU DON’T WANT ANY, THERE’S VEGGIES AND FRUITS IN THE FRIDGE FOR YOU.

AND I EVEN MADE THAT PIE MY GRANDSON IS CRAZY ABOUT!

SAUSAGE, BACON, POTATOES...

MOM...DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA HOW MANY CALORIES AND HOW MUCH FAT IS IN ALL THIS?

IF YOU DON’T WANT ANY, THERE’S VEGGIES AND FRUITS IN THE FRIDGE FOR YOU.
NO, YOU AREN'T. YOUR BLOOD PRESSURE IS ALWAYS SOARING, YOU TAKE TWO STEPS AND YOU'RE OUT OF BREATH. SO IF YOU WANT TO ENJOY YOUR GRANDSON, YOU NEED TO START EATING BETTER AND LOSE SOME WEIGHT.

EATING HABITS ARE FUNDAMENTAL IN LEADING A HEALTHY LIFESTYLE AND HELP TO AVOID BECOMING OVERWEIGHT OR OBSESE. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE VEGETABLES, FRUITS, WHOLE GRAINS, AND LOWFAT DAIRY PRODUCTS IN YOUR DIET. DON'T FRY FOOD—TRY GRILLING OR BAKING IT. LIMIT YOUR CONSUMPTION OF FOODS THAT ARE HIGH IN CHOLESTEROL, SODIUM, AND ADDED SUGARS.
YES GRANDMA...

WANT SOME MORE PIE, SWEETIE?

SO… DO WE AGREE THAT IT’S TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE?

BUT NOT OVERFED.

PATY’S RIGHT, HONEY. “CHUBBY” RUNS IN OUR FAMILY, AND EVERYONE HAS ENCOUNTERED SOME KIND OF WEIGHT-RELATED PROBLEM.

MOM, THOSE ARE MYTHS...

BUT HE’S GROWING, HE NEEDS TO BE WELL FED!

SOMEONE’S GETTING ROUNDER!

CHILDHOOD OBESITY CAN BE THE CAUSE OF TYPE 2 DIABETES, HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE AND HIGH CHOLESTEROL. CONTROL YOUR AND YOUR FAMILY’S WEIGHT WITH A BALANCED DIET AND REGULAR PHYSICAL ACTIVITY.

LATER, THE FAMILY SITS DOWN FOR DINNER.
I suppose it is…
Well my boy, I just can’t quit.
But…my mom says that the smoke could make you sick, and I really don’t want anything to happen to you. Please don’t smoke, grandpa…

So, why do you do it then?

Because I like it.

But…isn’t it bad for you?

I suppose it is…

Well my boy, I just can’t quit.

There’s a lot of ways to stop smoking. If you’ve already decided to quit, reach out to your doctor or medical provider to discuss treatment and medication options that will help you succeed.
TO LEAD A HEALTHY LIFE: EXERCISE, IMPROVE YOUR EATING HABITS, CONTROL YOUR WEIGHT, AND QUIT SMOKING.

THE END.

SIX MONTHS LATER...

WE HAVE A LOT TO CELEBRATE TODAY.

I’VE ALREADY LOST 20 POUNDS, AND I LEARNED TO EAT HEALTHILY. I EVEN ENJOY SALADS! AND I REALLY ENJOY MY DAILY WALKS.

TODAY, IT HAS BEEN FIVE MONTHS SINCE I QUIT SMOKING, AND I FEEL 10 YEARS YOUNGER.

AND I AM SO PROUD OF BOTH OF YOU...

GRANDPA! BET YOU CAN’T CATCH UP WITH ME!
RESOURCES

WEBSITES

MYPLATE:
https://www.choosemyplate.gov/MyPlate

SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP):
https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap

UNDERSTANDING NUTRITION FACTS LABEL:
https://www.fda.gov/food/ingredientspackaginglabeling

SUPER TRACKER: MY FOODS. MY FITNESS. MY HEALTH:
https://www.supertracker.usda.gov

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH - RECIPES:
https://archive.cdph.ca.gov/HealthInfo/healthyliving/nutrition/recipes

FREE APPLICATIONS FOR IPHONE AND ANDROID

MYFITNESSPAL:
Whether you want to lose weight, tone up, get healthy, change your habits, or start a new diet, you’ll love MyFitnessPal.

RUNKEEPER:
Everyone. Ever run. Join the community that helps people get out the door and stick with running forever! Track exercise, set goals, sweat, and see progress along the way.

PACER:
Download the free app that will help you get active, lose weight, live longer, and feel better.

LOCAL RESOURCES

BOUNTIFUL BASKETS FOOD COOP:
www.bountifulbaskets.org

MARKET ON THE MOVE:
http://the3000club.org/wordpress/marketonthemove/

COMMUNITY FOOD BANK:
https://www.communityfoodbank.org

DIET OF HOPE: Referral needed for HMO, Tricare and AHCCCS. Ask your provider!
www.dietofhope.org | Phone: 520.696.3438
4 PASOS PARA UNA BUENA SALUD

HISTORIAS DE VIDA

¡NO PODEMOS SEGUIR ASÍ!

EN LA COCINA DE LA ABUELA SE COCINA MUY RICO. ¡RICO EN GRASA Y CALORÍAS!

EL ABUELO SE PREOCUPA POR LA SALUD DE SU NIETO. ¿PERO Y QUÉ PASA CON LA SALUD DE ÉL?

EN ESTA EDICIÓN!

Donated by Holadoc & Megan Munson, MSN-Ed, RN DNP Candidate
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMC (ÍNDICE DE MASA CORPORAL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EL DÍA DE HOY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI PESO ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI IMC (ÍNDICE DE MASA CORPORAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ UN CÍRCULO ALREDEDOR DE TU CLASIFICACIÓN DE IMC SEGÚN TU EDAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMC (EDADES 0-19 AÑOS)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTIL &lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTIL 5 - &lt;85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTIL 85 - &lt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTIL &gt;/= 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMC (EDADES 20-64 AÑOS)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMC (EDAD 65+)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MI FECHA META**
**MI PESO META**
**MI PERSONA DE APOYO ES**
**MI PLAN PARA ALCANZAR MI PESO META:**

**POR QUÉ QUIERO BAJAR DE PESO:**
ACTORES

Juan Cristóbal Castillo es actor, director, compositor y dramaturgo. En cine trabajó en Post tenebras lux de Carlos Reygadas, película que ganó mejor dirección en Cannes.

Adriana Laffan, actriz, trabajó en 25 telenovelas, tales como la exitosa El amor manda, 30 obras de teatro y 6 películas.

Paulina Sabugal, graduada de la Escuela Nacional de Arte Teatral del Instituto Nacional de Bellas Artes, ha trabajado como actriz en varias obras de teatro.

Ana María Meza Escalante, actriz formada en México y Vancouver, actualmente se presenta en obras montadas en Casa Azul bajo el auspicio de Argos, una de las mayores productoras de telenovelas en México.

Diego Fernandez Rodriguez, debut.

PRODUCCIÓN

PRODUCITRORA EJECUTIVA

GUIONISTAS

DIRECTORA DE CASTING
Teresa Coria Bedolla ha trabajado en la selección de actores para más de 500 proyectos, tanto en Estados Unidos como en México.

MAQUILLISTA
Raquel Chavira trabajó en proyectos de cine y publicidad, como la película Apocalypto de Mel Gibson y Frida con Salma Hayek, al igual que en The far side of the world con Russell Crowe.

DISEÑO DE FOTONOVELA
Andrés Felipe Prieto es Vicepresidente de Diseño y Usabilidad para HolaDoctor.

DIRECTORA DE FOTOGRAFÍA
Guadalupe Szymbanski, graduada del Instituto de Estudios Fotográficos de Cataluña, España, y fotógrafa de Grupo Expansión de México.
COMO TODAS LAS MANANA, PATY Y SU AMIGA LUZ SE EJERCITAN...

UFF..ESTUVO BUENO EL EJERCICIO...

SÍ AMIGA, NO SABES CUÁNTO TE AGRADEZCO QUE ME HAYAS CONVENCIDO DE VENIR A CORRER CONTIGO, ME SIENTO MUY BIEN Y YA BAJÉ DE PESO...

SE TE NOTA, ASÍ QUE PREPÁRATE PORQUE LOS GALANÉS TE VAN A SOBRAR...

DIOS TE OIGA, AMIGA...

YA VERÁS, PERO NO DEJES DE HACER EJERCICIO Y DE COMER SANAMENTE ¿EH?

CLARO QUE NO, ME GUSTA SENTIRME Y VERME ASÍ.
Si queremos neutralizar la carga hereditaria de nuestras enfermedades, empecemos por cambiar nuestra forma de vida.

CORTA EL AZÚCAR Y LA SAL
Este es ayudante al salir el peso. Déle adiós al salero, lave los alimentos enlatados para sacarles el sodio.

CORTA EL AZÚCAR Y LA SAL
El estrés, el sedentarismo, la mala alimentación son los mayores contribuyentes al desarrollo de enfermedades como las cardiopatías, la diabetes, la presión alta y la obesidad.

LA ACTIVIDAD FÍSICA ES LA BASE PARA TENER UNA VIDA SALUDABLE, AYUDA A CONTROLAR EL PESO. AYUDA A DESARROLLAR HUESOS, MÚSCULOS Y ARTICULACIONES SALUDABLES. MEJORA LA AUTOESTIMA Y CAPACIDAD DE APRENDIZAJE.

LA ACTIVIDAD FÍSICA ES LA BASE PARA TENER UNA VIDA SALUDABLE, AYUDA A CONTROLAR EL PESO. AYUDA A DESARROLLAR HUESOS, MÚSCULOS Y ARTICULACIONES SALUDABLES. MEJORA LA AUTOESTIMA Y CAPACIDAD DE APRENDIZAJE.

EL CAMBIO DE HÁBITOS SIEMPRE ES POSIBLE
El estrés, el sedentarismo, la mala alimentación son los mayores contribuyentes al desarrollo de enfermedades como las cardiopatías, la diabetes, la presión alta y la obesidad.

A Y sobre todo, ¡ABRAZAR! Y pedir que se abrace a familia y entre amigos. Es una de las mejores terapias para el alma que hay.

COMIENZA A VIVIR BIEN
El primer paso para evitar la carga hereditaria de nuestras enfermedades es empecemos por cambiar nuestra forma de vida.

PRACTICA TUS PASIONES
Tomar un tiempo cada día es hacer lo que cumple con su gusto y sabe que está muy ocupado. Empieza por alejarte de la computadora.

MÓDULACIÓN
Comeza a beber cada día menos y reduce las porciones de a poco.

NOS VEMOS MAÑANA.

LE VA A CAER EL VEINTÉ, YA VERÁS.

FUENTE:
www.holadoctor.com
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POR LA NOCHE EN LA CASA DE PATY.
AURORA, SU MAMÁ, PREPARA LA CENA.

SALCHICHAS FRITAS, TOCINO, PAPAS...

Y TAMBién HICE EL PASTEL QUE LE GUSTA A MI NIETO.

MAMÁ, ¿SABES LA CANTIDAD DE GRASA Y CALORÍAS QUE TIENE ESTO?

SI NO LO QUIERES, EN EL REFRIGERADOR HAY VEGETALES Y FRUTAS PARA TI.
MAMÁ, LO QUE QUIERO ES QUE ENTIIENDAS QUE COMER ASÍ Y NO HACER NINGUNA ACTIVIDAD FÍSICA PUEDE AFECTAR SERIAMENTE TU SALUD...

NO LO ESTÁS, SE TE SUBE LA PRESIÓN SEGUIDO, DAS DOS PASOS Y TE CANSAS, ASÍ QUE SI QUIERES DISFRUTAR A TU NIETO DEBES COMER SANAMENTE Y BAJAR DE PESO.

LA ALIMENTACIÓN ES CLAVE PARA TENER UNA VIDA SALUDABLE Y EVITAR EL SOBREPESO Y LA OBESIDAD. INCLUYE EN TU DIETA VEGETALES, FRUTAS, GRANOS INTEGRALES Y PRODUCTOS LÁCTEOS BAJOS EN GRASA. NO FRIAS LOS ALIMENTOS, CONSUMÉLOS A LA PARRILLA O AL HORNO. LIMITA EL CONSUMO DE ALIMENTOS ALTOS EN COLESTEROL, SODIO Y AZÚCAR AGREGADA.
Entonces.. ¿No creen que ya es hora de cambiar nuestros hábitos?

Alimentarlo no es engordarlo mamá...

Eso son mitos mamá...

Está bien alimentado, como decía mi mamá: niño gordo, niño sano...

Pero está creciendo, hay que alimentarlo...

Entonces, ¿no creen que ya es hora de cambiar nuestros hábitos?

Paty tiene razón vieja, en nuestra familia hay mucho gordo y todos han tenido problemas de salud por el peso.

Más tarde la familia se reune a cenar.

¿Quieres más pastel, mi hijo?

Sí abue...

Te estás poniendo cachetón...

La obesidad infantil puede ocasionar afeciones como la diabetes tipo 2, alto nivel de colesterol en la sangre e hipertensión arterial. Controla tu peso y el de tu familia con una dieta balanceada y actividad física diaria.
MÁS TARDE, SAMUEL Y PEPE VEN UNA PELÍCULA.

¿POR QUÉ FUMAS ABUELO?

PORQUE ME GUSTA

PERO ES MALO...NO?

BUENO...SI.

ENTONCES...¿POR QUÉ LO HACES?

PERO MI MAMÁ DICE QUE EL HUMO PUEDE HACER QUE TE ENFERMES Y YO NO QUIERO QUE TE PASE NADA...NO FUMES ABUE.

AY HIJO, ES QUE NO PUEDO DEJARLO.

HAY MUCHOS MÉTODOS PARA DEJAR DE FUMAR. SI YA DECIDISTE HACERLO, HABLA CON TU MÉDICO O PROVEEDOR DE ATENCIÓN MÉDICA PARA QUE TE ASESORE SOBRE LOS TRATAMIENTOS Y MÉDICAMENTOS QUE TE AYUDARÁN A LOGRARLO.
MÁS TARDE, SAMUEL Y PEPE VEN UNA PELÍCULA.

¿POR QUÉ FUMAS ABUELITO?

PORQUE ME GUSTA

PERO ES MALO ¿NO?

BUENO, SI.

ENTonces...¿POR QUÉ LO HACES?

PERO MI MAMÁ DICE QUE EL HUMO PUEDE HACER QUE TE ENFERMES Y YO NO QUIERO QUE TE PASE NADA. NO FUMES ABUE...

AY HIJO, ES QUE NO PUEDO DEJARLO..

HAY MUCHOS MÉTODOS PARA DEJAR DE FUMAR. SI YA DECIDISTE HACERLO, HABLA CON TU MÉDICO O PROVEEDOR DE ATENCIÓN MÉDICA PARA QUE TE ASESORE SOBRE LOS TRATAMIENTOS Y MÉDICAMENTOS QUE TE AYUDARÁN A LOGRARLO.
RECURSOS

DIRECCIONES WEB

MIPLATO:
https://www.choosemyplate.gov/multilanguage-spanish

MIDIETA:
http://midieta.com

PROGRAMA DE SNAP:
https://www.fns.usda.gov/es/snap/programa-de-snap

LA ETIQUETA DE INFORMACIÓN NUTRICIONAL:
https://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/LabelingNutrition/ucm268173.htm

DEPARTAMENTO DE SALÚD PUBLICA DE CALIFORNIA – RECETAS:
https://archive.cdph.ca.gov/HealthInfo/healthyliving/nutrition/recipes

APLICACIONES GRATUITAS PARA IPHONE Y ANDROID

MYFITNESSPAL:
Si desea perder peso, tonificar, mantenerse sano, cambiar sus hábitos o comenzar una nueva dieta, le encantará MyFitnessPal.

RUNKEEPER:
Todo el mundo. Siempre corre. Únete a la comunidad que ayuda a las personas a salir por la puerta y seguir con la ejecución para siempre! Seguimiento de ejercicio, establecer metas, sudor, y ver el progreso en el camino.

PACER:
Descargue la aplicación gratuita que le ayudará a mantenerse activo, perder peso, vivir más tiempo y sentirse mejor.

MIDIETA: Menú semanal personalizado para bajar de peso, con recetas simples y deliciosas, y monitoreo diario de tu peso!

RECURSOS LOCALES

BOUNTIFUL BASKETS FOOD COOP:
www.bountifulbaskets.org

MARKET ON THE MOVE:
http://the3000club.org/wordpress/marketonthemove/

COMMUNITY FOOD BANK:
https://www.communityfoodbank.org

DIET OF HOPE: Referencia para HMO, Tricare y AHCCCS. ¡Pregúntele a su proveedor!
www.dietofhope.org  |  Teléfono: 520.696.3438
Appendix P

BMI Documentation Form

ID: ____________

BMI Screening and Follow Up Plan

BMI: ____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (ages 0-20)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Treatment Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile</td>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>MyPlate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; - &lt;85&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile</td>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>Increase aerobic activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; - &lt;95&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>No skipping meals/Eat 3 meals per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 95&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; percentile</td>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>Calorie counts: ____________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (ages 21-64)</th>
<th></th>
<th>MyFitnessPal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.4</td>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>Avoid/eliminate sugary drinks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.9</td>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>Increase fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29.9</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>Increase protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39.9</td>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>Increase fruits/vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>Morbidly obese</td>
<td>Decrease fat intake/low cholesterol diet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (ages 65+)</th>
<th></th>
<th>DASH diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;22.9</td>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>Decrease sweets/desserts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-29.9</td>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>DASH diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39.9</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>Low-carb/Diabetic diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>Morbidly obese</td>
<td>Fotonovela – You Only Live Once</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (describe):
Appendix Q

Provider Feedback Form

ID: ______________

**Provider Feedback Form**

Disclaimer: Please consider providing feedback related to the project titled, “Addressing Obesity in Hispanic Families Through a Family Centered Approach: An Educational Intervention for Providers”, as completed by Megan Munson, Arizona State University student. Your feedback on this project is optional. You may skip any questions you do not want to answer. Comments will be confidential and will remain anonymous. Thank you for your participation.

Directions: Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements by marking the corresponding box.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I understood the purpose of the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The resources provided were helpful.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Using the Fotonovela did not increase the amount of time I spent educating clients.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. My clients responded positively to the Fotonovela.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I would consider using a Fotonovela in the future for client education on other topics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide feedback on the following questions:

1. What did you like about the project?
2. What did you not like about the project?
3. What would you change?

Additional comments:

Official use only: Data entry: ____ Data validation: ____ Data analysis: ____
### Appendix R

**Projected Implementation Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected Costs</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunch and Learn meal for providers and staff</td>
<td>$200-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copying supplies (paper, coping costs)</td>
<td>$200-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for copies of BMI sheet at facility for data collection</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of using selected copyrighted tools</td>
<td>Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to site for meetings, implementation, evaluation</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student time for preparing presentations and creating forms; ordering and obtaining copies; presenting project</td>
<td>Approximately 10 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student time for obtaining culturally relevant resources in English and Spanish (when available)</td>
<td>Approximately 5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider, MA and Office staff time</td>
<td>1 hour during lunch and minimal for implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate total:</td>
<td>$685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix S

Global Attitude Toward Adopting Evidence Based Practice

Figure 1. Overall provider attitude toward adopting evidence based practice comparing pre- to post- scores.
Appendix T

Pre- to Post-EBPAS Divergence Scores

Figure 1. Total pre-divergence scores.

Figure 2. Total post-divergence scores.
Appendix U

Pre- to Post-EBPAS Appeal Scores

**Figure 1.** Total pre-appeal scores.

**Figure 2.** Total post-appeal scores.
Appendix V

Pre- to Post-EBPAS Requirement Scores

Figure 1. Total pre-requirement scores.

Figure 2. Total post-requirement scores.
Figure 1. Total pre-openness scores.

Figure 2. Total post-openness scores.
### Appendix X

BMI Documentation Form Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive Statistics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.78</td>
<td>46.78</td>
<td>36.0580</td>
<td>6.29804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric BMI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult BMI</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.0769</td>
<td>.75955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly BMI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyPlate</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.7333</td>
<td>.45774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased aerobic activity</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.50709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipping meals eat 3 meals per day</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.6000</td>
<td>.50709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorie counts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyFitnessPal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.2000</td>
<td>.41404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid sugar drinks</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.4000</td>
<td>.50709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase fiber</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.0667</td>
<td>.25820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase protein</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.1333</td>
<td>.35187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase fruits vegetables</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.3333</td>
<td>.48795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease fat</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.2667</td>
<td>.45774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease sweets deserts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.2000</td>
<td>.41404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH diet</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.0000</td>
<td>.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carb diabetic diet</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.0667</td>
<td>.25820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fotnovela</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.5333</td>
<td>.51640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null normal weight</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix Y

Provider Feedback Form Quantitative Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive Statistics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1_purpose</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.3333</td>
<td>.51640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2_resources</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
<td>.54772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3_time</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.8333</td>
<td>1.47196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4_positively</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.3333</td>
<td>.51640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5_use_in_future</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2.6667</td>
<td>1.50555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>